

Adopted Levels

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 141, 327 (2017)	22-Mar-2017

Q(β^-)=-3920 80; S(n)=7056 17; S(p)=4310 10; Q(α)=8582 5 [2017Wa10](#)

S(2n)=13044 12, S(2p)=7659 8 ([2017Wa10](#)).

[1963Do12](#), [1964Do10](#): ²⁵⁶No produced in ²³⁸U(²²Ne,4n) reaction and assigned as parent of ²⁵²Fm from α -decay study, measured half-life. Later studies: [1966Ku15](#), [1967Dr02](#), [1967Gh01](#), [1990Ho03](#).

Theoretical calculations: consult the Nuclear Science References (NSR) database for about 134 theory references.

[2016Ro28](#), [2014Li15](#), [2013Af01](#), [2013Pr08](#), [2012Jo05](#): nuclear structure theory references.

²⁵⁶No Levels

E(level)	J π	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	2.91 s 5	<p>$\% \alpha = 99.47$ 6; $\% \text{SF} = 0.53$ 6</p> <p>$\% \alpha(^{256}\text{No})$ is obtained from $\text{SF}/\alpha = 0.0053$ +6-3, measured by 1990Ho03. Any possible ϵ decay branch is taken as negligible: calculations of transition probability for an electron-capture branch from ²⁵⁶Md g.s. to the ²⁵⁶Fm g.s. yield $I(\epsilon) \leq 0.001\%$ by requiring its $\log ft$ value to be ≥ 5.8.</p> <p>From calculation of r_0 parameters as a function of α branching, a probable ϵ branch can be estimated only with large uncertainty: the expected r_0 value of 1.477 10 corresponds to $\% \alpha = 100$, with a lower limit of 75%.</p> <p>Calculations by 1997Mo25 give $T_{1/2}(\beta^+) > 100$ s (with respect to Gamow-Teller β decay) and $T_{1/2}(\alpha) = 2.75$ s.</p> <p>$\% \text{SF}$ was deduced by 1968F105 as ≤ 0.25; $\% \text{SF} = 0.25$ was also obtained by 1967Gh01; however, isotopic assignment was uncertain.</p> <p>$T_{1/2}$: from measurement by 1990Ho03. Others: 3.3 s 2 (1967Gh01), 3.7 s 5 (1968F105), 6 s 2 (1967Dr02), 8.2 s 10 (1966Ku15), ≈ 8 s (1964Do10, 1963Do12).</p>