

²⁵⁶Md ε decay (77.7 min) 2000Ah02

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh	NDS 141, 327 (2017)	22-Mar-2017

Parent: ²⁵⁶Md: E=0.0; J^π=(1⁻); T_{1/2}=77.7 min 18; Q(ε)=1970 SY; %ε+%β⁺ decay=90.8 7

²⁵⁶Md-J^π,T_{1/2}: From ²⁵⁶Md Adopted Levels.

²⁵⁶Md-Q(ε): 1970 120 (syst,2017Wa10).

²⁵⁶Md-Probable configuration= $\nu 7/2[613] \otimes \pi 7/2[514]$ (2000Ah02).

2000Ah02: ²⁵⁶Md formed in ²⁵³Es(α,n),E=35-45 MeV reaction at the Argonne cyclotron facility. Target was 99.4% enriched. The recoiling Md nuclei were stopped in He gas and collected to impinge on a Pt disk. Measured Eγ, Iγ, E(x ray), I(x ray), Eα, Iα, α-γ coin using Si and Ge(Li) detectors.

The Fermium K x-ray energies and intensities were measured by 2000Ah02, 1993Mo18 and 1970Fi12 and 1993Mo18. Values from 2000Ah02 are given here.

²⁵⁶Fm Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π @
0.0	0 ⁺
48.09 17	2 ⁺
159.66 23	4 ⁺
682.16 15	(2 ⁺)
725.36 21	(3 ⁺)
1326.13 [‡] 18	(1 ⁺)
1360.4 [‡] 3	(2 ⁺)
1374.15 [#] 18	(1 ⁻)
1405.22 [#] 21	(2 ⁻)

[†] From least-squares fit to Eγ values.

[‡] Proposed as member of configuration= $\nu 7/2[613] \otimes \nu 9/2[615]$ (2000Ah02).

[#] Proposed as member of configuration= $\pi 7/2[633] \otimes \pi 7/2[514]$ (2000Ah02).

@ From Adopted Levels.

ε,β⁺ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁺ #	Iε [†] #	Log ft	I(ε+β ⁺) [†] #	Comments
(564 SY)	1405.22		5.4	6.4	5.4	εK=0.67 4; εL=0.24 3; εM+=0.093 13
(595 SY)	1374.15		10	6.2	10	εK=0.67 4; εL=0.235 23; εM+=0.090 11
(609 SY)	1360.4		4.5	6.6	4.5	εK=0.68 3; εL=0.233 21; εM+=0.090 10
(643 SY)	1326.13		13	6.2	13	εK=0.68 3; εL=0.229 18; εM+=0.088 9
(1287 [@] SY)	682.16		<1.8	>7.7	<1.8	εK=0.731 5; εL=0.196 3; εM+=0.0726 14
(1921 SY)	48.09				[‡]	
(1970 SY)	0.0	0.12	58	6.6	58 [‡]	av Eβ=455 53; εK=0.7436 11; εL=0.1861 13; εM+=0.0681 6

[†] From Fig. 7 in 2000Ah02, adjusted by the decay branching ratio of 90.8% 7.

[‡] Combined feeding for g.s. and 48.3 level.

[#] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

@ Existence of this branch is questionable.

²⁵⁶Md ε decay (77.7 min) **2000Ah02** (continued)

γ(²⁵⁶Fm)

I_γ normalization: Deduced by evaluator from equating summed γ-transition intensity of 83.6 47 from 1405 level=6% as given in Fig. 7 of **2000Ah02**, which is most likely deduced from total measured x-ray intensity, as the the component from β⁺ is expected to be negligible.

Fm Kα₂ x ray: E(x ray)=115.30 8, I(x ray)=266 12 (**2000Ah02**).

Fm Kα₁ x ray: E(x ray)=121.10 8, I(x ray)=409 20 (**2000Ah02**).

Fm Kβ₁ x ray: E(x ray)=136.0 2, I(x ray)=143 10 (**2000Ah02**).

Fm Kβ₂ x ray: E(x ray)=140.6 2, I(x ray)=57 5 (**2000Ah02**).

E _γ	I _γ [‡]	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.	α [†]	I _(γ+ce) [‡]	Comments
(48.3 3)		48.09	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	[E2]	832		α(L)=597 9; α(M)=171.8 24 α(N)=48.9 7; α(O)=12.31 18; α(P)=1.93 3; α(Q)=0.00466 7
(111.6 2)		159.66	4 ⁺	48.09	2 ⁺	[E2]	15.96	27 5	E _γ : from Adopted Gammas. α(L)=11.45 16; α(M)=3.30 5 α(N)=0.937 14; α(O)=0.237 4; α(P)=0.0379 6; α(Q)=0.0001575 22 I _(γ+ce) : from intensity balance at 160 level, value is in relative units on the same scale as gamma-ray intensities.
565.8 3	11 2	725.36	(3 ⁺)	159.66	4 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.21 16		E _γ : from Adopted Gammas. α(K)=0.16 13; α(L)=0.039 21; α(M)=0.0098 50 α(N)=0.0027 14; α(O)=7.2×10 ⁻⁴ 37; α(P)=1.36×10 ⁻⁴ 74; α(Q)=6.6×10 ⁻⁶ 50
600.8 4	18 3	1326.13	(1 ⁺)	725.36	(3 ⁺)	[E2]	0.0489		α(K)=0.0285 4; α(L)=0.01493 22; α(M)=0.00400 6 α(N)=0.001127 16; α(O)=0.000291 5; α(P)=5.18×10 ⁻⁵ 8; α(Q)=1.442×10 ⁻⁶ 21
634.1@ 2	94@ 10	682.16	(2 ⁺)	48.09	2 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.15 11		α(K)=0.115 90; α(L)=0.028 16; α(M)=0.0071 37 α(N)=0.0020 11; α(O)=5.2×10 ⁻⁴ 28; α(P)=9.9×10 ⁻⁵ 55; α(Q)=4.9×10 ⁻⁶ 37 I _γ : intensity divided by 2000Ah02 based on data in 1989Ha10 for ²⁵⁶ Es β ⁻ decay.
634.1@& 2	25@ 10	1360.4	(2 ⁺)	725.36	(3 ⁺)	[M1,E2]	0.15 11		α(K)=0.115 90; α(L)=0.028 16; α(M)=0.0071 37 α(N)=0.0020 11; α(O)=5.2×10 ⁻⁴ 28; α(P)=9.9×10 ⁻⁵ 55; α(Q)=4.9×10 ⁻⁶ 37
644.0 2	109 9	1326.13	(1 ⁺)	682.16	(2 ⁺)	[M1,E2]	0.15 11		α(K)=0.111 86; α(L)=0.027 15; α(M)=0.0068 36 α(N)=0.00189 99; α(O)=5.0×10 ⁻⁴ 27; α(P)=9.5×10 ⁻⁵ 53; α(Q)=4.7×10 ⁻⁶ 35
677.3@ 2	48@ 4	725.36	(3 ⁺)	48.09	2 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.129 92		α(K)=0.097 74; α(L)=0.023 13;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

²⁵⁶Md ε decay (77.7 min) **2000Ah02** (continued)

γ(²⁵⁶Fm) (continued)

<u>E_γ</u>	<u>I_γ[‡]</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.</u>	<u>α[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
								α(M)=0.0059 31 α(N)=0.00164 86; α(O)=4.3×10 ⁻⁴ 23; α(P)=8.2×10 ⁻⁵ 46; α(Q)=4.1×10 ⁻⁶ 30 I _γ : intensity divided, based on data in 1989Ha10 for ²⁵⁶ Es β ⁻ decay.
677.3 @ & 2	@	1360.4	(2 ⁺)	682.16	(2 ⁺)			E _γ : this γ ray is not included in the Adopted dataset.
680.0 3	23 2	1405.22	(2 ⁻)	725.36	(3 ⁺)	[E1]	0.00995	α(K)=0.00792 12; α(L)=0.001526 22; α(M)=0.000371 6 α(N)=0.0001028 15; α(O)=2.69×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=5.08×10 ⁻⁶ 8; α(Q)=2.58×10 ⁻⁷ 4
682.1 2	100	682.16	(2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺	[E2]	0.0372	α(K)=0.0232 4; α(L)=0.01029 15; α(M)=0.00273 4 α(N)=0.000768 11; α(O)=0.000199 3; α(P)=3.58×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α(Q)=1.111×10 ⁻⁶ 16
692.0 2	73 6	1374.15	(1 ⁻)	682.16	(2 ⁺)	[E1]	0.00965	α(K)=0.00769 11; α(L)=0.001477 21; α(M)=0.000359 5 α(N)=9.95×10 ⁻⁵ 14; α(O)=2.61×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=4.92×10 ⁻⁶ 7; α(Q)=2.50×10 ⁻⁷ 4
723.0 2	27 3	1405.22	(2 ⁻)	682.16	(2 ⁺)	[E1]	0.00893	α(K)=0.00712 10; α(L)=0.001361 19; α(M)=0.000330 5 α(N)=9.17×10 ⁻⁵ 13; α(O)=2.40×10 ⁻⁵ 4; α(P)=4.54×10 ⁻⁶ 7; α(Q)=2.32×10 ⁻⁷ 4
1200.6 5	14 3	1360.4	(2 ⁺)	159.66	4 ⁺	[E2]	0.01232	α(K)=0.00903 13; α(L)=0.00244 4; α(M)=0.000620 9 α(N)=0.0001733 25; α(O)=4.53×10 ⁻⁵ 7; α(P)=8.48×10 ⁻⁶ 12; α(Q)=3.72×10 ⁻⁷ 6; α(IPF)=2.49×10 ⁻⁶ 5
1278.0 3	15 2	1326.13	(1 ⁺)	48.09	2 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.025 15	α(K)=0.019 12; α(L)=0.0043 22; α(M)=0.00106 53 α(N)=3.0×10 ⁻⁴ 15; α(O)=7.8×10 ⁻⁵ 39; α(P)=1.50×10 ⁻⁵ 77; α(Q)=8.0×10 ⁻⁷ 47; α(IPF)=2.1×10 ⁻⁵ 13
1312.3 3	33 3	1360.4	(2 ⁺)	48.09	2 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.024 13	α(K)=0.018 11; α(L)=0.0040 20; α(M)=9.9×10 ⁻⁴ 49 α(N)=2.8×10 ⁻⁴ 14; α(O)=7.3×10 ⁻⁵ 36; α(P)=1.40×10 ⁻⁵ 71; α(Q)=7.5×10 ⁻⁷ 43; α(IPF)=3.1×10 ⁻⁵ 18
1326.1# 3	36# 3	1326.13	(1 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺	[M1]	0.0356	α(K)=0.0277 4; α(L)=0.00584 9; α(M)=0.001434 20 α(N)=0.000400 6; α(O)=0.0001056 15; α(P)=2.05×10 ⁻⁵ 3; α(Q)=1.144×10 ⁻⁶ 16 α(IPF)=5.51×10 ⁻⁵ 8
1326.1# 3	36# 3	1374.15	(1 ⁻)	48.09	2 ⁺	[E1]	0.00325	α(K)=0.00258 4; α(L)=0.000468 7; α(M)=0.0001128 16 α(N)=3.13×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α(O)=8.24×10 ⁻⁶ 12; α(P)=1.580×10 ⁻⁶ 23; α(Q)=8.69×10 ⁻⁸ 13; α(IPF)=4.71×10 ⁻⁵ 7
1357.1 3	33 3	1405.22	(2 ⁻)	48.09	2 ⁺	[E1]	0.00314	α(K)=0.00248 4; α(L)=0.000450 7; α(M)=0.0001084 16 α(N)=3.01×10 ⁻⁵ 5; α(O)=7.92×10 ⁻⁶ 11; α(P)=1.520×10 ⁻⁶ 22; α(Q)=8.38×10 ⁻⁸ 12; α(IPF)=6.06×10 ⁻⁵ 9

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{256}Md ε decay (77.7 min) 2000Ah02 (continued) $\gamma(^{256}\text{Fm})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^\dagger	Comments
1374.1 3	38 4	1374.15	(1 ⁻)	0.0	0 ⁺	[E1]	0.00308	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00243$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000441$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001062$ 15 $\alpha(\text{N})=2.95 \times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.75 \times 10^{-6}$ 11; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.488 \times 10^{-6}$ 21; $\alpha(\text{Q})=8.22 \times 10^{-8}$ 12 $\alpha(\text{IPF})=6.88 \times 10^{-5}$ 10

[†] Theoretical values from BrIcc code (2008Ki07) using “Frozen orbital” approximation, value overlaps M1 and E2, when mixing ratio is unknown.

[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.065 4.

Multiply placed with undivided intensity.

@ Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^{256}Md ϵ decay (77.7 min) 2000Ah02

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
 & Multiply placed: undivided intensity given
 @ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)

(1^-) 0.0 77.7 min 18
 $Q_{\epsilon}=1970$ SY
 $^{256}_{101}\text{Md}_{155}$
 $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 90.8$

