

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia	NDS 112,1875 (2011)	30-Nov-2010

$Q(\beta^-)=9069$  4;  $S(n)=6728$  6;  $S(p)=13286$  19;  $Q(\alpha)=-1.126 \times 10^4$  5    [2012Wa38](#)

Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record 9068    4 6728 5 13286 19-112.5E210    [2011AuZZ](#).

$S(p)=13240$  30,  $Q(\alpha)=-11270$  80 [2003Au03](#).

Some recent nuclear structure calculations: [2006Ko02](#), [2004Ge02](#), [2004La24](#).

**2010Ro23:** Measured one-neutron knock out cross section for 39 neutron rich isotopes, ranging from carbon to aluminium and with neutron numbers from 8 to 22. For  $^{27}\text{Ne}$ , the measured one-neutron knock out cross section is 64(8) mb on a beryllium target.

$^{27}\text{Na}$  matter radii: 2.95 fm 4 ([1998Su07](#));  $^{27}\text{Na}$  charge radii: 3.01 fm 5 ([2004An14](#)).

Production cross section  $\sim 0.1$   $\mu\text{b}$  and  $\sim 0.5$   $\mu\text{b}$ , measured in  $^{40}\text{Ar}$  fragmentation through  $^9\text{Be}(^{40}\text{Ar},\text{X})$ ,  $E=90\alpha$  MeV, and  $^{181}\text{Ta}(^{40}\text{Ar},\text{X})$ ,  $E=94\alpha$  MeV, reactions, respectively – [2007No13](#).

In [2006Kh08](#), 57.92 MeV/u and 50.65 MeV/u beams of  $^{27}\text{Na}$  impinged on a Si target, measured  $\sigma=2099$  (86) mb and  $\sigma=2192$  (31) mb, respectively, for the Si( $^{27}\text{Na},\text{x}$ ) reaction and a squared reduced absorption radius of  $r_0^2=1.172$  (16)  $\text{fm}^2$  is deduced and used to study the isospin dependence.

g-factor measurement: 1.557 3 ([2001Ne03](#)), 1.558 2 ([1978Hu12](#)).

 **$^{27}\text{Na}$  Levels****Cross Reference (XREF) Flags**

A	$^{27}\text{Ne}$ $\beta^-$ decay	D	$^2\text{H}(^{26}\text{Ne},\text{ny})$
B	$^{28}\text{Ne}$ $\beta^-$ n decay	E	$^{14}\text{C}(^{14}\text{C},\text{py})$
C	$^{29}\text{Ne}$ $\beta^-$ 2n decay	F	$^{26}\text{Mg}(^{18}\text{O},^{17}\text{F})$

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> @	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
0.0 <sup>#</sup>	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	301 ms 6	ABCDEF	% $\beta^-$ =100; % $\beta^-$ n=0.13 4 $\mu=+3.895$ 5; $Q=-0.007$ 3 $J^\pi$ : $J=5/2$ from laser spectroscopy ( <a href="#">1978Hu12</a> ), positive parity based on the logft=4.3 and 5.0 to 3/2 <sup>+</sup> and 5/2 <sup>+</sup> states, respectively, of $^{27}\text{Mg}$ in $^{27}\text{Na}$ $\beta^-$ decay. Configuration: $\pi d_{5/2}$ . T <sub>1/2</sub> : weighted average of 280 ms 20 ( <a href="#">1973Al13</a> ), 304 ms 7 ( <a href="#">1974Ro31</a> ) and 295 ms 20 ( <a href="#">1986Du07</a> ). % $\beta^-$ n: From <a href="#">1984Gu19</a> . $\mu$ : From <a href="#">1978Hu12</a> . Other: 3.894 3 ( <a href="#">2000Ke09</a> ). Q: From <a href="#">2000Ke09</a> ( $\beta$ - NMR). Other: $Q=-0.03$ 5, recalculated value in <a href="#">2000Ke09</a> from $Q=0.06$ 5 ( <a href="#">1982To05</a> ) using a recent reference value of $^{23}\text{Na}$ .
62.9 6	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )		ABCDE	$J^\pi$ : From an analog state at 90 keV of $J^\pi=3/2^+$ in $^{25}\text{Na}$ and shell-model calculations ( <a href="#">2002Co11</a> ). 63 $\gamma$ (M1+E2) to 5/2 <sup>+</sup> state.
1728.0 8	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> )		A DEF	$J^\pi$ : $J^\pi=1/2^-$ for this state is proposed in <a href="#">2002Co11</a> ( $^{14}\text{C}(^{14}\text{C},\text{py})$ ); in inverse kinematics reaction of $^2\text{H}(^{26}\text{Ne},\text{ny})$ the state was mainly produced via direct (d,n) reaction and should be a proton particle state and assigned a positive parity in <a href="#">2006Ob05</a> . In shell model calculation a 1/2 <sup>+</sup> state at 1630 keV has been predicted with a configuration of $\pi(d_{5/2})^2(s_{1/2})^1$ .
1815.7 9	[1/2 <sup>+</sup> ]		E	
2191.8 10	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )		A EF	$J^\pi$ : 2129 $\gamma$ (E2) to (3/2 <sup>+</sup> ) state.
2224.2 <sup>#</sup> 9	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )		E	$J^\pi$ : 2224 $\gamma$ (E2) to 5/2 <sup>+</sup> state. Band member.
2287.9 12			A	
2729.1 <sup>‡</sup> 10	[5/2 <sup>+</sup> ]		E	
2799.1 8			A	
3019.1 8	[3/2 <sup>+</sup> ]		A E	
3508.2 10			A	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)** **$^{27}\text{Na}$  Levels (continued)**

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> @	XREF	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> @	XREF
3582.3 10		A	5190.4 # 13	[13/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	E
3638.3 10		E	5408.9 10	[11/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	E
3657.2 13	[9/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	E	5590 50		F
3685.3 10	A		5704.6 8	[11/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	E
3781.3 10	A F		5762.7 10		E
3837.8 14	[5/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	E	5948.0 12	[9/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	E
4235.4 9	[7/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	E	6158.7 8	[9/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	E
4355.0 16	A		6518.4‡ 15	[5/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	E
4525.4 10		E	6742.1 12	[7/2 <sup>+</sup> ,9/2,11/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	E
4716.7 9	[3/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	E	9186.7# 17	[17/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	E
4980 50		F			

<sup>†</sup> From a least-square fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assuming  $\Delta E=1$  keV for all  $\gamma$ -rays.

<sup>‡</sup> Depopulating  $\gamma$ -ray from this level has been shown to feed the g.s. in the decay scheme ( $^{14}\text{C},\gamma\gamma$ ). If the  $\gamma$ -ray feeds the 62.9 keV state, then the energy of the state would be 62.9 keV higher, indicated in [2002Co11](#).

# g.s. band.

@  $J^\pi$  between brackets are assigned mainly from a comparison of shell model level energies with experimental levels ([2002Co11](#) – ( $^{14}\text{C},\gamma\gamma$ )).

 **$\gamma(^{27}\text{Na})$** 

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>i</sub>	E <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	I <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>f</sub>	Mult. @
62.9	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	63	100	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1+E2)
1728.0	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> )	1665	100 17	62.9 (3/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
		1728	14 7	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
1815.7	[1/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	1753‡	100	62.9 (3/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
2191.8	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2129	100	62.9 (3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(E2)	
2224.2	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	2224‡	100	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(E2)
2287.9		2225	100	62.9 (3/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
2729.1	[5/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	2729‡	100	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
2799.1		2736	100 14	62.9 (3/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
		2799	51 8	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
3019.1	[3/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	2956	32 6	62.9 (3/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
		3019	100 11	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
3508.2		3508	100	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
3582.3		3582	100	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
3638.3		3638‡	100	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
3657.2	[9/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	1433‡	100	2224.2 (9/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
3685.3		3685	100	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
3781.3		3781	100	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
3837.8	[5/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	1646‡	100	2191.8 (7/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
4235.4	[7/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	4235‡	100	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
4355.0		2067	100	2287.9		
4525.4		4525‡	100	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
4716.7	[3/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	2901#	35#	1815.7 [1/2 <sup>+</sup> ]		
		4716#	100#	0.0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	
5190.4	[13/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	2966‡	100	2224.2 (9/2 <sup>+</sup> )		
5408.9	[11/2 <sup>+</sup> ]	3217‡	100	2191.8 (7/2 <sup>+</sup> )		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)** $\gamma(^{27}\text{Na})$  (continued)

$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
5704.6	$[11/2^+]$	1469 <sup>#</sup>	61 <sup>#</sup>	4235.4	$[7/2^+]$
		3480 <sup>#</sup>	100 <sup>#</sup>	2224.2	$(9/2^+)$
5762.7		5762 <sup>‡</sup>	100	0.0	$5/2^+$
5948.0	$[9/2^+]$	539 <sup>‡</sup>	100	5408.9	$[11/2^+]$
6158.7	$[9/2^+]$	454 <sup>#</sup>	100 <sup>#</sup>	5704.6	$[11/2^+]$
		750 <sup>#</sup>	50 <sup>#</sup>	5408.9	$[11/2^+]$
		6158 <sup>#</sup>	50 <sup>#</sup>	0.0	$5/2^+$
6518.4	$[5/2^+]$	3789 <sup>‡</sup>	100	2729.1	$[5/2^+]$
6742.1	$[7/2^+, 9/2, 11/2^+]$	794 <sup>#</sup>	79 <sup>#</sup>	5948.0	$[9/2^+]$
		4550 <sup>#</sup>	100 <sup>#</sup>	2191.8	$(7/2^+)$
9186.7	$[17/2^+]$	3996 <sup>‡</sup>	100	5190.4	$[13/2^+]$

<sup>†</sup> From  $^{27}\text{Ne}$   $\beta^-$  Decay, except otherwise noted.<sup>‡</sup> From  $^{14}\text{C}(^{14}\text{C},\text{p}\gamma)$ .<sup>#</sup> From  $^{14}\text{C}(^{14}\text{C},\text{p}\gamma)$ .@ From angular distribution measurement (( $^{14}\text{C},\text{p}\gamma$ )–[2002Co11](#)).

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

● Coincidence

