

$^{242}\text{Am IT decay (141 y)}$ 

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. J. Martin, C. D. Nesaraja		NDS 186, 261 (2022)	31-Dec-2021

Parent:  $^{242}\text{Am}$ : E=48.603 9;  $J^\pi=5^-$ ;  $T_{1/2}=141$  y 2; %IT decay=99.550 10 $^{242}\text{Am Levels}$ 

E(level)	$J^\pi \dagger$	$T_{1/2} \ddagger$
0.0	$1^-$	16.01 h 2
48.622 30	$5^-$	141 y 2

<sup>†</sup> From Adopted Levels. $\gamma(^{242}\text{Am})$ 

$E_\gamma$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha^\dagger$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)} \ddagger$	Comments	
48.63 5	48.622	$5^-$	0.0	$1^-$	E4	$7.01 \times 10^5$ 11	100	ce(L)/( $\gamma+ce$ )=0.473 8; ce(M)/( $\gamma+ce$ )=0.378 7 ce(N)/( $\gamma+ce$ )=0.1198 25; ce(O)/( $\gamma+ce$ )=0.0272 6; ce(P)/( $\gamma+ce$ )=0.00270 6; ce(Q)/( $\gamma+ce$ )=4.07×10 <sup>-6</sup> 9 $\alpha(L)=3.31 \times 10^5$ 5; $\alpha(M)=2.65 \times 10^5$ 4 $\alpha(N)=8.40 \times 10^4$ 13; $\alpha(O)=1.908 \times 10^4$ 30; $\alpha(P)=1891$ 30; $\alpha(Q)=2.86$ 4	E <sub>γ</sub> : From <a href="#">1960As05</a> in IT decay. Mult.: from L- and M- subshell ratios, measured by <a href="#">1960As05</a> . L1:L2:L3:M1:M2:M3:M4:M5:N1:N2:N3: N45:O= <6:17:18:<2:13:10:8:10:<2:6:4:8:6. Uncertainties on relative subshell intensities are ≈30% ( <a href="#">1960As05</a> ).

<sup>†</sup> Additional information 1.<sup>‡</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.99550 10.

**$^{242}\text{Am IT decay (141 y)}$** Decay Scheme

%IT=99.550 10

