

Adopted Levels

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 144, 297 (2017)	25-Aug-2017

$Q(\beta^-) = -1560$  SY;  $S(n) = 5960$  SY;  $S(p) = 2750$  SY;  $Q(\alpha) = 8904$  19 [2017Wa10](#)

Estimated uncertainties ([2017Wa10](#)): 110 for  $Q(\beta^-)$  and  $S(n)$ , 100 for  $S(p)$ .

$S(2n) = 13110$  130,  $S(2p) = 7250$  160 (syst, [2017Wa10](#)).

[1971Es01](#):  $^{258}\text{Lr}$  produced and identified in  $^{248}\text{Cm}(^{15}\text{N}, 4n)$ , and  $^{249}\text{Cf}(^{12}\text{C}, p2n)$  reactions with measurement of excitation functions.

Theoretical studies: consult the NSR database at [www.nndc.bnl.gov](http://www.nndc.bnl.gov) for about 25 references dealing with theoretical calculations of half-lives for different decay modes, binding energies, fission characteristics, and other nuclear structure aspects.

[Additional information 1.](#)

 $^{258}\text{Lr}$  LevelsCross Reference (XREF) Flags

**A**  $^{262}\text{Db}$   $\alpha$  decay (33.8 s)

E(level)	$T_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments
0	3.92 s 33	<b>A</b>	$\% \alpha = 97.4$ 18; $\% \varepsilon = 2.6$ 18 ( <a href="#">2014Ha04</a> ) $T_{1/2}$ : weighted average of measured values of 3.54 s +46–36 ( <a href="#">2014Ha04</a> , earlier values: 2.6 s +120–11 in <a href="#">2012Mo25</a> , 4.0 s +22–10 in <a href="#">2009Mo12</a> ); 4.2 s +15–11 ( <a href="#">1992Sc30</a> ); 3.92 s +35–31 ( <a href="#">1992Gr02</a> ); 4.35 s 59 ( <a href="#">1976BeZY</a> ), and 4.2 s 6 ( <a href="#">1971Es01</a> ). Decay modes from <a href="#">2014Ha04</a> . Others: SF decay of the $\varepsilon$ -decay daughter was not detected and an upper limit of 5% was given by <a href="#">1971Es01</a> . $T_{1/2}(\text{SF}) > 20$ s, measured by <a href="#">1971Fi02</a> , gives $\% \text{SF} < 20$ . <a href="#">1992Gr02</a> recorded one $\alpha$ -SF correlation, probably due to $^{262}\text{Db}$ $\alpha$ followed by the $\varepsilon$ decay of $^{258}\text{Lr}$ to $^{258}\text{No}$ , and 28 $\alpha$ - $\alpha$ correlations in their study of $^{262}\text{Db}$ $\alpha$ decay, and suggested that the $\varepsilon$ and SF decay branches of $^{258}\text{Lr}$ account for less than a few percent.
0+x		<b>A</b>	E(level): x=235 105 from $Q(\alpha) = 9050$ 100 for $^{262}\text{Db}$ (syst, <a href="#">2017Wa10</a> ) and $E\alpha = 8680$ 30 ( <a href="#">2014Ha04</a> ).
153+x? 35		<b>A</b>	E(level): this level is treated as questionable as the 8530 $\alpha$ is observed by <a href="#">1977BeZM</a> only, and not identified clearly by <a href="#">2014Ha04</a> , even though in <a href="#">1977BeZM</a> the 8530 $\alpha$ is claimed as stronger than the 8670 $\alpha$ which was observed clearly by <a href="#">2014Ha04</a> .
224+x 50		<b>A</b>	From the favored $\alpha$ (the hindrance factor of $\approx 3.4$ ) transition to this level, the configuration of this level is expected to be the same as that of its parent, the ground state of $^{262}\text{Db}$ g.s.