

$^{250}\text{Cf}(\alpha, t)$ **1978Ah02**

Type	Author	History	
		Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. Morse	NDS 189,111 (2023)	23-Sep-2022

1978Ah02: $E(\alpha)=28$ MeV, FWHM=18 keV, $\theta=80^\circ, 110^\circ$. Spectroscopic factors are deduced and compared with theory.
Preliminary results in [1977Ah01](#).

 ^{251}Es Levels

E(level)	J ^π	(2J+1)S [#]	Comments
0 [†]	3/2 ⁻	0.09 2	configuration=π3/2 ⁻ [521]
35 [‡] 3	5/2 ⁻	0.14 4	
78 [†] 2	7/2 ⁻	0.74 8	
186 2	13/2 ⁺	2.44 27	configuration=π7/2 ⁺ [633]
411 [‡] 2	1/2 ⁻	0.32 5	configuration=π1/2 ⁻ [521]
452 [‡] 2	5/2 ⁻	0.72 9	
523 2	9/2 ⁻	1.62 25	configuration=π7/2 ⁻ [514]
548 [‡] 2	7/2 ⁻	0.31 @ 6	
548 [‡] 2	9/2 ⁻	0.28 @ 5	
661 3	1/2 ⁺	0.19 6	configuration=π1/2 ⁺ [400]
942 4	13/2 ⁺	1.13 24	configuration=π9/2 ⁺ [624]
962 5	13/2 ⁺		configuration={π7/2 ⁺ [633]⊗2 ⁺ }11/2 ⁺ Member of γ-vibrational band, c.f. ^{251}Fm ε decay.

[†] Band(A): π3/2⁻[521].

[‡] Band(B): π1/2⁻[521].

$(2J+1)S=(d\sigma/d\Omega)_{\text{exp}}/N(d\sigma/dW)_{\text{DW}}$, with N=150.

@ “The partition between the 7/2 and 9/2 intensities was made on the basis of the theoretical spectroscopic factors” ([1978Ah02](#)).

$^{250}\text{Cf}(\alpha, t)$ 1978Ah02Band(B): $\pi 1/2^- [521]$ 9/2⁻ _____ 5485/2⁻ _____ 4521/2⁻ _____ 411Band(A): $\pi 3/2^- [521]$ 7/2⁻ _____ 785/2⁻ _____ 353/2⁻ _____ 0