

$^{255}\text{Es}$   $\beta^-$  decay **1987Po22**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. Browne, J. K. Tuli		NDS 114, 1041 (2013)	1-Nov-2011

Parent:  $^{255}\text{Es}$ :  $E=0$ ;  $J^\pi=(7/2^+)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=39.8$  d 12;  $Q(\beta^-)=290$  10;  $\% \beta^-$  decay=92.0 4

[Additional information 1.](#)

**1987Po22**: Cf(n, $\gamma$ ); Es ion chem; measured  $\gamma$ , Ge(Li) detector.

Three  $\gamma$ -ray transitions with  $E_\gamma=21.8$  (L x ray), 60, 120 (K x ray) were found to decay with  $T_{1/2}=38$  d (**1987Po22**). The origin of the K x ray, however, is unclear, since evaluators have noticed that no  $\gamma$  rays were seen with energy above the Fm K-binding energy of 143.1 keV.

 $^{255}\text{Fm}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$	Comments
0	$7/2^+$	$J^\pi$ : From Adopted Levels.
60?	$(9/2^+)$	

 $\beta^-$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger}$	Log $ft$	Comments
$(230^{\ddagger} 10)$	60?	$\leq 100$	$\geq 7.3$	av $E\beta=80$ 3
$(290 10)$	0			

$\dagger$  For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.920 4.

$\ddagger$  Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{255}\text{Fm})$ 

$E_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
$60^{\dagger}$	60?	$(9/2^+)$	0	$7/2^+$
$^x 120$				

$\dagger$  Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^x$   $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

${}^{255}\text{Es} \beta^-$  decay 1987Po22

## Decay Scheme

## Legend

