

^{26}P ϵ 2p decay 2004Th09

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia, Anagha Chakraborty		NDS 186, 2 (2022)	31-Mar-2022

Parent: ^{26}P : E=0; $J^\pi=(3)^+$; $T_{1/2}=43.7$ ms 6; $Q(\epsilon 2\text{p})=10324$ SY; % ϵ 2p decay=2.16 25

$^{26}\text{P}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels of ^{26}P (2016Ba18). Other: 50 ms +23–12 (2017Ja05).

$^{26}\text{P}-Q(\epsilon 2\text{p})$: Deduced by evaluators using the data of $Q(\epsilon)(^{26}\text{P})$ and $Q(2\text{p})(^{26}\text{Si})$ from AME2020 (2021Wa16). Estimated $\Delta Q=200$ (syst).

$^{26}\text{P}-\% \epsilon 2\text{p}$ decay: From 2004Th09 (based on $I_{2\text{p}}$ of 0.79 12 and 1.37 22). Other: 1.5 4 (2017Ja05).

Source produced by $^{12}\text{C}(^{36}\text{Ar},x)$ E=95 MeV/nucleon fragmentation. LISE3 spectrometer, mass identification by Time-of-Flight, ΔE in Si stack detector. Measured Ep with Si detector.

Other reference: 1984Ca29.

 ^{24}Mg Levels

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$
0	0^+	stable
1368.668	5	2^+

Delayed Protons (^{24}Mg)

Particle normalization: Normalized to $\sum I_{2\text{p}} = 100$. Relative intensities of 2004Th09 are listed in comments section.

E(p)	E(^{24}Mg)	I(p) [†]	E(^{25}Al)	Comments
3879 3	1368.668	37 5	13036	I(p): (rel) = 4.4 6.
5247 3	0	63 11	13036	I(p): (rel) = 7.6 13.

[†] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0216 25.

^{26}P $\epsilon 2\text{p}$ decay 2004Th09Decay Scheme

I(p) Intensities: Relative I(p)

