

^{250}Es ε decay (2.22 h)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Y. Akovali	NDS 94,131 (2001)	1-Aug-2001

Parent: ^{250}Es : $E=0.0+x$; $J^\pi=1^{(-)}$; $T_{1/2}=2.22$ h 5; $Q(\varepsilon)=2100$ SY; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay ≤ 100.0

^{250}Es - $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay: [Additional information 1](#).

$Q+=2100$ 100 is recommended by [1995Au04](#) for ε decay of ^{250}Es ground state. The excitation energy of the parent 2.22-H ^{250}Es isomeric state has not been determined experimentally. By assuming that the energy difference between the 1^- , (p 7/2[633], n 9/2[734]) configuration (2.22-H state) and the 6^+ , (p 3/2[521], n 9/2[734]) ground state of ^{250}Es is less than the $\Delta Q(\varepsilon)$ value of 100 keV, calculations are carried here by taking $Q+(2.22\text{-H } ^{250}\text{Es})=2150$ 150.

 ^{250}Cf Levels

E(level)	J^π^\dagger	E(level)	J^π^\dagger	E(level)	J^π^\dagger	E(level)	J^π^\dagger
0.0	0^+	905.89 2	3^-	1154.24 10	0^+	1244.50 8	(2^+)
42.721 5	2^+	951.98 2	4^-	1175.52 3	1^-	1266.6 2	0^+
141.875 10	4^+	1031.852 21	2^+	1189.39 3	2^+	1296.60 4	2^+
871.57 3	2^-	1071.37 2	3^+	1209.97 4	(2^-)	1658.00 4	2^+

† ADOPTED values.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \#$	$I\varepsilon^{\dagger\ddagger\#}$	Log f_t	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)^\#$	Comments
(442 SY)	1658.00		4.8 3	6.5 5	4.8 3	$\varepsilon K=0.66$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.24$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.094$ 25
(803 SY)	1296.60		0.28 3	8.29 20	0.28 3	$\varepsilon K=0.717$ 15; $\varepsilon L=0.206$ 11; $\varepsilon M+=0.077$ 5
(833 SY)	1266.6		0.95 5	7.80 19	0.95 5	$\varepsilon K=0.720$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.204$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.076$ 5
(855 SY)	1244.50		1.71 10	7.57 19	1.71 10	$\varepsilon K=0.721$ 13; $\varepsilon L=0.203$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.075$ 4
(890 SY)	1209.97		3.01 20	7.36 18	3.01 20	$\varepsilon K=0.723$ 12; $\varepsilon L=0.202$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.075$ 4
(910 SY)	1189.39		0.32 5	8.35 19	0.32 5	$\varepsilon K=0.725$ 11; $\varepsilon L=0.201$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.074$ 4
(924 SY)	1175.52		2.31 13	7.51 17	2.31 13	$\varepsilon K=0.725$ 11; $\varepsilon L=0.200$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.074$ 4
(945 SY)	1154.24		0.38 5	8.31 17	0.38 5	$\varepsilon K=0.727$ 10; $\varepsilon L=0.200$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.074$ 3
(1068 SY)	1031.852		23.7 13	6.63 15	23.7 13	$\varepsilon K=0.733$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.196$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.0719$ 23
(1228 SY)	871.57		5.6 9	7.38 14	5.6 9	$\varepsilon K=0.738$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.192$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.0701$ 17
(2057 SY)	42.721	0.032 19	8.1 18	7.69 12	8.5 18	av $E\beta=514$ 66; $\varepsilon K=0.7502$; $\varepsilon L=0.1806$ 15; $\varepsilon M+=0.0653$ 7
(2100 SY)	0.0	0.23 12	51 5	6.91 8	51 5	av $E\beta=533$ 66; $\varepsilon K=0.7502$; $\varepsilon L=0.1802$ 15; $\varepsilon M+=0.0651$ 6

† The intensities are given per 100 β^+ decay.

‡ Relative decay branches to excited levels are deduced from intensity balances; the $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay branch to the ground state is obtained from $I(K \text{ x-ray; measured})=I(K \text{ x-ray; calculated})$. The K x-ray intensity calculated by using theoretical $\varepsilon K/(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ ratios for each level and $\alpha(K)$ conversion coefficients for all gammas. Fluorescence yield of 0.973 4 ([1979Ah01](#)) is used.

$^\#$ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by ≤ 0.98 .

²⁵⁰Es ε decay (2.22 h) (continued)

γ(²⁵⁰Cf)

Californium x-rays (1980Ah03):

E(x-ray)	I(x-ray)	
-----	(same Units As Iγ's)	
-----	-----	
109.8 1	22.2 16	Kα ₂ x ray
115.0 1	34.7 24	Kα ₁ x ray
129.7 2	13.1 9	Kβ ₁ ' x ray
133.7 2	4.7 4	Kβ ₂ ' x ray

E _γ [†]	I _γ ^{‡&}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult. [#]	δ [#]	α ^a	Comments
(34.325 5)	@	905.89	3 ⁻	871.57	2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.42 5	7.4×10 ² 11	α(L)=939; α(M)=266
42.721 5	0.028 2	42.721	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2		1293	E _γ : adopted from 8.6-H ²⁵⁰ Es ε decay. E _γ =42.7 2 was obtained by 1980Ah03 from the electron lines.
									I _γ : calculated from Ice(M)=7.5 4 (1980Ah03) and α(M)=266 only the Ce(M) and Ce(N) lines of this transition were observed.
									Mult.: adopted from 8.6-H ²⁵⁰ Es ε decay.
(46.093 5)	@	951.98	4 ⁻	905.89	3 ⁻	M1+E2	0.40 2	200 10	α(L)=17.0; α(M)=4.84; α(N+..)=1.934
(80.412 10)	@	951.98	4 ⁻	871.57	2 ⁻	E2		63.3	γ was not observed; its energy is from 8.6-H ²⁵⁰ Es ε decay; I _γ is calculated from intensity balance At the 141.88 level.
(99.160 10)	0.034 5	141.875	4 ⁺	42.721	2 ⁺	E2		23.8	α(L)=0.0714; α(M)=0.01769; α(N+..)=0.00656
(119.4 3)	0.000034 15	1071.37	3 ⁺	951.98	4 ⁻	[E1]		0.0956	E _γ : γ was not observed In 2.22-H ²⁵⁰ Es ε decay. Energy is from ²⁵⁰ Bk β ⁻ decay.
									I _γ : calculated from I _γ (119γ)/I _γ (1028γ)=0.0015 5/10.9 3, measured In ²⁵⁰ Bk β ⁻ decay.
(126.01 3)	0.00190 17	1031.852	2 ⁺	905.89	3 ⁻	[E1]		0.0834	α(L)=0.0622; α(M)=0.01541; α(N+..)=0.00573
									E _γ : transition was not observed In 2.22-H ²⁵⁰ Es ε decay. Its energy was measured In ²⁵⁰ Bk β ⁻ decay.
									I _γ : photon intensity is calculated from the ratio measured In ²⁵⁰ Bk β ⁻ decay: I _γ (126γ)/I _γ (989γ)=0.0140 12/100.
(160.26 4)	0.0086 6	1031.852	2 ⁺	871.57	2 ⁻	[E1]		0.1859	α(K)= 0.1403; α(L)=0.0340; α(M)=0.00840; α(N+..)=0.00313
									E _γ : transition was not observed In 2.22-H ²⁵⁰ Es ε decay. Its energy was measured In ²⁵⁰ Bk β ⁻ decay.
									I _γ : photon intensity is calculated from the ratio measured In ²⁵⁰ Bk β ⁻ decay: I _γ (160γ)/I _γ (989γ)=0.0633 44/100.
(165.44 15)	0.00007 3	1071.37	3 ⁺	905.89	3 ⁻	[E1]		0.1726	α(K)=0.1305; α(L)=0.0315; α(M)=0.00776; α(N+..)=0.00289
									E _γ : γ was not observed In 2.22-H ²⁵⁰ Es ε decay. Energy is from

²⁵⁰Cf₁₅₂-2

From ENSDF

²⁵⁰Cf₁₅₂-2

^{250}Es ε decay (2.22 h) (continued) $\gamma(^{250}\text{Cf})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ ‡&	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. #	α^a	Comments
								^{250}Bk β^- decay. I_γ : calculated from $I_\gamma(165\gamma)/I_\gamma(1028\gamma)=0.0030$ 4/10.9 3, measured In ^{250}Bk β^- decay. $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0861$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.01986$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00488$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00182$ E_γ : γ was not observed In 2.22-H ^{250}Es ε decay. Energy is from ^{250}Bk β^- decay.
(199.72 20)	0.000055 17	1071.37	3^+	871.57	2^-	[E1]	0.1127	I_γ : calculated from $I_\gamma(199\gamma)/I_\gamma(1028\gamma)=0.0024$ 3/10.9 3, measured In ^{250}Bk β^- decay. E_γ : energy is from ^{250}Bk β^- decay. This transition was not observed In ^{250}Es ε decays.
(303.95 20)	0.083 14	1175.52	1^-	871.57	2^-	[M1,E2]	1.0 8	I_γ : calculated from $I_\gamma(303\gamma)/I_\gamma(1133\gamma)=0.51$ 5/4.30 22, measured In ^{250}Bk β^- decay. $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.18$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.4$ $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.18$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}=0.044$ 9 $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.25$ 6, $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}=0.058$ 19 (1980Ah03).
586.6 2	0.40 10	1658.00	2^+	1071.37	3^+	M1(+E2)	0.24 1	
626.1 2	1.2 1	1658.00	2^+	1031.852	2^+	M1(+E2)	0.24 1	
$^x659.7$ 3	0.48 9							
(764.2 1)	@	905.89	3^-	141.875	4^+	E1	0.00758	
(786.26 14)	0.19 4	1658.00	2^+	871.57	2^-	[E1]	0.00721	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00579$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00107$ E_γ : this γ was not observed In 2.22-H ^{250}Es ε decay; its energy is from ^{250}Bk β^- decay.
$^x802.9$ 2	0.44 9					(M1+E2)		I_γ : calculated from adopted branching ratios. $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.066$ 20 (1980Ah03). $\alpha(\text{K})(\text{M1})=0.100$, $\alpha(\text{K})(\text{E2})=0.0164$.
(810.2 1)	@	951.98	4^-	141.875	4^+	E1	0.00684	
828.9 1	5.6 9	871.57	2^-	42.721	2^+	E1	0.00658	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00528$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00097$
(863.2 1)	@	905.89	3^-	42.721	2^+	E1	0.00613	
889.9 2	0.45 7	1031.852	2^+	141.875	4^+	[E2]	0.01961	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01376$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00439$
929.4 3	0.10 7	1071.37	3^+	141.875	4^+	[E2]	0.0180	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0128$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00394$
989.1 1	13.6 9	1031.852	2^+	42.721	2^+	E2	0.01603	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01153$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00338$
1028.5 3	0.25 7	1071.37	3^+	42.721	2^+	(E2)	0.0149	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01079$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00308$ Mult.: determined In ^{250}Bk β^- decay.
1031.9 1	10.8 8	1031.852	2^+	0.0	0^+	E2	0.01480	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01074$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00306$
1047.8 5	≈ 0.1	1189.39	2^+	141.875	4^+	[E2]	0.0144	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0105$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00295$
$^x1068.2$ 5	≈ 0.1							1980Ah03 suggested that the 1068.2 γ decays from the $1,3^-$ collective state seen In (d,d') At 1210 keV, to the 4^+ state of the g.s. band. The authors pointed out that the expected 1167.4-keV transition from this 3^- state to the 2^+ of g.s. band would be obscured by the 1167.3 γ which is placed to deexcite the 1210-keV 2^- , (N 9/2[734], N 5/2[622]) state, identified In (d,p) reaction, to the 4^+ g.s. band. On their level scheme, the 1068.2 γ is shown however, to decay from the 2^- state to the 4^+ of g.s. band, competing with an E1 transition. if the 1068.2 were to decay from the 3^- state, an ε decay with $\approx 0.1\%$ intensity (deduced from intensity balance, excluding any contribution from possible

²⁵⁰Es ε decay (2.22 h) (continued)

$\gamma(^{250}\text{Cf})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.#	α^a	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\&}$	Comments
1103.0 3	0.09 3	1244.50	(2 ⁺)	141.875	4 ⁺	[E2]	0.01306		1167.4 γ to the 4 ⁺ state) would have log $ft \approx 8.8$, which is quite small for a second-forbidden β transition from the 1 ⁻ parent. IT is possible that the 1068.2 γ is a doublet, decaying from both the 3 ⁻ and 2 ⁻ states At 1210 keV. Because of problems mentioned above, this γ is not placed on the decay scheme here. $\alpha(K)=0.00960$; $\alpha(L)=0.00261$ $\alpha(K)=0.00947$; $\alpha(L)=0.00256$ $\alpha(K)=0.00311$; $\alpha(L)=0.00056$ $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.075$ 20 the expected ce intensities from E2 component are Ice(K)=0.00180, Ice(L)=0.00048. The measured Ice(K)=0.015 3 is then mostly due to the E0 component: Ice(K;E0)=0.013 3. The unobserved higher-shell electron intensity, Ice(LMN), can be estimated as 0.0046 by assuming the same ratio observed for the 1154.3 keV E0 transition. K/Total ce=0.74 6, L/Total ce=0.14 4, M/Total ce=0.08 3 \$ N/Total ce \approx 0.04. $\alpha(K)=0.00888$; $\alpha(L)=0.00235$ $\alpha(K)=0.00296$; $\alpha(L)=0.00053$ $\alpha(K)=0.00292$; $\alpha(L)=0.00052$ $\alpha(K)=0.00212$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.0046$ 25 $\alpha(K)=0.00804$; $\alpha(L)=0.00206$ $\alpha(K)=0.00781$; $\alpha(L)=0.00198$ K/Total ce=0.56, L/Total ce=0.11, M/Total ce=0.040. K/Total ce=0.79 6, L/Total ce=0.153 14, M/Total ce=0.042 6. E_γ, I_γ : transition was not observed In 2.22-H ²⁵⁰ Es ε decay. Its energy was measured by 1979Re01 In ²⁵⁰ Bk β^- decay. The intensity, $I_\gamma=0.0094$ 13, is calculated from $I_\gamma(1296\gamma)/I_\gamma(1154\gamma)=15$ 2/159 8, also measured by 1979Re01. $\alpha(K)=0.00556$; $\alpha(L)=0.00129$ E_γ : 1516.22 γ was not observed In 2.22-H ²⁵⁰ Es ε decay; its energy is from ²⁵⁰ Bk β^- decay. I_γ : calculated from adopted branching ratios. $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.0039$ 17 $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.0048$ 20
1111.5 3	0.27 4	1154.24	0 ⁺	42.721	2 ⁺	[E2]	0.0129		
1133.0 3	0.70 9	1175.52	1 ⁻	42.721	2 ⁺	[E1]	0.00385		
1146.7 3	0.20 3	1189.39	2 ⁺	42.721	2 ⁺	E0+E2		0.22 4	
1154.3 2		1154.24	0 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E0			
1154.9 3	0.10 2	1296.60	2 ⁺	141.875	4 ⁺	[E2]	0.0120		
1167.3 2	3.0 2	1209.97	(2) ⁻	42.721	2 ⁺	E1	0.00366		
1175.5 2	1.60 9	1175.52	1 ⁻	0.0	0 ⁺	E1	0.00362		
1201.7 2	1.25 9	1244.50	(2 ⁺)	42.721	2 ⁺	[E2,M1]	0.027 16		
1223.8 2	0.33 3	1266.6	0 ⁺	42.721	2 ⁺	[E2]	0.01078		
1244.4 2	0.35 3	1244.50	(2 ⁺)	0.0	0 ⁺	[E2]	0.01045		
1254.0 2	\approx 0.05	1296.60	2 ⁺	42.721	2 ⁺	E0+E2		0.177 23	
1266.6 2		1266.6	0 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E0			
(1296.54 13)	0.0094 13	1296.60	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	[E2]	0.00969		
(1516.22 7)	0.048 6	1658.00	2 ⁺	141.875	4 ⁺		0.00727		
1615.3 3	1.80 17	1658.00	2 ⁺	42.721	2 ⁺	E2	0.00498		
1658.1 3	1.05 9	1658.00	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2			

[†] Measurements of 1980Ah03. See also 1979Ah02. Earlier measurements: 1970Ah01, 1976Ya02.

[‡] Relative photon intensity, measured by 1980Ah03. Intensities per 100 ε decay is obtained by normalizing the sum of all ε decay branches to 100. The x-ray intensities are utilized to deduce the ε decay to the g.s. the same procedure was applied by 1980Ah03; however, $Q(^{250}\text{Es})=2070$ was used by 1980Ah03 for $\varepsilon K/\varepsilon$ calculations which yielded slightly higher normalization factor than the one given here: the listed I_γ 's and Ice's were given as intensities per 100 ε decays

²⁵⁰Es ε decay (2.22 h) (continued)

$\gamma(^{250}\text{Cf})$ (continued)

by [1980Ah03](#); these intensities correspond to per 102 5 ε decays here.

Deduced from ce work of [1980Ah03](#), except where noted. The electron intensities were normalized At Ice(K 989.2 γ)=0.156 to yield $\alpha(\text{K})$ =0.115 (E2 theory).
Multipolarities In square brackets are deduced from level scheme.

@ Intensity balance At the 905.89-, 951.46-keV levels, and the adopted γ branchings from these levels yield $I_{\gamma}(34.325)=2.6\times 10^{-6}$ 7, $I_{\gamma}(46.098\gamma)=1.1\times 10^{-6}$ 5, $I_{\gamma}(80.4\gamma)=1.6\times 10^{-6}$ 8, $I_{\gamma}(764.2\gamma)=1.6\times 10^{-4}$ 4, $I_{\gamma}(810.2\gamma)=5.1\times 10^{-5}$ 23, $I_{\gamma}(863.2\gamma)=2.0\times 10^{-4}$ 5.

& For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.98 5.

^a Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

²⁵⁰Es ϵ decay (2.22 h)

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_{\max}^{\gamma}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_{\max}^{\gamma}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_{\max}^{\gamma}$
- γ Decay (Uncertain)

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+\epsilon)}$ per 100 decays through this branch

Decay Scheme

