

$^{12}\text{C}(^{16}\text{O},\text{n}\gamma)$  **2009Lo05,2009Lo01,1986Ti02**

Type	Author	Citation	History	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia	NDS 112, 1875 (2011)		30-Nov-2010

**2009Lo01,2009Lo05:** Target:  $^{12}\text{C}$ ; Projectile 60O, E=26 MeV; Detector: GAMMASPHERE array; Measured:  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$  coin and  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  cube,  $\gamma(\theta)$ , meanlife by the DSA method.

**1986Ti02:** Target  $^{12}\text{C}$  implanted on tantalum; Projectile:  $^{16}\text{O}$ , E=20 MeV; two Ge(Li) detectors; measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ , deduced meanlife of levels by the Doppler Shift Method (DSM).

 $^{27}\text{Si}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π&amp;</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>a</sup>	E <sub>p</sub> <sup>b</sup>	Comments
0 <sup>±</sup>	5/2 <sup>+±</sup>			
780.9 <sup>±</sup> 2	1/2 <sup>+±</sup>			
957.4 <sup>±@</sup> 2	3/2 <sup>+±</sup>	1.20 ps 8		
2163.6 <sup>±@</sup> 2	7/2 <sup>+±</sup>	44 fs 5		
2647.6 <sup>±@</sup> 3	5/2 <sup>+±</sup>	17.3 fs 21		
2866.3 <sup>#@</sup> 3		<3.5 fs		
2909.9 <sup>±@</sup> 2	9/2 <sup>+±</sup>	53 fs 14		
3540.2 <sup>#@</sup> 11		<4.9 fs		
3803.6 <sup>#@</sup> 11		<6.9 fs		
4138.1 <sup>#@</sup> 14		6 fs 3		
4289.2 <sup>#@</sup> 9		3.5 fs 14		
4447.3 <sup>±@</sup> 5	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> ) <sup>±</sup>	388 fs 40		
4474.8 <sup>±@</sup> 7	7/2 <sup>+,(9/2<sup>+</sup>)<sup>±</sup></sup>	<6.9 fs		
4703.8 <sup>#@</sup> 11		<4.9 fs		
5062 <sup>#@</sup> 2		21 fs 6		
5262.0 <sup>#</sup> 5				
5282.8 <sup>±@</sup> 4	(7/2,11/2) <sup>+±</sup>	17 fs 4		
5316.7 <sup>#</sup> 5				
5501.6 <sup>#</sup> 9				
7469.3 6	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	6.0 6		
7531.6 5	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	<4.2 fs	68.3 7	$J^\pi$ : L(p)=2 resonance.
7590.1 1	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	13.9 fs 21	126.7 8	$J^\pi$ : L(p)=0 resonance.
7651.6 1	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	8.3 fs 21	188.6 4	
7694.3 9	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	<3.5 fs	230.8 9	
7704.8 1	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	<0.7 fs	241.3 3	$J^\pi$ : positive parity quoted in <b>2009Lo01</b> is a misprint as per e-mail reply from P.J. Woods on November 16, 2009 (an XUNDL database communication).
7738.8 1	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	15 fs 3	276.3 4	
7795.2 19	7/2 <sup>+</sup>		331.8 19	
7831.5 2	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	6 fs 4	368.5 4	
7837.9 2	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	<0.7 fs	374.6 3	
7899.7 6	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	10 fs 7	436.0 8	
7909.0 7	3/2 <sup>+</sup>		446.1 7	
7966.6 8	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	8 fs 6	503.3 8	
8031.9 9	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		568.5 11	
8070 3	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		606.6 30	
8139.3 6	1/2	<0.7 fs	676.0 6	Estimated $\Gamma_p$ =0.054 eV +41-25.
8167.3 12	11/2 <sup>+</sup>		705.2 20	
8183.7 4	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	2.8 fs 21	720.5 4	
8200.1 7	(1/2,5/2)	9 fs 5	736.8 7	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{12}\text{C}(\text{O},\text{n}\gamma)$  **2009Lo05,2009Lo01,1986Ti02 (continued)** $^{27}\text{Si}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>&amp;</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>a</sup>	$E_p$ <sup>b</sup>	Comments
8208.1 22	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )		746.0 22	
8344.8 7	(7/2)		881.5 10	
8375.8 9	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	2.1 fs 14	912.5 9	Estimated $\Gamma_p=0.10$ eV +27-5.

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies. Levels below 7469 keV are reported in 1986Ti02, except otherwise noted. Levels above 7469 keV are from 2009Lo05.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

<sup>#</sup> From Adopted Levels.

<sup>@</sup> Level reported in 1986Ti02.

<sup>&</sup> For levels above 7000 keV, assignments are from 2009Lo05 based on  $\gamma(\theta)$ , meanlife, and analogy with mirror states in  $^{27}\text{Al}$ .

<sup>a</sup> From 1986Ti02 for levels up to 5282-keV, and from 2009Lo05 for 7531-keV level and above. The meanlife reported in 2009Lo05 was measured using the fractional Doppler shift method.

<sup>b</sup> In keV (c.m.). Proton resonance energy deduced from level excitation energies and  $S(p)=7463.0$  2 (2003Au03) for  $^{27}\text{Si}$ .

 $\gamma(^{27}\text{Si})$ 

$E_\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
2329.8 8	7831.5	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	5501.6			
2371 4	7651.6	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	5282.8	(7/2,11/2) <sup>+</sup>		
2421.6 4	7738.8	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	5316.7			
2455.9 4	7738.8	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	5282.8	(7/2,11/2) <sup>+</sup>		
3115.6 14	7590.1	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	4474.8	7/2 <sup>+</sup> ,(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
3142.6 27	7590.1	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	4447.3	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
3204.1 1	7651.6	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	4447.3	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D+Q	$A_2=+0.40$ 3; $A_4=-0.01$ 4
3291.3 1	7738.8	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	4447.3	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
3383.8 2	7831.5	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	4447.3	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
3424.9 8	7899.7	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	4474.8	7/2 <sup>+</sup> ,(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
3719.4 12	8167.3	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	4447.3	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> )	D+Q	$A_2=+0.21$ 7; $A_4=+0.04$ 9
4828.7 5	7738.8	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	2909.9	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	$A_2=+0.25$ 12; $A_4=-0.25$ 17
4883.5 5	7531.6	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	2647.6	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		
4921.0 4	7831.5	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	2909.9	9/2 <sup>+</sup>		$A_2=+0.18$ 7; $A_4=+0.05$ 9
5056.7 1	7704.8	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	2647.6	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	D	$A_2=-0.21$ 7; $A_4=+0.09$ 9
5261 4	8167.3	11/2 <sup>+</sup>	2909.9	9/2 <sup>+</sup>		
5298.3 22	8208.1	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	2909.9	9/2 <sup>+</sup>		
5384.2 9	8031.9	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	2647.6	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		
5425.9 1	7590.1	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	2163.6	7/2 <sup>+</sup>		$A_2=-0.52$ 2; $A_4=+0.01$ 3
5434.0 19	8344.8	(7/2)	2909.9	9/2 <sup>+</sup>		
5530.1 9	7694.3	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	2163.6	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	$A_2=+0.50$ 7; $A_4=+0.24$ 9
5575.7 2	7738.8	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	2163.6	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	$A_2=-0.10$ 4; $A_4=+0.11$ 5
5631.0 19	7795.2	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	2163.6	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	$A_2=+0.9$ 3; $A_4=-0.3$ 3
5668.0 3	7831.5	9/2 <sup>-</sup>	2163.6	7/2 <sup>+</sup>		
6180.6 7	8344.8	(7/2)	2163.6	7/2 <sup>+</sup>		$A_2=+0.33$ 9; $A_4=-0.11$ 13
6511.1 6	7469.3	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	957.4	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	$A_2=-0.64$ 25; $A_4=+0.4$ 3
6573.5 9	7531.6	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	957.4	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	$A_2=-0.85$ 9; $A_4=+0.20$ 13
6879.6 2	7837.9	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	957.4	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	$A_2=-0.29$ 3; $A_4=+0.03$ 1
6941.1 8	7899.7	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	957.4	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	$A_2=-0.14$ 9; $A_4=-0.02$ 12
7008.2 8	7966.6	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	957.4	3/2 <sup>+</sup>		$A_2=-0.08$ 10; $A_4=-0.03$ 13
7071.6 19	8031.9	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	957.4	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	$A_2=-0.18$ 17; $A_4=+0.12$ 21
7112 3	8070	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	957.4	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	$A_2=+0.5$ 5; $A_4=+0.3$ 5
7127.1 7	7909.0	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	780.9	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	$A_2=-0.14$ 16; $A_4=+0.46$ 19

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 $^{12}\text{C}(\text{^{16}O},\text{n}\gamma)$     **2009Lo05,2009Lo01,1986Ti02 (continued)**


---

 $\gamma(^{27}\text{Si})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
7180.9 6	8139.3	1/2	957.4	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	$A_2=-0.05$ 7; $A_4=+0.20$ 9
7241.7 7	8200.1	(1/2,5/2)	957.4	3/2 <sup>+</sup>		$A_2=-0.27$ 9; $A_4=-0.09$ 11
7401.7 4	8183.7	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	780.9	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	D	$A_2=-0.04$ 9; $A_4=0.00$ 12
7417.3 9	8375.8	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	957.4	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	D+Q	$A_2=+0.52$ 16; $A_4=+0.22$ 17

<sup>†</sup>  $\gamma$ -rays depopulating levels below the 7469 keV level are from Adopted gammas, and  $\gamma$ -rays depopulating levels including 7469 keV and above are from [2009Lo05](#).

<sup>‡</sup> Assigned by the evaluator based on A2 and A4 values.

$^{12}\text{C}(\text{O},\text{n}\gamma)$  2009Lo05,2009Lo01,1986Ti02

## Level Scheme

