

^{28}P $\varepsilon\alpha$ decay [1996Og01](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia, Anagha Chakraborty		NDS 186, 2 (2022)	31-Mar-2022

Parent: ^{28}P : $E=0$; $J^\pi=3^+$; $T_{1/2}=270.3$ ms 5; $Q(\varepsilon\alpha)=4361.8$ 11; $\% \varepsilon\alpha$ decay=0.00086 25

^{28}P - $J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels of ^{28}P ([2013Ba53](#)).

^{28}P - $Q(\varepsilon\alpha)$: Deduced by evaluators using data $Q(\varepsilon)(^{28}\text{P})$ and $Q(\alpha)(^{28}\text{Si})$ from AME2020 ([2021Wa16](#)).

^{28}P - $\% \varepsilon\alpha$ decay: From Adopted Levels of ^{28}P ([2013Ba53](#)).

Source produced by $^{24}\text{Mg}(^3\text{He},2n)$ $E=40$ MeV and $^{27}\text{Al}(p,3n)$ $E(p)=45$ MeV reactions. Helium-jet recoil collection. E- Δ E Si detector telescope.

Other reference: [1979Ho27](#).

 ^{24}Mg Levels

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$
0	0^+	stable

Delayed Alphas (^{24}Mg)

Particle normalization: Normalized to $\sum I\alpha = 100$. Relative intensities of [1996Og01](#) are listed in comments section.

$E(\alpha)$	$E(^{24}\text{Mg})$	$I(\alpha)^\dagger$	$E(^{28}\text{Si})$	Comments
1310 1	0	8.9 11	11515	$I(\alpha)$: (rel) = 25 3.
1432 1	0	28.1 21	11657	$I(\alpha)$: (rel) = 79 6.
1667 1	0	<1.1	11931	$I(\alpha)$: (rel) < 3.
1787 1	0	5.3 14	120717	$I(\alpha)$: (rel) = 15 4.
1974 1	0	5.0 18	12290	$I(\alpha)$: (rel) = 14 5.
2106 1	0	35.6	12440	$I(\alpha)$: (rel) = 100.
2197 1	0	8.2 21	12550	$I(\alpha)$: (rel) = 23 6.
2347 1	0	2.1 7	12725	$I(\alpha)$: (rel) = 6 2.
2496 1	0	4.6 11	12899	$I(\alpha)$: (rel) = 13 3.
2663 1	0	1.1 4	13093	$I(\alpha)$: (rel) = 3 1.

† For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 8.6×10^{-6} 25.

^{28}P $\epsilon\alpha$ decay 1996Og01

Decay Scheme

 $I(\alpha)$ Intensities: Relative $I(\alpha)$ 