

$^{255}\text{Lr}$  IT decay (1.70 ms) 2008Ha31

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. Browne, J. K. Tuli		NDS 114, 1041 (2013)	1-Nov-2011

Parent:  $^{255}\text{Lr}$ :  $E=1408.6+y$  10;  $J^\pi=(25/2^+)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=1.70$  ms 3; %IT decay>99.9

$^{255}\text{Lr}$ - $T_{1/2}$ : From Adopted Levels, Gammas.

$E=219$  MeV beam provided by U400 cyclotron at Dubna. VASSILISSA fragment separator. Detected evaporation residues using GABRIELA array of 16 Si strips. Detected conversion electrons using four four-strip Si strips. Measured  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\text{ce})$  coin, recoil-ce correlations using seven Ge detectors for  $\gamma$  rays and Si detectors for electrons. Measured 2.54-s isomer half-life using time-of-flight method.

 $^{255}\text{Lr}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	$[1/2^-]$	31.1 s 11	$T_{1/2}$ : From Adopted Levels, Gammas.
38 10	$[7/2^-]$	2.54 s 5	%IT $\approx$ 60 (2006Ch52)
			$T_{1/2}$ : From Adopted Levels, Gammas.
1408.6+y 10		1.70 ms 3	E(level): From Adopted Levels. $E>720$ keV by 2008Ha31 based on 100-keV conversion electrons observed in coincidence with 588-keV $\gamma$ -ray. Excitation energy of resultant level is $>720$ keV assuming M-conversion or $>850$ keV assuming K-conversion. This level may be the same reported at $1408+x$ keV (1.70 ms 3) in the $^{209}\text{Bi}(^{48}\text{Ca},2n\gamma)$ reaction (2009Je02), and at $>1600$ keV (1.81 ms 2) in 2008An16. $J^\pi$ : from systematics this isomer is expected to have a high K value. <a href="#">Additional information 1.</a> $T_{1/2}$ : from time difference between $^{255}\text{Lr}$ recoils and conversion electrons ( $<140$ keV electrons); single decay component was assumed.

 $\gamma(^{255}\text{Lr})$ 

$E_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	Comments
$^x244^\dagger$		
$^x301^\dagger$		
$^x387^\dagger$		
$^x492^\dagger$		
$^x588^\dagger$		$E_\gamma$ : this $\gamma$ ray was observed in coincidence with 100-keV electrons.

$^\dagger$  The  $\gamma$  ray is uncertain due to low statistics.

$^x$   $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.