

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia, Anagha Chakraborty		NDS 186, 2 (2022)	31-Mar-2022

Q( $\beta^-$ )=-23280 SY; S(n)=21280 SY; S(p)=3292 19; Q( $\alpha$ )=-9157 20    [2021Wa16](#) $\Delta Q(\beta^-)$ =500 (syst),  $\Delta S(n)$ =500 (syst) ([2021Wa16](#)).S(2n)=39040 500 (syst), S(2p)=3433 19, Q( $\epsilon p$ )=8930 19 ([2021Wa16](#)). **$^{24}\text{Si}$  Levels****Cross Reference (XREF) Flags**

<b>A</b>	$^2\text{H}(^{23}\text{Al},n\gamma)$	<b>D</b>	Coulomb excitation
<b>B</b>	$^9\text{Be}(^{26}\text{Si},^{24}\text{Si}\gamma)$	<b>E</b>	$^{28}\text{Si}(\alpha,^8\text{He})$
<b>C</b>	$^{12}\text{C}(^{24}\text{Si},^{23}\text{Al}\gamma)$		

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
			ABCDE	
0	0 <sup>+</sup>	141.3 ms 15		% $\epsilon$ +% $\beta^+$ =100; % $\epsilon p$ =32.4 13 $\langle r^2 \rangle^{1/2}(^{24}\text{Si})=3.71$ fm (charge radius) ( <a href="#">2011Fo18</a> ).
1872 3	2 <sup>+</sup>	1.3 ps 4	ABCDE	T <sub>1/2</sub> : From weighted average of 143.4 ms 22 ( <a href="#">2015Su15</a> ), 140.5 15 ( <a href="#">2009Ic05</a> ), 140 ms 8 ( <a href="#">1997Cz02</a> , <a href="#">1999Bl08</a> ), 139 ms 18 ( <a href="#">1998Ba53</a> , <a href="#">2001Ba07</a> ), 103 ms 42 ( <a href="#">1980Le18</a> ), and 100 ms +90–45 ( <a href="#">1981Ay01</a> ). Uncertainty is the lowest input value. % $\epsilon p$ : Weighted average of 33.3 16 ( <a href="#">2011Ic06</a> – assuming 42.6 16 ( <a href="#">2009Ic05</a> , <a href="#">2009Ic06</a> ) superseded by <a href="#">2011Ic06</a> , same research group), 31.3 13 ( <a href="#">2016Su22</a> , <a href="#">2015Su15</a> ), 37.6 42 from ( <a href="#">1997Cz02</a> , <a href="#">1998Cz01</a> , <a href="#">1999Bl08</a> – assuming reported two branches $\geq 1.4$ and $\geq 1.3$ are equal to the lower limit. The uncertainty is assumed based on the % $\epsilon p$ ( $^{22}\text{Si}$ )=32.0 36 in <a href="#">1997Cz02</a> ). Other:
3445 5	(2 <sup>+</sup> )		AB E	T <sub>1/2</sub> : From B(E2) <sup>†</sup> =0.0096 30 ( <a href="#">2002Ka80</a> – Coulomb Excitation) and adopted $\gamma$ -ray properties.
3469 6	(0 <sup>+</sup> )		A	J <sup>‡</sup> : (2,4) <sup>+</sup> in <a href="#">2006Yo05</a> ( $^{26}\text{Si},^{24}\text{Si}\gamma$ ), from shell model calculations and comparison with states of mirror $^{24}\text{Ne}$ nuclide. However, <a href="#">2020Lo05</a> ( $^{26}\text{Si},^{24}\text{Si}\gamma$ ) note that the level was not populated in the 2-neutron knock-out reaction, suggesting to be the (0 <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> ) state based on the theoretical considerations of the Thomas-Ehrman shift.

<sup>†</sup> Deduced from measured E $\gamma$  in  $^9\text{Be}(^{26}\text{Si},^{24}\text{Si}\gamma)$  [2006Yo05](#).<sup>‡</sup> Proposed by [2019Wo01](#) ( $^{23}\text{Al},n\gamma$ ) (based on shell model calculations, spectroscopic factor and comparison with the states of mirror nuclis  $^{24}\text{Ne}$ ), except where otherwise noted. **$\gamma(^{24}\text{Si})$** 

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup>π</sup>	E <sub>γ</sub> <sup>†</sup>	I <sub>γ</sub>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup>π</sup>	Mult.	Comments
				0	0 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]	
1872	2 <sup>+</sup>	1872 3	100	0	0 <sup>+</sup>	B(E2)(W.u.)=4.6 14	E $\gamma$ : Weighted average of 1860 10 ( <a href="#">2006Yo05</a> ), 1870 6 ( <a href="#">2020Lo05</a> ) both from ( $^{26}\text{Si},^{24}\text{Si}\gamma$ ) and 1874 3 from ( $^{23}\text{Al},n\gamma$ ).
3445	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	1573 4		1872	2 <sup>+</sup>	Mult.: Populated in Coulomb excitation ( <a href="#">2002Ka80</a> ).	E $\gamma$ : Weighted average of 1550 12 ( <a href="#">2006Yo05</a> ), 1569 7 ( <a href="#">2020Lo05</a> ) both from ( $^{26}\text{Si},^{24}\text{Si}\gamma$ ) and 1575 3 from ( $^{23}\text{Al},n\gamma$ ).
3469	(0 <sup>+</sup> )	1597 5		1872	2 <sup>+</sup>		E $\gamma$ : From ( $^{23}\text{Al},n\gamma$ ).

<sup>†</sup> From  $^9\text{Be}(^{26}\text{Si},^{24}\text{Si}\gamma)$  [2006Yo05](#).

Adopted Levels, GammasLevel Scheme

Intensities: Type not specified

