

^{244}Cm α decay (18.11 y) 2002Da21,1998Ya17,1972Sc01

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, E. Browne		NDS 109, 2439 (2008)	31-Jul-2008

Parent: ^{244}Cm : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=18.11$ y 3; $Q(\alpha)=5901.74$ 5; $\% \alpha$ decay=100.0

^{244}Cm - $T_{1/2}$: as recommended in DDEP evaluation (2006BeZL) based on the measurements of 1982Po14, 1972Ke29, 1968Be26, 1961Ca01. Others: 1954St33, 1954Fr19. 1989Ho24 evaluation gives 18.1 y 1 $T_{1/2}(\text{SF})=1.32\text{E}+7$ y 2 (as recommended in the evaluation of 2000Ho27). The DDEP evaluation (2006BeZL) recommended $1.34\text{E}+7$ y 8 from measurements by 1993Pa29, 1972Ha80, 1970Ba11, 1967Ar09, 1965Me02, 1963Ma56 and 1952Gh27.

^{244}Cm - $\% \alpha$ decay: $\% \alpha=100$, $\% \text{SF}=1.37 \times 10^{-4}$ 3 (As recommended by 2003Ak04), $\% \text{SF}=1.36 \times 10^{-4}$ 1 (DDEP evaluation, 2006BeZL). The data set is adapted from evaluations by 2006BeZL (also 2006Ch34) and 1998Ak04.

Main references: 2002Da21, 1998Ya17, 1998Ga19, 1997Ka59, 1996Sa24, 1996Bu50, 1987Go21, 1972Sc01, 1971Gr17, 1966Ba07, 1963Bj03, 1963Dz07, 1960As11.

Compilations and evaluations: 2006BeZL (ddep evaluation, also 2006Ch34 by the same author), 2000Ho27, 1998Ak04, 1989Ho24, 1991Ry01 (also 1979Ry03 by the same author), 1986LoZT.

Others: 1995Jo23, 1992Fr04, 1991Sh06, 1991Jo07, 1990Pe03, 1987Ko14, 1986Ag04, 1984Sh32, 1984Hi09, 1984Gl03, 1984BuZJ, 1979Be58, 1974Ah02, 1972Ke29, 1972Ko04, 1971Bb10, 1970To08, 1969ScZZ, 1968Du06, 1968Be26, 1964Ma55, 1962Iv01, 1960Be25, 1958Wh09, 1956Hu96, 1956Sm18.

Additional information 1.

1990Pe03: Measured detailed subshell ce spectrum for 42.8 and 98.8 transitions and deduced conversion coefficients. The γ -ray energies and intensities of five transitions were also measured.

1974Ah02: measured ce data for 42.8 transition.

1972Sc01 (also 1971BeYT, 1969ScZZ): Measured g rays with emphasis on g.s. band transitions.

Measurement of ternary α and triton emission in ^{244}Cm SF decay: Vermote et al., Nucl. Phys. A 806, 1 (2008). Emission probability for long-range α particles (LRA)/SF event=0.00316 9. Emission probability for triton emission per SF event=0.000198 24.

^{240}Pu Levels

E(level)	J^π †	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	0^+		
42.824 8	2^+	164 ps 5	$T_{1/2}$: from 1970To08. Other: 173 ps 15 (1960Be25).
141.690 15	4^+		
294.319 24	6^+		
497.52 21	8^+		E(level): from 'Adopted Levels'.
597.34 4	1^-		
648.85 4	3^-		
860.71 7	0^+		
900.32 4	2^+		
937.6?			Population of this level in ^{244}Cm α decay is uncertain, thus no attempt has been made by the evaluators to deduce intensity of α feeding and/or γ -ray intensities. It should be mentioned that in earlier evaluations (2006BeZL, 2006Ch34, 2004Ch64), this level was considered as populated in this decay based primarily on the 894.7 γ quoted in 1978LeZA. But the reported intensity of this γ ray relative to that of the 937.6 γ disagrees by at least a factor of 20 with branching ratio in 'adopted gammas', thus invalidating the assignment of the main intensity of the 894.7 γ to ^{244}Cm decay.

† From 'Adopted Levels'.

²⁴⁴Cm α decay (18.11 y) 2002Da21,1998Ya17,1972Sc01 (continued)

<u>α radiations</u>				
<u>Eα[†]</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>Iα^{‡&}</u>	<u>HF[@]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
4920 3	900.32	5.0×10 ⁻⁵ 5	5.7 6	I α : 5.0×10 ⁻⁵ 5 (1960As11), 1.3×10 ⁻⁴ (1966Ba07), 4.9×10 ⁻⁵ 8 (1997Ka59).
4960 3	860.71	1.49×10 ⁻⁴ 16	3.5 4	I α : 1.55×10 ⁻⁴ 16 (1960As11), 3×10 ⁻⁴ (1966Ba07), 1.42×10 ⁻⁴ 16 (1997Ka59).
5166.64 7	648.85	4×10 ⁻⁶ 3	3.3×10 ³ 25	I α : from γ -intensity balance; no direct I α measurement available.
5215 3	597.34	5.6×10 ⁻⁵ 5	500 82	I α : deduced from transition-intensity balance. Measured I α : 15×10 ⁻⁵ (1960As11), 10×10 ⁻⁵ (1966Ba07), 4.2×10 ⁻⁵ 9 (1997Ka59) are not in good agreement.
5315	497.52	4×10 ⁻⁵	2847	E α : average of 5316 (1960As11) and 5313 (1966Ba07).
5513 3	294.319	0.00352 18	516 27	I α : from 1966Ba07. Other: \approx 15×10 ⁻⁵ (1960As11). E α : 5515 3 (1998Ga19), 5516.2 (2002Da21). I α : 0.0036 3 (1960As11); 0.003 1 (1963Dz07); 0.0034 (1966Ba07); 0.0035 (1986LoZT), 0.00342 9 (1997Ka59), 0.0038 5 (1998Ga19). Value of 0.012 1 (2002Da21) is not used in averaging.
5664 3	141.690	0.0204 15	644 48	E α : 5664 2 (1998Ga19). I α : 0.020 1 (2002Da21), 0.0205 15 (1996Ga19), 0.0135 3 (1996Sa24), 0.0163 7 (1984BuZJ) see 1986LoZT; 0.02 (1966Ba07), 0.021 2 (1963Dz07); 0.023 2 (1960As11); 0.017 3 (1956Hu96).
5762.64 [#] 3	42.824	23.1 1	1.966 10	I α : weighted average (using LWM, normalized residuals and Rajeval's technique) of 22.80 5 (2002Da21), 23.34 18 (1998Ga19), 23.69 6 (1998Ya17), 23.1 5 (1996Sa24), 23.2 5 (1996Bu50); 23.00 5 (1984BuZJ,1986LoZT); 23.6 (1966Ba07); 23.8 9 (1963Dz07); 23.3 6 (1956Hu96), assuming minimum uncertainty of 0.10. The DDEP evaluation (2006BeZL) gives 23.3 4.
5804.77 [#] 5	0	76.9 1	1.00	E α : other: 5803.6 22 (1992Fr04). I α : weighted average (using LWM, normalized residuals and Rajeval's technique) of 77.16 11 (2002Da21), 76.31 5 (1998Ya17), 76.63 18 (1998Ga19), 76.9 5 (1996Sa24), 76.8 7 (1996Bu50), 76.98 5 (1984BuZJ,1986LoZT); 73.3 (1987Go21); 76.4 (1966Ba07); 76.2 20 (1963Dz07); 76.7 6 (1956Hu96), assuming minimum uncertainty of 0.10. The DDEP evaluation (2006BeZL) gives 76.7 4.

[†] From 1966Ba07, 1963Dz07 and 1960As11, unless otherwise noted. A few values are also given by 1998Ga19, 1992Fr04 and 1971Gr17.

[‡] Unless otherwise stated, the values are from the DDEP evaluation (2006BeZL) based on measurements of 2002Da21, 1998Ya17, 1998Ga19, 1997Ka59, 1996Sa24, 1996Bu50, 1984BuZJ, 1966Ba07, 1963Dz07, 1960As11, 1956Hu96.

[#] From 1971Gr17, with values adjusted as recommended by 1991Ry01 and 1998Ak04.

[@] From 1998Ak04, with r₀(²⁴⁰Pu)=1.4979 7.

[&] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

γ (²⁴⁰Pu)

I γ normalization: from measured I α for 860 and 900 levels and corresponding relative γ +ce intensities from these levels. There seems no detailed γ -ray study published in the open literature. Three main γ rays of 42.8, 98.8 and 152.6 keV were measured precisely by 1972Sc01. Higher energy gamma rays were reported in two separate compilations: 1978LeZA reported results from a 1967 priv. comm. from C.M. Lederer and 1970Sc39 reported gamma-ray data from 1969ScZZ conference paper by Schmorak et al. Five gamma rays from 42.8 to 554.5 keV were reported by 1990Pe03 with energies and intensities. 916 γ was neither seen by 1969ScZZ nor reported by 1978LeZA. Upper limit of intensity: <0.4 (1969ScZZ).

²⁴⁴Cm α decay (18.11 y) [2002Da21,1998Ya17,1972Sc01](#) (continued)

γ (²⁴⁰Pu) (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{#a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. &	α^b	Comments
42.824 [‡] 8	3.1×10 ⁴ 3	42.824	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	E2	906	α (L1)exp=15 2; α (L2)exp=474 51; α (L3)exp=466 50; α (M1)exp=3.1 4; α (M2)exp=76 9 α (M3)exp=70 9; α (M4)exp+ α (M5)exp=0.9 2; α (N1)exp<1.9; α (N2)exp=22 3; α (N3)exp=23 3 α (O)exp=10 1; epc=3.0 4; α (exp)=1200 200 α (L)=658 10; α (M)=183 3; α (N+..)=64.1 9 α (N)=50.4 7; α (O)=11.84 17; α (P)=1.85 3; α (Q)=0.00390 6 I_γ : deduced by the evaluators from %I α and α (42.8 γ); γ feeding to 42.8 level from higher level is only \approx 0.1% of the total transition intensity of 42.8 γ . Mult.: from experimental conversion coefficients quoted above from 1990Pe03 . Other: α (exp)=845 93 (1968Du06).
98.860 [‡] 13	16.7×10 ² 20	141.690	4 ⁺	42.824	2 ⁺	E2	16.65	α (L1)exp<3.0; α (L2)exp=6.0 8; α (L3)exp=3.1 7; α (M1)exp<1.0; α (M2)exp=1.8 6 α (M3)exp<1.9; α (exp)=13 2 α (L)=12.08 17; α (M)=3.38 5; α (N+..)=1.185 17 α (N)=0.930 13; α (O)=0.219 3; α (P)=0.0349 5; α (Q)=0.0001222 18 I_γ : deduced by the evaluators from %I α , α (98.8 γ) and γ feeding to 141.7 level from higher level. Other: I_γ (98.9 γ)/ I_γ (42.8 γ)=0.067 7 (1972Sc01) compares with 0.054 8 given here. Experimental conversion coefficients given above are from 1990Pe03 . Additional information 2 .
152.630 [‡] 20	1.24×10 ³ 12	294.319	6 ⁺	141.690	4 ⁺	E2	2.49	α (K)=0.196 3; α (L)=1.665 24; α (M)=0.465 7; α (N+..)=0.1629 23 α (N)=0.1278 18; α (O)=0.0302 5; α (P)=0.00488 7; α (Q)=2.76×10 ⁻⁵ 4 I_γ : from average of measured 1240 120 (1969ScZZ) and 1237 136 deduced from %I α , α (152.6 γ) and γ feeding from higher levels. Other: measured I_γ (152.6 γ)/ I_γ (42.8 γ)=0.044 1 (1990Pe03) and 0.041 1 (1972Sc01) are consistent with 0.040 4 from intensities given here. 1254 140 deduced from %I α , α (152.6 γ) and γ feeding from higher levels. Mult.: measured Ice(K) and Ice(L) (1990Pe03) are consistent with E2.
(203.2)	\approx 28	497.52	8 ⁺	294.319	6 ⁺	[E2]	0.805	α (K)=0.1470 21; α (L)=0.479 7; α (M)=0.1329 19; α (N+..)=0.0466 7 α (N)=0.0365 6; α (O)=0.00865 13; α (P)=0.001416 20; α (Q)=1.199×10 ⁻⁵ 17 I_γ : deduced by evaluators from I α and α (203.2 γ).
251.20 20	13.1 19	900.32	2 ⁺	648.85	3 ⁻	[E1]	0.0612	α (K)=0.0481 7; α (L)=0.00986 14; α (M)=0.00240 4; α (N+..)=0.000834 12

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{244}Cm α decay (18.11 y) [2002Da21,1998Ya17,1972Sc01](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{240}\text{Pu})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{#a}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. &	α^b	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^a$	Comments
									$\alpha(\text{N})=0.000647$ 10; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001575$ 23; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.82\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{Q})=1.409\times 10^{-6}$ 20 Additional information 8.
263.34 15	70 4	860.71	0 ⁺	597.34	1 ⁻	[E1]	0.0551		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0434$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00882$ 13; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00214$ 3; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.000746$ 11 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000578$ 9; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001409$ 20; $\alpha(\text{P})=2.53\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{Q})=1.278\times 10^{-6}$ 18 Additional information 6.
302.99 15	21.4 16	900.32	2 ⁺	597.34	1 ⁻	[E1]	0.0405		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0320$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00637$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001543$ 22; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.000538$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000417$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0001018$ 15; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.84\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{Q})=9.59\times 10^{-7}$ 14 Additional information 9.
506.9 [@] 3	10 3	648.85	3 ⁻	141.690	4 ⁺	[E1]	0.01402		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01127$ 16; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00208$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000501$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.0001751$ 25 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001353$ 19; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.33\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=6.14\times 10^{-6}$ 9; $\alpha(\text{Q})=3.53\times 10^{-7}$ 5
554.5 2	100	597.34	1 ⁻	42.824	2 ⁺	E1	0.01179		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00950$ 14; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001734$ 25; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000417$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.0001458$ 21 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001127$ 16; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.77\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.13\times 10^{-6}$ 8; $\alpha(\text{Q})=3.00\times 10^{-7}$ 5 Additional information 3.
597.2 2	61 2	597.34	1 ⁻	0	0 ⁺	E1	0.01024		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00826$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001496$ 21; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000359$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.0001257$ 18 $\alpha(\text{N})=9.71\times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.39\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.43\times 10^{-6}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{Q})=2.62\times 10^{-7}$ 4 Additional information 4.
605.8 2	9.3 9	648.85	3 ⁻	42.824	2 ⁺	[E1]	0.00997		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.00805$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001454$ 21; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000349$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.0001222$ 18 $\alpha(\text{N})=9.44\times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.33\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.31\times 10^{-6}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{Q})=2.55\times 10^{-7}$ 4 Additional information 5.
758.6 2	16.1 11	900.32	2 ⁺	141.690	4 ⁺	E2	0.0212		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01484$ 21; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00474$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001212$ 17; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.000427$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000331$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.06\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.453\times 10^{-5}$ 21; $\alpha(\text{Q})=6.09\times 10^{-7}$ 9 Additional information 10.
817.8 2	80 7	860.71	0 ⁺	42.824	2 ⁺	E2	0.0183		$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01303$ 19; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00389$ 6;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

²⁴⁴Cm α decay (18.11 y) [2002Da21](#),[1998Ya17](#),[1972Sc01](#) (continued)

γ (²⁴⁰Pu) (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{#a}	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^{&}	a^b	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ ^a	Comments
									$\alpha(M)=0.000990$ 14; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000348$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.000270$ 4; $\alpha(O)=6.59\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(P)=1.195\times 10^{-5}$ 17; $\alpha(Q)=5.27\times 10^{-7}$ 8
857.5 [@] 2	6.6 4	900.32	2 ⁺	42.824	2 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.04 3		Additional information 7. $\alpha(K)=0.034$ 22; $\alpha(L)=0.007$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.0017$ 9; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0006$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.00047$ 24; $\alpha(O)=0.00012$ 6; $\alpha(P)=2.2\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(Q)=1.3\times 10^{-6}$ 9
860.7		860.71	0 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	E0		12 3	I_γ : <7.4 (1969ScZZ). E_γ ,Mult., $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: from 1963Bj03 .
894.7 ^{@c} 5		937.6?		42.824	2 ⁺				I_γ : 2.1 6 (1978LeZA) is too high by at least a factor of 20 with branching ratio in 'adopted gammas'. In 1969ScZZ , this γ was not seen, the authors quoted an upper limit of $I_\gamma < 1.2$.
900.1 [@] 5	1.5 6	900.32	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	[E2]	0.01513		$\alpha(K)=0.01103$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.00306$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.000772$ 11; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000272$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.000210$ 3; $\alpha(O)=5.15\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(P)=9.38\times 10^{-6}$ 14; $\alpha(Q)=4.39\times 10^{-7}$ 7
937.6 ^{@c} 10	0.5 5	937.6?		0	0 ⁺				I_γ : <1.7 (1969ScZZ). I_γ : <0.75 (1969ScZZ).

[†] From [1978LeZA](#) compilation (who quoted priv comm from C.M. Lederer In 1967), unless otherwise stated. Others: [1990Pe03](#), [1969ScZZ](#) (conference paper, results quoted in [1970Sc39](#) evaluation), [1963Bj03](#), [1956Sm18](#), [1956Hu96](#).

[‡] From [1972Sc01](#).

[#] From weighted average of values from [1978LeZA](#) and [1969ScZZ](#), unless otherwise noted.

[@] γ not seen by [1969ScZZ](#), upper intensity limit given most of the γ rays.

[&] From 'adopted gammas', unless otherwise noted.

^a For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 8.5×10^{-7} 9.

^b Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^c Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^{244}Cm α decay (18.11 y) 2002Da21,1998Ya17,1972Sc01

Decay Scheme

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- - - - -→ γ Decay (Uncertain)

Intensities: $I(\gamma+ce)$ per 100 parent decays

0+ 0.0 18.11 y 3
 $Q_\alpha=5901.74$ 5
 $^{244}\text{Cm}_{148}$ α 100.0

