

^{239}Np β^- decay [1959Ew90,1965Da04,1996Wo05](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. Browne, J. K. Tuli	NDS 122, 293 (2014)		30-Jun-2013

Parent: ^{239}Np : $E=0$; $J^\pi=5/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=2.356$ d 3; $Q(\beta^-)=722.5$ 10; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

[Additional information 1.](#)

 ^{239}Pu Levels

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$1/2^+$	24110 y 30	
7.861	$3/2^+$		
57.276 2	$5/2^+$		
75.706?	$7/2^+$		
163.76	$9/2^+$		
285.460	$5/2^+$	1.12 [†] ns 5	$g=-0.49$ 11 (1974Pa03) g-factor: assuming paramagnetic correction $\beta=2.16$.
330.125	$7/2^+$	193 [†] ns 4	
387.41	$9/2^+$		
391.586	$7/2^-$		
469.8	$(1/2^-)$		
492.2	$3/2^-$		
505.2	$5/2^-$		
511.81 6	$7/2^+$		
556.2	$(7/2^-)$		

[†] Average of values from [1974Pa03](#), [1955En07](#), [1951Gr34](#).

 β^- radiations

E(decay) [†]	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ ^{‡#@}	Log ft	Comments
(166.3 10)	556.2	≈ 0.003	≈ 9.7	av $E\beta=44.2$ 3
(210.7 10)	511.81	1.70 6	7.3	av $E\beta=56.8$ 3
(217.3 10)	505.2	0.0074 2	9.7	av $E\beta=58.7$ 3
(230.3 10)	492.2	0.020 1	9.3	av $E\beta=62.5$ 4
(252.7 ^{&} 10)	469.8	≥ 0.0027	$\leq 9.9^{1u}$	av $E\beta=74.7$ 3
341 3	391.586	44 2	6.5	av $E\beta=92.5$ 3
393 3	330.125	7 2	7.5	av $E\beta=111.5$ 4
438 3	285.460	45 3	6.8	av $E\beta=125.6$ 4
(646.8 ^{&} 10)	75.706?			
(665.2 ^{&} 10)	57.276			
713 3	7.861	2 1	8.9	av $E\beta=218.1$ 4 $I\beta^-$: 1952Fr25 , 1956Ba95 , 1959Co63 .

[†] From [1959Co63](#).

[‡] $I(\beta^-)$ to 7.85, 57 and 75 levels deduced from $I(\beta^- \text{ to } 7.85)/I(\beta^- \text{ to } 57+75)=1.6$ ([1959Co63](#)) and from $\Sigma(I\beta^-)$ to higher levels)=100.

[#] $I(\beta^- \text{ to } 57+75 \text{ level}) < 2$.

[@] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[&] Existence of this branch is questionable.

γ(²³⁹Pu)

I_γ normalization: all γ-ray intensities were measured on an absolute scale (per 100 disintegrations of ²³⁹Np).

Others: [1975Pa04](#), [2008Gr11](#).

x-rays(Pu) :

E _γ (1982Ah04)	I _γ (%α) (1982Ah04)		I _γ (%α) (1972Ah02)	Calculated (RADLST)
99.53	12.8 4	Kα ₂	x ray	13.1 5
103.74	20.4 6	Kα ₁	x ray	20.8 7
117.6	7.3 3	Kβ ₁ '	x ray	10.3 4 (Kβ x ray)
120.6	2.6 1	Kβ ₂ '	x ray	2.8 1

The agreement between measured and calculated K x ray supports the experimental γ-ray intensities and assigned multipolarities.

E _γ	I _γ ^{†e}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.	δ ^c	α [†]	I _(γ+ce) ^e	Comments
(4.2 CA)		391.586	7/2 ⁻	387.41	9/2 ⁺	[E1]			2.6 CA	I _(γ+ce) : from transition intensity balance at 387-keV level, where no significant β ⁻ feeding is expected (5/2 ⁺ to 9/2 ⁺).
7.85 [@]		7.861	3/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.055 3	5.7×10 ³ 4		ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.74 4; ce(N+)/(γ+ce)=0.261 20 ce(N)/(γ+ce)=0.203 17; ce(O)/(γ+ce)=0.049 5; ce(P)/(γ+ce)=0.0083 7; ce(Q)/(γ+ce)=0.000285 19 δ: from ²³⁹ Am ε decay.
(18.4)		75.706?	7/2 ⁺	57.276	5/2 ⁺	[M1+E2]				
44.66 ^b 2	0.13 1	330.125	7/2 ⁺	285.460	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.20 3	86 8		α(L)=64 6; α(M)=16.2 17; α(N+..)=5.7 6 α(N)=4.4 5; α(O)=1.08 11; α(P)=0.193 17; α(Q)=0.00903 15 I _γ : from 1982Ah04 . δ: from ²³⁹ Am ε decay.
49.41 ^b 2	0.12 2	57.276	5/2 ⁺	7.861	3/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.50 3	126 8		α(L)=92 6; α(M)=24.8 17; α(N+..)=8.7 6 α(N)=6.8 5; α(O)=1.62 11; α(P)=0.269 17; α(Q)=0.00592 13 δ: from ²³⁹ Am ε. I _γ : weighted average of 0.11% 1 (1982Ah04) and 0.18% 3 (1974HeYW). I _γ ; =0.11 from ce(L) of 1959Ew90 and α(L)=95.
57.28 ^{fb}	≈0.036 ^f	57.276	5/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺	E2		222		α(L)=161.0 23; α(M)=45.0 7; α(N+..)=15.72 22 α(N)=12.36 18; α(O)=2.91 4; α(P)=0.457 7; α(Q)=0.001108 16 I _γ : from I _γ (57γ, 57 level)/I _γ (49γ)≈0.3 in ²⁴³ Cm α decay and I _γ (49γ)=0.12% 2 in ²³⁹ Np β ⁻ Decay.

γ(²³⁹Pu) (continued)

E _γ	I _γ ^{±e}	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.	δ ^c	α [†]	Comments
(57.3 ^f)	≈0.09 ^f	387.41	9/2 ⁺	330.125	7/2 ⁺	[M1]		28.6 4	α(L)=21.5 3; α(M)=5.2 8; α(N+..)=1.43 2 α(N)=7 6; α(O)=1.6 13; α(P)=0.26 20; α(Q)=0.0028 17 I _(γ+ce) : from I _γ (doublet)=0.135% 7 (1982Ah04) and I _γ (57γ, 57-keV level)≈0.036%.
61.460 [#] 2	1.30 2	391.586	7/2 ⁻	330.125	7/2 ⁺	E1		0.473	α(L)=0.354 5; α(M)=0.0881 13; α(N+..)=0.0300 5 α(N)=0.0236 4; α(O)=0.00553 8; α(P)=0.000871 13; α(Q)=2.87×10 ⁻⁵ 4 I _γ : weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of 1.40% 7 (1996Wo05), 1.29% 2 (1984Va41), and 1.29% 6 (1982Ah04).
67.86 [@] 2	0.10 3	75.706?	7/2 ⁺	7.861	3/2 ⁺	E2		98.4	α(L)=71.4 10; α(M)=20.0 3; α(N+..)=6.98 10 α(N)=5.49 8; α(O)=1.291 19; α(P)=0.204 3; α(Q)=0.000543 8 I _γ : from 1974HeYW .
88.06 [@] 3	0.006 ^{&} 2	163.76	9/2 ⁺	75.706?	7/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.50	12.26	α(L)=9.07 13; α(M)=2.36 4; α(N+..)=0.830 12 α(N)=0.645 9; α(O)=0.1563 22; α(P)=0.0274 4; α(Q)=0.001050 15
101.965 13	0.008 CA	387.41	9/2 ⁺	285.460	5/2 ⁺	E2		14.42	α(L)=10.46 15; α(M)=2.93 5; α(N+..)=1.025 15 α(N)=0.805 12; α(O)=0.190 3; α(P)=0.0302 5; α(Q)=0.0001088 16 I _γ ,E _γ : from ²³⁹ Am ε decay.
106.123 [#] 2	25.34 17	391.586	7/2 ⁻	285.460	5/2 ⁺	E1(+M2)	-0.007 7	0.26 3	α(L)exp=0.19 3; α(M)exp=0.050 8; α(N+...)exp=0.017 3 α(L)=0.088 8; α(M)=0.0216 21; α(N+..)=0.0074 8 α(N)=0.0058 6; α(O)=0.00139 15; α(P)=0.00023 3; α(Q)=9.4×10 ⁻⁶ 15 α: L1/L2=0.87 9/0.93 9 1959Ew90 , conversion is anomalous. Other: 1957Ew30 , 2008Go10 . I _γ : Other value: 26.3% 10, weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of 25.23% 28 (1996Wo05), 27.4% 4 (1984Va41), 26.4% 8 (1982Ah04), 26.6% 10 (1977St35), and 27.8% 9 (1972Ah02).
106.47 [@] 4	0.049 ^{&} 8	163.76	9/2 ⁺	57.276	5/2 ⁺	E2		11.80	α(L)=8.56 12; α(M)=2.40 4; α(N+..)=0.839 12 α(N)=0.659 10; α(O)=0.1553 22; α(P)=0.0248 4; α(Q)=9.29×10 ⁻⁵ 13
124.4	≈0.01	511.81	7/2 ⁺	387.41	9/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	<0.26	13.8 4	α(K)=10.7 4; α(L)=2.32 7; α(M)=0.570 22; α(N+..)=0.202 8 α(N)=0.155 6; α(O)=0.0385 14; α(P)=0.00726 19; α(Q)=0.000452 15 Mult.,I _γ : from ²³⁹ Am ε decay.
166.39 [@] 6	0.016 ^{&} 7	330.125	7/2 ⁺	163.76	9/2 ⁺	M1		6.22	α(K)=4.91 7; α(L)=0.984 14; α(M)=0.239 4; α(N+..)=0.0846 12 α(N)=0.0651 10; α(O)=0.01621 23; α(P)=0.00308 5; α(Q)=0.000202 3
181.70 3	0.082 3	511.81	7/2 ⁺	330.125	7/2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.150 7	4.77	α(K)exp=3.0 4; α(L)exp=0.62 8 α(K)=3.75 6; α(L)=0.767 11; α(M)=0.187 3; α(N+..)=0.0661 10

γ(²³⁹Pu) (continued)

<u>E_γ</u>	<u>I_γ^{<u>†e</u>}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.</u>	<u>δ^c</u>	<u>α[†]</u>	<u>Comments</u>
									α(N)=0.0509 8; α(O)=0.01265 18; α(P)=0.00240 4; α(Q)=0.0001538 22 I _γ : Other value: 0.0831% 24, weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of 0.085% 5 (1996Wo05), 0.07% 1 (1984Va41), 0.083% 4 (1982Ah04), and 0.075% 8 (1972Ah02).
209.753 [#] 2	3.363 20	285.460	5/2 ⁺	75.706?	7/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.37 ^d 8	2.93 13	α(K)=2.27 12; α(L)=0.499 9; α(M)=0.1231 18; α(N+..)=0.0435 7 α(N)=0.0335 5; α(O)=0.00830 13; α(P)=0.00156 3; α(Q)=9.3×10 ⁻⁵ 5 I _γ : Other value: 3.42% 3, weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of 3.43% 7 (1996Wo05), 3.46% 5 (1984Va41), 3.30% 10 (1982Ah04), 3.36% 14 (1977St35,1991Po17), and 3.42% 10 (1972Ah02).
226.38 2	0.259 16	511.81	7/2 ⁺	285.460	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	+0.133 6	2.58	α(K)=2.03 3; α(L)=0.410 6; α(M)=0.0998 14; α(N+..)=0.0353 5 α(N)=0.0272 4; α(O)=0.00675 10; α(P)=0.001282 18; α(Q)=8.28×10 ⁻⁵ 12 I _γ : weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of 0.230% 14 (1996Wo05), 0.28% 2 (1984Va41), and 0.24% 3 (1977St35,1991Po17).
227.83 ^f CA	0.51 ^f CA	391.586	7/2 ⁻	163.76	9/2 ⁺	[E1]		0.080	E _γ : from 1982Ah04 . α(K)=0.06; α(L)=0.01
228.183 [#] 1	10.730 87	285.460	5/2 ⁺	57.276	5/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.28 ^d 7	2.41 9	α(K)=1.88 8; α(L)=0.395 7; α(M)=0.0967 15; α(N+..)=0.0342 6 α(N)=0.0263 4; α(O)=0.00653 11; α(P)=0.001233 23; α(Q)=7.7×10 ⁻⁵ 3 I _γ : After subtracting I _γ =0.51% for the 227.83-keV γ-ray component that deexcites the 391.5-keV level, and I _γ =0.259% 16 for the 226.38-keV γ-ray component from the 511-keV level. I _γ : Other value: 11.14% 11, weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of 10.91% 16 (1996Wo05), 11.21% 18 (1984Va41), 11.20% 30 (1982Ah04), 11.78% 44 (1977St35,1991Po17), 11.40% 3 (1972Ah02), after subtracting I _γ =0.51% for the 227.83-keV γ-ray component that deexcites the 391.5-keV level.
254.40 ^b 3	0.1092 22	330.125	7/2 ⁺	75.706?	7/2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.159 6	1.85	α(K)=1.457 21; α(L)=0.294 5; α(M)=0.0716 10; α(N+..)=0.0253 4 α(N)=0.0195 3; α(O)=0.00485 7; α(P)=0.000920 13; α(Q)=5.93×10 ⁻⁵ 9

^{239}Np β^- decay [1959Ew90](#),[1965Da04](#),[1996Wo05](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{239}\text{Pu})$ (continued)									Comments
E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger e}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ^c	$\alpha^\dagger$	
272.84 ^b 3	0.0766 19	330.125	7/2 ⁺	57.276	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	+0.165 9	1.519	I_γ: Other value: 0.109% 2, weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of 0.1078% 27 (1996Wo05), 0.12% 1 (1984Va41), 0.110% 6 (1982Ah04), and 0.11% 1 (1972Ah02). $\alpha(\text{K})=1.198$ 17; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.241$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0588$ 9; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0208$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.01599$ 23; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00398$ 6; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000755$ 11; $\alpha(\text{Q})=4.86\times 10^{-5}$ 7 I_γ: Other value: 0.077% 2, weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of 0.0762% 24 (1996Wo05), 0.08% 1 (1984Va41), 0.077% 4 (1982Ah04), and 0.08% 1 (1972Ah02).
277.599 [#] 1	14.505 79	285.460	5/2 ⁺	7.861	3/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.23 ^d 10	1.42 7	$\alpha(\text{K})=1.12$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.228$ 6; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0555$ 13; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0196$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0151$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00375$ 9; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000711$ 19; $\alpha(\text{Q})=4.53\times 10^{-5}$ 22 I_γ: Other value: 14.44% 10, weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of 14.53% 17 (1996Wo05), 14.38% 21 (1984Va41), 14.5% 4 (1982Ah04), 14.30% 24 (1979Mo25), 15.0% 5 (1977St35,1991Po17), 14.1% 4 (1974Yu04), and 14.5% 4 (1972Ah02).
285.460 [#] 2	0.7939 64	285.460	5/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺	E2		0.247	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0843$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1190$ 17; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0326$ 5; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.01145$ 16 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00896$ 13; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00213$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000356$ 5; $\alpha(\text{Q})=4.99\times 10^{-6}$ 7 I_γ: Other value: 0.79% 1, weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of 0.797% 10 (1996Wo05), 0.77% 2 (1984Va41), 0.790% 25 (1982Ah04), 0.93% 6 (1977St35,1991Po17), and 0.76% 2 (1972Ah02).
315.880 [#] 3	1.600 12	391.586	7/2 ⁻	75.706?	7/2 ⁺	E1(+M2)	+0.008 8	0.0372 9	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0294$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00583$ 16; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00141$ 4; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000493$ 15 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000382$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=9.3\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.69\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{Q})=8.9\times 10^{-7}$ 3 I_γ: Other value: 1.60% 2, weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of 1.604% 20 (1996Wo05), 1.60% 3 (1984Va41), 1.60% 5 (1982Ah04), 1.63% 7 (1977St35,1991Po17), and 1.52% 5 (1972Ah02).
(322.26 CA)	0.0052 CA	330.125	7/2 ⁺	7.861	3/2 ⁺	[E2]		0.174	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0691$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0760$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0207$; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00791$ I_γ: from I_γ branchings in ^{239}Am ε decay and ^{243}Cm α decay.

$\gamma(^{239}\text{Pu})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger e}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ^c	$\alpha^\dagger$	Comments
334.310 2	2.056 13	391.586	7/2 ⁻	57.276	5/2 ⁺	E1(+M2)	+0.006 6	0.0329 6	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0261$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00511$ 10; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.001238$ 24; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000432$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000334$ 7; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.18\times 10^{-5}$ 16; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.48\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{Q})=7.91\times 10^{-7}$ 17 I_γ : Other value: 2.06% 2, weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of 2.050% 25 (1996Wo05), 2.08% 3 (1984Va41), 2.06% 6 (1982Ah04), 2.10% 10 (1977St35,1991Po17), and 1.95% 7 (1972Ah02). E_γ : from 1974HeYW , 1959Ew90 .
392.4 ^a 5	0.0016 ^a	556.2	(7/2 ⁻)	163.76	9/2 ⁺				
429.5 ^a 5	0.0039 ^a	505.2	5/2 ⁻	75.706?	7/2 ⁺				
434.7 ^a 5	0.013 ^a	492.2	3/2 ⁻	57.276	5/2 ⁺	E1(+M2)	-0.002 2	0.0190	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01522$ 22; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00287$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000692$ 10; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.000242$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000187$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.59\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=8.41\times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{Q})=4.72\times 10^{-7}$ 7
447.6 ^a 5	0.00026 ^a	505.2	5/2 ⁻	57.276	5/2 ⁺				
454.2 ^a 5	0.00082 ^a	511.81	7/2 ⁺	57.276	5/2 ⁺	[M1]		0.383	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.304$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0598$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.01451$ 21; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00513$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00395$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000982$ 14; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000187$ 3; $\alpha(\text{Q})=1.217\times 10^{-5}$ 18
461.9 ^a 5	0.0016 ^a	469.8	(1/2 ⁻)	7.861	3/2 ⁺				
469.8 ^a 5	0.0011 ^a	469.8	(1/2 ⁻)	0.0	1/2 ⁺				
484.3 ^a 5	0.0010 ^a	492.2	3/2 ⁻	7.861	3/2 ⁺				
492.3 ^a 5	0.0060 ^a	492.2	3/2 ⁻	0.0	1/2 ⁺				
497.8 ^a 5	0.0032 ^a	505.2	5/2 ⁻	7.861	3/2 ⁺				
$\approx 498.7^a$	$\approx 0.001^a$	556.2	(7/2 ⁻)	57.276	5/2 ⁺				
504.2 ^a 5	0.00078 ^a	511.81	7/2 ⁺	7.861	3/2 ⁺	[E2]		0.0516	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0304$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.01559$ 23; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00412$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.001449$ 21 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.001128$ 17; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000272$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.75\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(\text{Q})=1.386\times 10^{-6}$ 20

[†] Additional information 2.

[‡] Unless otherwise specified, absolute γ -ray intensities are values deduced from thermal neutron activations analysis [$^{238}\text{U}(\text{n},\gamma)$] combined with absolute intensities measured by several authors, and included as fitted parameters in a least-squares fit ([2005Tr08](#)).

From [1976BoYH](#) corrected for change in calibration line of $\text{K}\alpha_1$ x ray of U from 98.440 to 98.4346 eV (cryst). See also [1979Bo30](#).

@ From [1959Ew90](#), magnetic spectrometer ce.

& From ce data of [1959Ew90](#) and theoretical conversion coefficient α .

^a From [1965Da04](#); Ge(Li), ΔI_γ estimated by evaluator at 15-30%.

γ(²³⁹Pu) (continued)

- ^b From [1982Ah04](#).
- ^c From [1972Kr07](#), [1959Ew90](#), [1990Si12](#), unless otherwise specified. Other: [1974Pa03](#).
- ^d Deduced by evaluator from conversion electron data of [1991Sh06](#) in ²⁴³Cm α decay.
- ^e Absolute intensity per 100 decays.
- ^f Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

^{239}Np β^- decay $^{1959}\text{Ew90,1965Da04,1996W005}$

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays
@ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

Legend
→ $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_{\gamma_{\max}}$
→ $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_{\gamma_{\max}}$
→ $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_{\gamma_{\max}}$
- - - γ Decay (Uncertain)

