

²⁵²Es α decay 1973Fi06

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. J. Martin	NDS 122, 377 (2014)	1-Sep-2014

Parent: ²⁵²Es: E=0.0; J ^{π} =(5⁻); T_{1/2}=471.7 d 19; Q(α)=6739 3; % α decay=78 2
²⁵²Es-Q(α): From E α =6632 3. 2012Wa38 report a systematics value of 6789 50.

²⁴⁸Bk Levels

E(level)	J ^{π}	Comments
0.0+y	(6 ⁺)	E(level): Q(α)(²⁵² Es)=6739 3 from E α =6632 3, and Q(α)=6789 50 from systematics As given In 2012Wa38, suggest that this level lies within 100 keV of the g.s..
70.65+y 5	(7 ⁺)	
136+y 7	(8 ⁻)	
145+y 3		
151.3+y 1	(8 ⁺)	
171.5+y 8	(4 ⁻ , 5 ⁻ , 6 ⁻)	
179+y 3		
212.6+y 8	(4 ⁻ , 5 ⁻ , 6 ⁻)	
262+y 6		
339+y 6		
373+y 5		
399.7+y 3	(5 ⁺)	
424+y 6		
458+y 6		
483+y 6		
529.1+y 7		
590.0+y 7	(5 ⁻)	
624+y 5	(7 ⁺)	
657+y 5	(6 ⁻)	
700+y 5	(8 ⁺)	

α radiations

E α [†]	E(level)	I α ^{‡@}	HF#	Comments
5943 4	700+y	0.040 15	34 13	
5985 4	657+y	0.050 15	46 14	
6017 4	624+y	0.12 3	28 8	
6051 3	590.0+y	1.02 9	5.0 5	
6109 5	529.1+y	0.12 3	86 22	
6156 5	483+y	≈0.04	≈449	
6181 5	458+y	0.08 3	3.0×10 ² 12	
6215 5	424+y	0.10 3	3.6×10 ² 11	
6239 3	399.7+y	0.57 5	83 8	
6265 3	373+y	0.75 7	85 9	
6298 5	339+y	≈0.04	≈2306	
6374 5	262+y	0.07 3	3.1×10 ³ 14	
6424 5	212.6+y	0.45 5	8.4×10 ² 10	
6461 3	171.5+y	0.25 4	2.25×10 ³ 37	
6482 3	151.3+y	2.19 9	323 17	
6498 5	136+y	0.31 4	2.71×10 ³ 37	
6562 3	70.65+y	13.6 3	123 5	
6632 3	0.0+y	80.2 9	43.5 14	The 6632- and 6562-keV α 's are not seen in coincidence with 80 ⁻ to 400-keV γ 's within the coincidence resolving time of 100 ns (1973Fi06).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{252}Es α decay [1973Fi06](#) (continued)

α radiations (continued)

† Measurements of [1973Fi06](#). The original energies are increased by 1 keV, as recommended by [1991Ry01](#), due to changes in calibration energies. No higher-energy α 's were observed and [1973Fi06](#) assumed that the 6632α feeds the ^{248}Bk g.s. other: [1965Mc11](#).

‡ $I\alpha$ per 100 α decays ([1973Fi06](#)).

$r_0(^{248}\text{Bk})=1.48517$ is used in calculations of the hindrance factors. $r_0=1.48517$ was used in the previous evaluation, [1999Ak02](#).

@ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.782.

γ (²⁴⁸Bk)

$\alpha\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ and $\gamma\alpha$ coincidences were taken by **1973Fi06**. See **1973Fi06** for data.

x-rays measured by **1973Fi06**:

E(x ray)	I(per 100 α decays)	
107.20 5	0.37 3	Bk $K\alpha_2$ x ray
115.02 5	0.58 5	Bk $K\alpha_1$ x ray
125.4 1		Bk $K\beta_3$ x ray
	0.23 2	
126.5 1		Bk $K\beta_1$ x ray
130.7 2	0.082 8	Bk $K\beta_2'$ x ray

E_γ ‡	I_γ #&	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. @	δ	α^\dagger	Comments
^x 52.33 5	0.72 6								The 52.33 γ was observed in coincidence with the 6461 α ; therefore, it deexcites a level below the 171.5+Y level.
64.42 5	0.36 3	136+y	(8 ⁻)	70.65+y	(7 ⁺)	E1		0.450	$\alpha(L)=0.336$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.0843$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.0229$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.00559$ 8; $\alpha(P)=0.000920$ 13; $\alpha(Q)=3.14\times 10^{-5}$ 5
70.65 5	0.16 2	70.65+y	(7 ⁺)	0.0+y	(6 ⁺)	E2		104.5	Mult.: the requirement of an intensity balance At the 151.3+Y level is consistent only with mult=E1. $\alpha(L)=75.4$ 11; $\alpha(M)=21.4$ 3 $\alpha(N)=5.99$ 9; $\alpha(O)=1.466$ 22; $\alpha(P)=0.242$ 4; $\alpha(Q)=0.000794$ 12
80.7 1	0.042 7	151.3+y	(8 ⁺)	70.65+y	(7 ⁺)	M1+E2	1.4 +14-4	42 10	Mult.: from an intensity balance At the 70.65+Y level one gets $\alpha=99$ +15-12. $\alpha(L)=30$ 7; $\alpha(M)=8.4$ 20 $\alpha(N)=2.4$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.58$ 14; $\alpha(P)=0.098$ 21; $\alpha(Q)=0.0012$ 5
^x 149.1 2	0.026 4								Mult., δ : the requirement of an intensity balance At the 151.3+Y level gives $\alpha(80.7\gamma)=42$ +9-7 from which one gets mult(80.7 γ)=M1+E2 with $\delta=1.4$ +14-7.
151.3 1	0.096 9	151.3+y	(8 ⁺)	0.0+y	(6 ⁺)	[E2]		3.26	$\alpha(K)=0.1614$ 23; $\alpha(L)=2.23$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.633$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.177$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.0435$ 7; $\alpha(P)=0.00732$ 11; $\alpha(Q)=4.63\times 10^{-5}$ 7
193.5 1	0.068 8	373+y		179+y		M1		5.33	$\alpha(K)=4.17$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.869$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.213$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.0587$ 9; $\alpha(O)=0.01512$ 22; $\alpha(P)=0.00298$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=0.000209$ 3
228.0 4	0.036 6	373+y		145+y		M1		3.36	$\alpha(K)=2.63$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.547$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.1339$ 20 $\alpha(N)=0.0369$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.00950$ 15; $\alpha(P)=0.00188$ 3; $\alpha(Q)=0.0001313$ 20
^x 230.9 4	0.032 6								
^x 326.0 4	0.031 6								

²⁵²Es α decay **1973Fi06** (continued)

$\gamma(^{248}\text{Bk})$ (continued)

E_γ ‡	I_γ #&	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. @	α^\dagger	Comments
377.4 3	0.16 2	590.0+y	(5 ⁻)	212.6+y	(4 ⁻ ,5 ⁻ ,6 ⁻)	M1	0.830	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.651$ 10; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1341$ 19; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0328$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00905$ 13; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00233$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000459$ 7; $\alpha(\text{Q})=3.21\times 10^{-5}$ 5
399.7 3	0.30 3	399.7+y	(5 ⁺)	0.0+y	(6 ⁺)	M1	0.709	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.556$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1145$ 17; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0280$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00772$ 11; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00199$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000392$ 6; $\alpha(\text{Q})=2.74\times 10^{-5}$ 4
418.5 3	0.29 3	590.0+y	(5 ⁻)	171.5+y	(4 ⁻ ,5 ⁻ ,6 ⁻)	M1	0.625	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.491$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1009$ 15; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0247$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00680$ 10; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.001751$ 25; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000345$ 5; $\alpha(\text{Q})=2.41\times 10^{-5}$ 4
^x 428.3 5	≈ 0.012							
^x 452.4 5	0.04 1							
^x 523 1	≈ 0.016							
529.1 7	0.07 1	529.1+y		0.0+y	(6 ⁺)			
^x ≈ 548	≈ 0.01							
590.0 7	0.11 1	590.0+y	(5 ⁻)	0.0+y	(6 ⁺)	[E1]	0.01161	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00929$ 14; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001750$ 25; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000423$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001160$ 17; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.95\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.67\times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{Q})=3.55\times 10^{-7}$ 5

† Additional information 1.

‡ From 1973Fi06.

I_γ per 100 α decays (1973Fi06).

@ Except where noted otherwise, mults are from K x ray/ γ In coincidence with α 's (1973Fi06) (E2 admixtures to M1's are not ruled out). The multipolarities within square brackets are not directly measured but are deduced from the decay scheme.

& For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.78 2.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

²⁵²Es α decay 1973Fi06

Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: I_(γ+ce) per 100 decays through this branch

- I_γ < 2% × I_γ^{max}
- I_γ < 10% × I_γ^{max}
- I_γ > 10% × I_γ^{max}

