

^{251}Es ε decay [1970Ah01,2005Ah09](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. Morse	NDS 189,111 (2023)	23-Sep-2022

Parent: ^{251}Es : $E=0$; $J^\pi=3/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=33$ h I ; $Q(\varepsilon)=377$ 6; $\% \varepsilon$ decay=99.5 I

^{251}Es - $Q(\varepsilon)$: From [2021Wa16](#).

Production: $^{249}\text{Bk}(\alpha, \text{xn})$ and $^{249}\text{Bk}(^3\text{He}, \text{xn})$, ion chem. Measured: E_γ , I_γ , $\gamma\gamma$, (Ge(Li), NaI(Tl)) ([1970Ah01](#)).

[2005Ah09](#): decay product of ^{251}Fm . Measured ce, Si(Li), FWHM=1 at 100 keV; γ , Ge(Li).

 ^{251}Cf Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	Comments
0	$1/2^+$	configuration= $\nu 1/2^+$ [620] (1970Ah01)
24.9	$3/2^+$	
48.0	$5/2^+$	
106.3	$7/2^+$	
177.6	$3/2^+$	configuration= $\nu 3/2^+$ [622] (1970Ah01)
211.5	$5/2^+$	

[†] From [1970Ah01](#).

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 ε radiations

[1970Ah01](#) report that from I_γ , $I(\text{K x ray})$ and $(\gamma)(\text{K x ray})$ coincidences, $\approx 65\%$ of ε feeds the g.s. band. This $I(\varepsilon)$, however, does not produce agreement between the measured and calculated $I(\text{K x ray})$. From the measured $I(\text{K x ray})$ the intensity of the ε to the g.s. band is $\approx 80\%$.

Decays depopulating the levels in the ground-state band were not observed. The intensity and $\log ft$ values associated with the ground state given here should be understood to apply to the levels of the ground-state band as a group.

E(decay)	E(level)	I_ε ^{†‡}	Log ft	Comments
(166 6)	211.5	≈ 1.00	≈ 6.9	$\varepsilon K=0.12$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.600$ 23; $\varepsilon M+=0.276$ 14
(199 6)	177.6	≈ 19	≈ 5.9	$\varepsilon K=0.30$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.486$ 18; $\varepsilon M+=0.213$ 10
(377 6)	0	≈ 80	≈ 6.1	$\varepsilon K=0.610$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.279$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.1104$ 13

[†] Deduced from intensity balance in the level scheme.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{251}\text{Cf})$

I_γ normalization: $\%I_\gamma$ from [2005Ah09](#).

$I(\text{Cf K x ray})=64\%$ 5 ([2005Ah09](#)), $\text{K x ray}/177.6\gamma=18$ 2 from $(\text{K x ray})(\text{K x ray}, 177.6\gamma)$ ([1970Ah01](#)).

E_γ	I_γ [#]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α [‡]	Comments
(23.0)		48.0	$5/2^+$	24.9	$3/2^+$			
(24.8)		24.9	$3/2^+$	0	$1/2^+$			
(47.8)		48.0	$5/2^+$	0	$1/2^+$			
71.5	≈ 0.009 [†]	177.6	$3/2^+$	106.3	$7/2^+$	E2	107.5	ce(L2) ≈ 0.25 (2005Ah09); ce(L3) ≈ 0.20 (2005Ah09) ce(M) ≈ 0.20 (2005Ah09); ce(N) ≈ 0.06 (2005Ah09) $\alpha(L)=77.4$ 11; $\alpha(M)=22.1$ 3; $\alpha(N)=6.21$ 9; $\alpha(O)=1.535$ 22; $\alpha(P)=0.243$ 4; $\alpha(Q)=0.000714$ 10

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{251}Es ε decay [1970Ah01,2005Ah09](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{251}\text{Cf})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	$\alpha^\ddagger$	Comments
81.2	$\approx 0.005^\dagger$	106.3	$7/2^+$	24.9	$3/2^+$	E2		58.9	ce(L1)+ce(L2) ≈ 0.13 (2005Ah09); ce(M) ≈ 0.06 (2005Ah09) $\alpha(\text{L})=42.4$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=12.10$ 17; $\alpha(\text{N})=3.40$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.842$ 12; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.1337$ 19; $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.000426$ 6
129.8	$0.84^\dagger$ 7	177.6	$3/2^+$	48.0	$5/2^+$	M1		4.01	ce(L1)+ce(L2)=2.5 2 (2005Ah09); ce(M)=0.62 5 (2005Ah09) $\alpha(\text{L})=3.01$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.739$ 11; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.205$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0532$ 8; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.01027$ 15 $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.000605$ 9 E_γ : from electron line (2005Ah09).
152.8	0.530 45	177.6	$3/2^+$	24.9	$3/2^+$	M1		11.36	$\alpha(\text{L1})\text{exp}+\alpha(\text{L2})\text{exp}=1.90$ 23 (2005Ah09); $\alpha(\text{M})\text{exp}=0.45$ 5 (2005Ah09) ce(L1)+ce(L2)=1.00 8 (2005Ah09); ce(M)=0.24 2 (2005Ah09) $\alpha(\text{K})=8.84$ 13; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.88$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.463$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.1283$ 18; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0333$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.00643$ 9 $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.000378$ 6
163.8	0.056 7	211.5	$5/2^+$	48.0	$5/2^+$	[M1]		9.33	$\alpha(\text{L1})\text{exp}+\alpha(\text{L2})\text{exp}=1.6$ 3 (2005Ah09); ce(L1)+ce(L2)=0.09 2 (2005Ah09) $\alpha(\text{K})=7.27$ 11; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.544$ 22; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.380$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.1052$ 15; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0273$ 4 $\alpha(\text{P})=0.00527$ 8; $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.000310$ 5
177.6	1.30 11	177.6	$3/2^+$	0	$1/2^+$	M1+E2	0.44 8	6.69	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=5.1$ 6 (2005Ah09); $\alpha(\text{L1})\text{exp}+\alpha(\text{L2})\text{exp}=1.17$ 14 (2005Ah09) $\alpha(\text{L3})\text{exp}=0.06$ 1 (2005Ah09); $\alpha(\text{M})\text{exp}=0.30$ 4 (2005Ah09) $\alpha(\text{N})\text{exp}=0.120$ 14 (2005Ah09); ce(K)=6.60 55 (2005Ah09) ce(L1)+ce(L2)=1.52 13 (2005Ah09); ce(L3)=0.078 15 (2005Ah09) ce(M)=0.390 33 (2005Ah09); ce(N)=0.160 14 (2005Ah09) $\alpha(\text{K})=5.04$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.223$ 18; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.307$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0852$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0220$ 3 $\alpha(\text{P})=0.00415$ 6; $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.000217$ 3 δ : Calculated from measured conversion coefficients using BrIccMixing v2.3d.

 † From ce(M) ([2005Ah09](#)) and $\alpha(\text{M})$ (Theory). ‡ [Additional information 1](#). $^\#$ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.995 1.

²⁵¹Es ε decay 1970Ah01,2005Ah09

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_(γ+ce) per 100 parent decays

