

$^{28}\text{Na}$   $\beta^-$  decay 2012Ku11,1984Gu19

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia		NDS 114, 1189 (2013)	1-Apr-2013

Parent:  $^{28}\text{Na}$ :  $E=0.0$ ;  $J^\pi=1^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=30.5$  ms 4;  $Q(\beta^-)=14030$  10;  $\% \beta^-$  decay=100.0

Others: 1979De02, 1974Ro31.

Sum of decay energies of this dataset is 13992 keV 735 cf. 14030 keV 10 obtained from  $^{28}\text{Na}$   $\beta^-$  decay  $Q(g.s.)$  and branching.

2012Ku11:  $\beta^-$  decay is studied in polarized  $^{28}\text{Na}$  isotope. It was produced from fragmentation of tantalum targets by 500 MeV protons at TRIUMF. Separated  $^{28}\text{Na}^+$  beam,  $E=30.6$  keV, was neutralized by colliding with the Na vapor and nuclear spin was polarized ( $\approx 50\%$ ) by collinear optical pumping. Polarized  $^{28}\text{Na}$  beam was ionized and transported with the polarization direction perpendicular to the beam direction. The spin orientation was flipped by changing the laser helicity in every 5 minutes. The beam was focused and stopped on a Pt foil.  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ ,  $\beta^- \gamma$  and  $\gamma-\gamma$  coincidence measurements were performed using 9 HPGe detectors. A pair of plastic scintillators placed in front of each HPGe detectors. Deduced level scheme,  $J^\pi$ .

1984Gu19:  $^{28}\text{Na}$  was produced from fragmentation of iridium target by 10 GeV protons from the CERN synchrotron, recoiled fragments were thermalized in graphite, ionized and mass-separated; a thin plastic scintillator, 2 Ge(Li) detectors, Measured:  $E_\gamma$ ,  $\beta^- \gamma \gamma$  coin,  $I_\gamma$ .

1979De02,1974Ro31:  $^{28}\text{Na}$  was produced from fragmentation of uranium target by 24 GeV protons from the CERN synchrotron, recoiled fragments were thermalized in graphite, ionized and mass-separated; a thin plastic scintillator, 2 Ge(Li) detectors, Measured:  $E_\gamma$ ,  $\beta^- \gamma \gamma$  coin, absolute  $I_\gamma$ .

1984Gu19, 1979De02 and 1974Ro31 are all from the same research group.

 $^{28}\text{Mg}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>‡</sup>	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>
0.0	$0^+$	20.915 h 9	4561.0 5	$1^+$ @	5470.2 5	2
1473.55 10	$2^+$ @		4878.7 13	$2^+$	5916.9 11	$(0,1,2)^+$ @
3862.15 15	$0^+$ @		5171.5 5	$3^-$	6545.0 5	$(2^+)$
4021.1 5	$4^+$		5193.1 5	1	7200.9 7	$(0,1,2)^+$
4554.6 5	$2^+$		5270.1 4	$1^+$	7461.8 5	$(2^+)$ #

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels, except otherwise noted.

# Assigned in 2012Ku11, based on the angular distribution measurements of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ -ray emissions.

@ Assignment reconfirmed in 2012Ku11, based on the angular distribution measurements of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ -ray emissions.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ <sup>†‡</sup>	Log $f_t$	Comments
(6568 10)	7461.8	1.4 2	4.7 1	av $E\beta=3054.9$ 50
(6829 10)	7200.9	0.5 1	5.2 1	av $E\beta=3183.5$ 50
(7485 10)	6545.0	0.2 1	5.8 2	av $E\beta=3507.1$ 50
(8113 10)	5916.9	0.3 1	5.8 2	av $E\beta=3817.3$ 50
(8560 10)	5470.2	<0.1	>6.4	av $E\beta=4038.0$ 50
(8760 10)	5270.1	1.5 5	5.2 2	av $E\beta=4136.9$ 50
(8859 10)	5171.5	0.3 1	8.2 <sup>1u</sup> 2	av $E\beta=4185.6$ 50
(9151 10)	4878.7	0.2 1	6.2 2	av $E\beta=4330.3$ 50
(9469 10)	4561.0	3.2 4	5.1 1	av $E\beta=4487.4$ 50
(9475 10)	4554.6	1.00 25	5.6 1	av $E\beta=4490.5$ 50
(10168 10)	3862.15	20.1 19	4.42 1	av $E\beta=4832.9$ 50
(12556 10)	1473.55	11 6	5.1 2	av $E\beta=6013.7$ 50
(14030 10)	0.0	60 5	4.6 1	av $E\beta=6741.7$ 50

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{28}\text{Na}$   $\beta^-$  decay 2012Ku11,1984Gu19 (continued) $\beta^-$  radiations (continued)

† Deduced by the evaluator from  $\gamma$ -ray intensity balance at each level.

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$\gamma(^{28}\text{Mg})$						
$E_\gamma$ †	$I_\gamma$ ‡@	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
1150.5# 4	<0.1	5171.5	3 <sup>-</sup>	4021.1	4 <sup>+</sup>	
1373.4 2	<0.1	6545.0	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	5171.5	3 <sup>-</sup>	
1473.5 1	37 5	1473.55	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	$I_\gamma$ : 2012Ku11 used same value as in 1984Gu19.
1990.7 5	0.2 1	6545.0	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	4554.6	2 <sup>+</sup>	
2007.7 4	0.5 1	7200.9	(0,1,2) <sup>+</sup>	5193.1	1	
2191.7 3	0.8 1	7461.8	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	5270.1	1 <sup>+</sup>	
2290.9 6	<0.1	7461.8	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	5171.5	3 <sup>-</sup>	
2388.5# 1	22 3	3862.15	0 <sup>+</sup>	1473.55	2 <sup>+</sup>	$I_\gamma$ : Other: 18.7 25 (1984Gu19).
2547.7# 6	<0.1	4021.1	4 <sup>+</sup>	1473.55	2 <sup>+</sup>	
2906.9 6	0.6 1	7461.8	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	4554.6	2 <sup>+</sup>	
3082.4# 11	1.3 3	4554.6	2 <sup>+</sup>	1473.55	2 <sup>+</sup>	$I_\gamma$ : Other: 2.7 4 (1984Gu19).
3087.3# 5	4.0 6	4561.0	1 <sup>+</sup>	1473.55	2 <sup>+</sup>	$I_\gamma$ : Other: 2.6 5 (1984Gu19).
3404.9 13	0.2 1	4878.7	2 <sup>+</sup>	1473.55	2 <sup>+</sup>	
3696.8# 6	0.3 1	5171.5	3 <sup>-</sup>	1473.55	2 <sup>+</sup>	
3996.3 5	<0.1	5470.2	2	1473.55	2 <sup>+</sup>	
4443.0 11	0.3 1	5916.9	(0,1,2) <sup>+</sup>	1473.55	2 <sup>+</sup>	
5192.6 5	0.4 1	5193.1	1	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
5269.6 4	2.3 4	5270.1	1 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	$E_\gamma$ : Weighted average of 5269.1 keV 5 (2012Ku11) and 5271.7 keV 10 (1984Gu19). $I_\gamma$ : From 2012Ku11. Other: 0.50 15 (1984Gu19).

† From 2012Ku11, except otherwise noted. Some  $\gamma$ -ray energies in 2012Ku11 are discrepant compared to 1984Gu19 or other datasets. The source of the discrepancy is not clear.

‡ From 2012Ku11, except otherwise noted.  $\gamma$ -ray intensities reported in 2012Ku11 and 1984Gu19 are mostly in agreement, except a few.

# From Adopted Gammas.

@ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$^{28}\text{Na}$   $\beta^-$  decay 2012Ku11,1984Gu19

## Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- Coincidence

