$^{266}\mathbf{Sg}\,\alpha$ decay

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Y. Akovali	NDS 94, 131 (2001)	1-Aug-2001

Parent: ²⁶⁶Sg: E=0.0; $T_{1/2}$ =21 s +20-12; Q(α)=8762 51; % α decay≥18.0

 $T_{1/2}(^{266}Sg)=21 \text{ s} + 20-12 \text{ is the measurement of } 1998Tu01.$

The α branching was determined As $15 \le \% \alpha \le 50$ and As $18 \le \% \alpha$ by 1994La22 and 1998Tu01, respectively. Q(α)(266 Sg)=8762 51, listed In 1995Au04 was calculated from E α =8630 measured by 1994La22. The adopted α energy, E α (to 262 Rf g.s.)=8770 40, yields Q(α)(266 Sg)=8903 40.

²⁶²Rf Levels

E(level) J^{π}	J^{π}	Comments		
0.0 254? <i>50</i>	0+	The level energy is calculated by the evaluator from $E\alpha$ =8520 and $E\alpha$ (to gs)=8770. If $E\alpha$ (to gs)=8630, then E(level)=112 50.		

α radiations

Εα	E(level)	HF	Comments
8520 [†] <i>30</i>	254?		Measurements of 1998Tu01. The calculated hindrance factor of 0.3, if $I\alpha$ =33% of alpha decay, may suggest the existence of a contaminant under this alpha peak.
8770 40	0.0	1.0	E α : Measurements of 1998Tu01. The authors of 1994La22 assigned only one alpha at 8.63 5 MeV to ²⁶⁶ Sg alpha decay. See also 1995Og02. I α : The alpha intensities were measured by 1998Tu01 as $I\alpha(8770\alpha)/I\alpha(8520\alpha)=66/33$. When these intensities are used in hindrance-factor calculations, together with the half-life of 21 s and the alpha branching of $18 \le \alpha \le 50$, the calculations yield $1.35 \le co \le 1.39 8$, and $Hf(8520\alpha)/Hf(8770\alpha)=0.3$. One would expect $r0=1.45 5$ and $Hf(8520\alpha) > Hf(8770\alpha)$. For $\alpha = 50$, $I\alpha(8770\alpha)=100$ per 100 alpha decays, the calculations give $r0=1.40$; if $E\alpha = 8630$, measured by 1994La22 (together with $\alpha = 50$, $I\alpha = 100$) is used, then $r0=1.44 8$.

 † Existence of this branch is questionable.