

$^{24}\text{Mg}(\text{He},\text{t}) \quad 2007\text{Vi16,1995Ku19,1991Gr03}$ 

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia, Anagha Chakraborty		NDS 186, 2 (2022)	31-Mar-2022

Other references: [2008Ze05](#), [2008Vi06](#), [1993Ku07](#), [1984Ta11](#), [1984Va43](#), [1981Ka18](#), [1977Pe02](#), [1977Pe21](#), [1977Tr04](#), [1976Pe10](#).  
[2007Vi16](#):  $E(^3\text{He})=30$  MeV. Charged particles (tritons) were analyzed using Enge magnetic spectrograph, and detected by a position-sensitive ionization drift chamber. Measured triton spectra at  $11^\circ$ ,  $17.5^\circ$ ,  $21^\circ$  and  $26^\circ$ . Deduced excited level/resonance energies. [2008Vi06](#) is another article by the same research group of [2007Vi16](#). Resolution (FWHM)  $\approx 40$  keV.  
[1995Ku19](#):  $E(^3\text{He})=59.57$  MeV. Magnetic spectrograph, tof,  $\Delta E$  plastic scintillator. Measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ ; deduced levels, spin, parity. Resolution (FWHM) = 50 keV.  
[1991Gr03](#):  $E(^3\text{He})=81$  MeV. Magnetic spectrograph, E- $\Delta E$  detector telescope. Measured  $\sigma(E(t),\theta(t))$ ,  $0^\circ$  to  $30^\circ$ . Deduced levels, L, spin, parity. DWBA analysis. Resolution (FWHM) = 70 keV.  
[2008Ze05](#):  $E(^3\text{He})=420$  MeV. Grand Raiden spectrometer. Measured triton spectra,  $\sigma(\theta)$ . Spectrometer was set at  $0^\circ$  and differential cross sections up to  $3^\circ$  in the center-of-mass system was obtained. Deduced levels, spin, parity, angular momenta, Gamow-Teller strength. Resolution (FWHM)=35 keV.  
[1977Pe02](#), [1977Pe21](#):  $E(^3\text{He})=38.5$  MeV. Measured  $\sigma(E_t,\theta)$ . Deduced levels, spin.  
[1977Tr04](#):  $E(^3\text{He})=44$  MeV; measured particle spectra. Deduced levels. Resolution (FWHM) = 15 keV.

 $^{24}\text{Al}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>c</sup>	L <sup>e</sup>	S <sup>f</sup>	Comments
0 431 5	4 <sup>+</sup> 1 <sup>+</sup>	4 0	8.5 3.2	$J^\pi$ : From Adopted Levels. E(level): Unweighted average of 422 10 ( <a href="#">2008Ze05</a> ), 439 6 ( <a href="#">1991Gr03</a> ) and 432 10 ( <a href="#">1995Ku19</a> ). Weighted average yields 434 5. L: From $\Delta L=0$ ( <a href="#">2008Ze05</a> ). Other: L=2 ( <a href="#">1995Ku19</a> ). $a^2 = 9.42$ 19, absolute ratio defined in <a href="#">1976Pe10</a> as absolute observed cross sections to DWBA predictions using a collective model, represents the strength of a transition with kinematic and Q-value effects removed.
503 6	2 <sup>+</sup>	2	2.2	E(level): Unweighted average of 492 10 ( <a href="#">2008Ze05</a> ), 511 6 ( <a href="#">1991Gr03</a> ) and 506 10 ( <a href="#">1995Ku19</a> ). Weighted average yields 508 5. $\Delta L \neq 0$ ( <a href="#">2008Ze05</a> ).
1109 3	1 <sup>+</sup>	0	0.89	E(level): Weighted average of 1090 10 ( <a href="#">2008Ze05</a> ), 1111 3 ( <a href="#">1991Gr03</a> ) and 1101 10 ( <a href="#">1995Ku19</a> ). $J^\pi$ : L=0 ( <a href="#">2008Ze05</a> ). 1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup> from $\sigma(\theta)$ and DWBA calculations ( <a href="#">1991Gr03</a> ). $a^2 = 35.2$ 70, absolute ratio defined in <a href="#">1976Pe10</a> as absolute observed cross sections to DWBA predictions using a collective model, represents the strength of a transition with kinematic and Q-value effects removed. L: From $\Delta L=0$ ( <a href="#">2008Ze05</a> ). Other: L=2 ( <a href="#">1995Ku19</a> ).
1130	(1,2,3) <sup>+</sup>	2		E(level): From <a href="#">1977Tr04</a> . Confirmed by <a href="#">1977Pe21</a> . <a href="#">1977Tr04</a> report a doublet at 1120 keV separated by about 20 keV. $J^\pi, L$ : From L=2 ( <a href="#">1977Pe21</a> ).
1260 10 1550 6	3 <sup>+</sup> 5 <sup>+</sup>	4 4	0.81 1.0,2.2	E(level): From <a href="#">1995Ku19</a> . Other: 1275 5 ( <a href="#">1991Gr03</a> ). E(level): Weighted average of 1535 10 ( <a href="#">1995Ku19</a> ), 1543 6 ( <a href="#">2007Vi16</a> ), 1555 10 ( <a href="#">2008Ze05</a> ), and 1563 7 ( <a href="#">2007Vi16</a> ).
1618 5	3 <sup>+</sup>	4	1.0	$J^\pi$ : L=4 gives (4,5,6) <sup>+</sup> and 2 <sup>+,5<sup>+</sup> in <a href="#">1991Gr03</a>. E(level): Weighted average of 1619 10 (<a href="#">2008Ze05</a>), 1619 6 (<a href="#">2007Vi16</a>) and 1614 10 (<a href="#">1995Ku19</a>). Other: 1638 8 (<a href="#">1991Gr03</a>). <math>J^\pi</math>: Also 3<sup>+</sup> from <math>\sigma(\theta)</math> and fitting in <a href="#">2008Ze05</a>.</sup>
2346 6	(3 <sup>+</sup> )	4,(0)	4.4,1.4	E(level): From <a href="#">2007Vi16</a> . Others: 2349 10 ( <a href="#">2008Ze05</a> ), 2328 10 ( <a href="#">1995Ku19</a> ), 2369 4 ( <a href="#">1991Gr03</a> ). $J^\pi$ : From <a href="#">1995Ku19</a> with the analogue state of $^{24}\text{Na}$ at 2513.3 $J^\pi=3^+$ . 4 <sup>+,5<sup>+</sup> in <a href="#">1991Gr03</a>.</sup>
2523 6	(4 <sup>+,5<sup>+</sup>)</sup>	4	2.4,1.0	E(level): Weighted average of 2524 6 ( <a href="#">2007Vi16</a> ) and 2521 10 ( <a href="#">1995Ku19</a> ). Others: 2546 7 ( <a href="#">1991Gr03</a> ). $J^\pi$ : (4) <sup>+</sup> in <a href="#">1995Ku19</a> .
2605 <sup>a</sup> 10 2805 10	1 <sup>+</sup> <sup>d</sup> 2 <sup>+</sup>	0 2	3.3	$J^\pi, L$ : From $\Delta L=0$ ( <a href="#">2008Ze05</a> ). E(level): Unweighted average of 2792 6 ( <a href="#">2007Vi16</a> ), 2787 10 ( <a href="#">1995Ku19</a> ), 2810 10

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 **$^{24}\text{Mg}({}^3\text{He},\text{t}) \quad 2007\text{Vi16,1995Ku19,1991Gr03}$  (continued)**


---



---

 **$^{24}\text{Al}$  Levels (continued)**


---

E(level) <sup>f</sup>	J <sup>c</sup>	L <sup>e</sup>	S <sup>f</sup>	Comments
2878 6	(1 <sup>+</sup> )	2	0.71	(2008Ze05), and 2832 6 (1991Gr03). J <sup>π</sup> : Also 2 <sup>+</sup> from $\sigma(\theta)$ and fitting in 2008Ze05. E(level): Weighted average of 2874 6 (2007Vi16), 2876 10 (1995Ku19), 2890 20 (2008Ze05), and 2920 23 (1991Gr03).
2978 <sup>‡#</sup> 6	1 <sup>+</sup> <sup>d</sup>	0	0.46,0.2	J <sup>π</sup> : L=0 (2008Ze05) for 3001 10. (2 <sup>+</sup> ) for 3037 16 (1991Gr03), identified as a doublet in 2007Vi16. Evaluators assume the level to be the same to that reported in $^{24}\text{Si}$ $\varepsilon$ decay (141.3 ms). L: From $\Delta L=0$ (2008Ze05). Other: L=2 (1995Ku19).
3019 <sup>‡#</sup> 6				J <sup>π</sup> : 1 <sup>+</sup> for 3001 10 (2008Ze05) and (2 <sup>+</sup> ) for 3037 16 (1991Gr03), identified as a doublet in 2007Vi16.
3236 <sup>‡@</sup> 6		2		J <sup>π</sup> ,L: 3 <sup>+</sup> in 1991Gr03 is questionable as a doublet, 2007Vi16 note.
3269 <sup>‡@</sup> 6		2		J <sup>π</sup> ,L: 3 <sup>+</sup> in 1991Gr03 is questionable as a doublet, 2007Vi16 note.
3332 <sup>‡</sup> 6	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	3,4	2.1	E(level): Others: 3330 10 (1995Ku19). Although 3384 16 (1991Gr03) is much higher compared to the E <sub>l</sub> in 2007Vi16 and 1995Ku19, and closer to 3375 10 of 2008Ze05, the L=3,4 indicated to be not the same level of 2008Ze05.
3375 <sup>a</sup> 10	1 <sup>+</sup> <sup>d</sup>	0		J <sup>π</sup> ,L: From $\Delta L=0$ (2008Ze05).
3442 <sup>‡</sup> 7	(1 <sup>+</sup> )	(0)		E(level): Others: 3444 10 (1995Ku19) and 3500 19 (1991Gr03) – appears to be same level, although spin 2. In (p,n) dataset a 1 <sup>+</sup> state at 3490). L: From 1995Ku19.
3583 <sup>‡</sup> 7	0 <sup>+,1<sup>+</sup></sup>	1.9,0.76		E(level): Others: 3590 10 (1995Ku19) and 3608 16 (1991Gr03).
3667 <sup>‡</sup> 7	3 <sup>+</sup>	1.4		E(level): Others: 3674 10 (1995Ku19), 3691 10 (2008Ze05), and 3716 10 (1991Gr03).
3818 <sup>‡&amp;</sup> 7				J <sup>π</sup> : $\Delta L=1$ for 3888 10 (2008Ze05), may be for a doublet. See footnote. (1 <sup>+</sup> ) in 1991Gr03 may be for a doublet (Fig. 5 – poor fitting of $\sigma(\theta)$ ).
3858 <sup>‡&amp;</sup> 7				J <sup>π</sup> : $\Delta L=1$ for 3888 10 (2008Ze05), may be for a doublet. See footnote. (1 <sup>+</sup> ) in 1991Gr03 may be for a doublet (Fig. 5 – poor fitting of $\sigma(\theta)$ ).
4061 10	(1 <sup>+</sup> )	0.95		E(level): From 1995Ku19. Others: 4088 50 (2008Ze05) and 4057 17 (1991Gr03).
4129 24	2	1.3,0.10		E(level): From 1991Gr03.
4254 <sup>‡</sup> 8	(4,5,6) <sup>-</sup>	5	1.8,0.15	E(level): Others: 4253 10 (1995Ku19) and 4301 31 (1991Gr03). J <sup>π</sup> : From L=5 (1995Ku19), 4 in 1991Gr03.
4386 10	1 <sup>+</sup> <sup>d</sup>	0		E(level): From 1995Ku19. Others: 4386 20 (2008Ze05) and 4397 8 (2007Vi16). J <sup>π</sup> ,L: From $\Delta L=0$ (2008Ze05).
4448 9	3 <sup>+</sup>	1.2		E(level): Weighted average of 4445 10 (1995Ku19), 4426 20 (2008Ze05), and 4454 9 (2007Vi16). Uncertainty is the lowest input value. Other: 4485 10 (1991Gr03).
4711 10	1 <sup>+</sup> <sup>d</sup>	0		J <sup>π</sup> ,L: From $\Delta L=0$ (2008Ze05). E(level): Weighted average of 4709 10 (1995Ku19), 4686 20 (2008Ze05), and 4720 10 (2007Vi16). Uncertainty is the lowest input value.
4760 10	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	3.3,10.5		E(level): Weighted average of 4734 20 (2008Ze05) and 4764 8 (1991Gr03). E(level): From 1995Ku19.
4848 10				E(level): Weighted average of 4971 20 (2008Ze05) and 5045 33 (1991Gr03).
4991 33				E(level): Unweighted average of 5289 10 (1995Ku19), 5312 20 (2008Ze05), and 5337 10 (1991Gr03).
5313 14	(1 <sup>+</sup> )	2.2		E(level): Unweighted average of 5429 10 (1995Ku19), 5483 20 (2008Ze05), and 5470 17 (1991Gr03). J <sup>π</sup> : Proposed in 1991Gr03, based on measured $\sigma(\theta)$ and DWBA calculations, however, could not distinguish clearly from a 5 <sup>+</sup> distribution.
5531 <sup>b</sup> 19	(1 <sup>+,2<sup>-</sup></sup>			
5614 <sup>b</sup> 22		2.2,0.15		
5714 10	(1 <sup>+,2<sup>-</sup></sup>	3.2,0.14		E(level): Weighted average of 5722 10 (1995Ku19), 5692 20 (2008Ze05), and 5692 26 (1991Gr03).
5843 16	(1 <sup>+,2<sup>-</sup></sup>	4.0,0.44		E(level): Weighted average of 5848 10 (1995Ku19) and 5788 34 (1991Gr03). Other: 5848 (1981Ka18).
5899 16	(3 <sup>+</sup> )	1.3		E(level): Weighted average of 5869 30 (2008Ze05) and 5908 16 (1991Gr03). Other: 5929

---

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

---

**$^{24}\text{Mg}(^3\text{He},\text{t}) \quad 2007\text{Vi16,1995Ku19,1991Gr03}$  (continued)**

---

**$^{24}\text{Al}$  Levels (continued)**

---

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π</sup> <sup>c</sup>	L <sup>e</sup>	S <sup>f</sup>	Comments
5996 20	(2 <sup>+</sup> )		3.5,2.1	
6164 16	(3 <sup>+</sup> )		1.0	E(level): Weighted average of 6141 30 ( <a href="#">2008Ze05</a> ) and 6170 16 ( <a href="#">1991Gr03</a> ). Uncertainty is the lower input.
6214 <sup>a</sup> 30				
6324 <sup>b</sup> 48				
6459 24	(2)		1.6,0.10	E(level): Weighted average of 6454 30 ( <a href="#">2008Ze05</a> ) and 6462 24 ( <a href="#">1991Gr03</a> ). Uncertainty is the lower input.
6554 <sup>b</sup> 15	(2 <sup>+</sup> )		3.3,1.7	
6697 <sup>b</sup> 19	(3 <sup>+</sup> )		0.83	
6797 <sup>b</sup> 23	(3 <sup>+</sup> )		0.90	
6878 <sup>a</sup> 30	1 <sup>+</sup> <sup>d</sup>	0		J <sup>π</sup> ,L: From $\Delta L=0$ ( <a href="#">2008Ze05</a> ).
6910 30	(1 <sup>+,2-</sup> )		2.6,0.11	E(level): Weighted average of 6896 30 ( <a href="#">2008Ze05</a> ) and 6925 32 ( <a href="#">1991Gr03</a> ). Uncertainty is the lower input.
7086 <sup>b</sup> 8	(4)		17.6	
7360 <sup>b</sup> 3	(1 <sup>+,2-</sup> )		1.8,0.08	
7441 <sup>b</sup> 18				
7679 <sup>b</sup> 20	(1 <sup>+,2-</sup> )		3.2,0.12	

<sup>†</sup> [1995Ku19](#) level energies are consistently lower than that in [1991Gr03](#). In many cases the values of [2007Vi16](#) and [2008Ze05](#) are in between the values reported in [1995Ku19](#) and [1991Gr03](#). Listed values chosen based on statistical agreement and/or adopted level energies, if from  $\gamma$  depopulation. Sometimes [2007Vi16](#) values are preferred as it resolved a few doublets of earlier data. In a few cases the difference is large, no clue if those are same or different levels.

<sup>‡</sup> From [2007Vi16](#).

<sup>#</sup> [2007Vi16](#) propose 3002 10 ([1995Ku19](#)) and 3037 16 ([1991Gr03](#)) to be a doublet, probably applies to 3001 10 ([2008Ze05](#)) as well.

<sup>@</sup> [2007Vi16](#) propose 3247 10 ([1995Ku19](#)) and 3291 12 ([1991Gr03](#)) to be a doublet, probably applies to 3292 10 ([2008Ze05](#)) as well.

<sup>&</sup> [2007Vi16](#) propose 3860 10 ([1995Ku19](#)) and 3911 6 ([1991Gr03](#)) to be a doublet, probably applies to 3888 10 ([2008Ze05](#)) as well.

<sup>a</sup> From [2008Ze05](#).

<sup>b</sup> From [1991Gr03](#).

<sup>c</sup> Proposed in [1991Gr03](#) based on measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ , DWBA analysis, and shell model calculations/wavefunctions, except where otherwise noted.

<sup>d</sup> No excitation of the isobaric analog state ( $\Delta L=0, \Delta S=0$ ) is expected for a  $^{24}\text{Mg}$  target ( $N=Z$ ) in ( $^3\text{He},\text{t}$ ).

<sup>e</sup> From [1995Ku19](#), except where otherwise noted. Additional values not adopted due to poor energy match.

<sup>f</sup>  $[(d\sigma/d\Omega)\exp/(d\sigma/d\Omega)\text{calc}]^{1/2}$  ([1991Gr03](#)).