

$^{243}\text{Am } \alpha$ decay 1992Ga01,1996Wo05,1996Sa23

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. Browne, J. K. Tuli		NDS 122, 293 (2014)	30-Jun-2013

Parent: ^{243}Am : E=0.0; $J^\pi=5/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=7364$ y 22; $Q(\alpha)=5438.8$ 10; % α decay=100.0

Others: 1986LoZT, 1964Ba26, 1975Pa04.

Additional information 1.

$\alpha\gamma$, $(\alpha)(ce)$: 1968Va09 (semi-semi), 1969En02 (semi-semi), 1963Le17 (semi-scin).

$\text{Ag}(\theta)$: 1962Si12, 1963Fl01, 1967Fa01, 1967Hu03.

$\text{Ag}(\theta,\text{H})$: deduced effective hyperfine field (1970An13).

 ^{239}Np Levels

E(level) [†]	$J^\pi\#$	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	5/2 ⁺		
31.130 21	7/2 ⁺		
71.5 9	9/2 ⁺		
74.660 18	5/2 ⁻	1.39 ns 3	$\mu=+2.0$ 3 (1967Gu08,2011StZZ) $g=0.79$ 10 from $\text{Ag}(\theta,\text{H},t)$ (1967Gu08). $T_{1/2}$: Weighted average of 1.2 ns 1 (1960Un02), 1.40 ns 6 (1963Ch07), 1.41 ns 4 (1968Ob02), 1.38 ns 3 (1969Hu09), and 1.41 ns 4 (1970To08).
117.84 3	7/2 ⁻	≤ 0.04 ns	$T_{1/2}$: From 1969Hu09.
122.4 10	(11/2 ⁺)		
173.02 4	9/2 ⁻		
240.8 9	(11/2 ⁻)		
267 [‡] 3	(5/2 ⁺)		
317.4 15	(13/2 ⁻)		
325 [‡] 3	(5/2 ⁻)		
347 [‡] 3	(7/2 ⁺ ,9/2 ⁺)		J^π : analogy to ^{237}Np suggests 7/2 ⁺ 1/2[400] band; however, from ($^3\text{He},d$), $J^\pi=9/2^+$.
359 [‡] 3	(9/2 ⁺)		J^π : analogy to ^{237}Np .
411 [‡] 3			
427 [‡] 3			
438 [‡] 3	(11/2 ⁺)		
662.2	(5/2 ⁻)		

[†] Deduced by evaluator from a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies, unless otherwise specified.

[‡] From alpha particle energy.

From Adopted Levels, unless stated otherwise.

 α radiations

E α [†]	E(level)	I α ^{#@α}	HF&	Comments
4695 3	662.2	1.7×10^{-3} 5	7.1	I α : measurement of 1966Le13. α intensity in coincidence with gammas is 0.0016% 5. Incoincidence with ce's is 0.00007% 3. I α : 0.00148% 3, deduced by evaluator from γ -ray transition intensity balance.
4919 3	438	8.5×10^{-5}	5.4×10^3	I α : From I α =0.000085% (1964Ba26), recommended in 2010BeZQ.
4930 3	427	1.8×10^{-4}	3.0×10^3	I α : From I α =0.00018% (1964Ba26), recommended in 2010BeZQ.
4946 3	411	3.4×10^{-4}	2.0×10^3	I α : From I α =0.00034% (1964Ba26), recommended in 2010BeZQ.
4997 3	359	≤ 0.0016	$\geq 1.0 \times 10^3$	Average of I α (5008 α + 4997 α)=0.0016% 5 (1992Ga01) and I α =0.0020% 4 (1996Sa24). Other value: I α =0.0016 (1964Ba26).
5008 3	347	≤ 0.0016	$\geq 1.2 \times 10^3$	
5029 3	325	≤ 0.004	$\geq 0.7 \times 10^3$	I α (5035 α + 5029 α)=0.0039% 6, average of I α =0.0022% (1964Ba26),

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{243}\text{Am } \alpha$ decay 1992Ga01, 1996Wo05, 1996Sa23 (continued) **α radiations (continued)**

$E\alpha^{\dagger}$	E(level)	$I\alpha^{\# @ a}$	HF&	Comments
5035 3	317.4	≤ 0.003	$\geq 1.0 \times 10^3$	$I\alpha=0.0033\% 5$ (1992Ga01), and $I\alpha=0.0044\% 5$ (1996Sa24).
5088 5	267	0.0055 6	1.1×10^3	$I\alpha$: 0.00104% 2, deduced by evaluator from γ -ray transition intensity balance.
5113 1	240.8	0.008 3	1.1×10^3	$I\alpha$: Average of $I\alpha=0.004\%$ (1964Ba26), $I\alpha=0.0056\% 7$ (1992Ga01), and $I\alpha=0.0055\% 6$ (1996Sa24).
5181 1	173.02	1.383 7	17	$I\alpha$: Average of $I\alpha=0.0054\%$ (1964Ba26), $I\alpha=0.010\% 1$ (1992Ga01), and $I\alpha=0.010\% 10$ (1996Sa24). $I\alpha$: 0.0046% 1, deduced by evaluator from γ -ray transition intensity balance. $I\alpha$: Weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of $I\alpha=1.1\% 2$ (1964Ba26, 1991Ry01), $I\alpha=1.1\% 3$ (1955St98, 1991Ry01 , uncertainty estimated by evaluator), $I\alpha=1.3\% 2$ (1956Hu96), $I\alpha=1.36\% 1$ (1992Ga01), $I\alpha=1.388\% 8$ (1996Sa24), and $I\alpha=1.391\% 7$ (2002Da21). $I\alpha$: 1.1% 4, deduced by evaluator from γ -ray transition intensity balance.
5233.3 [#] 10	117.84	11.46 5	4.7	$I\alpha$: Weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of $I\alpha=10.6\% 2$ (1964Ba26, 1991Ry01), $I\alpha=11.5\% 3$ (1955St98, 1991Ry01), $I\alpha=11.5\% 3$ (1956Hu96), $I\alpha=11.46\% 3$ (1992Ga01), $I\alpha=11.37\% 3$ (1996Sa24), and $I\alpha=11.52\% 2$ (2002Da21). $I\alpha$: 10.3% 4, deduced by evaluator from γ -ray transition intensity balance.
5275.3 [#] 10	74.660	86.74 5	1.1	$I\alpha$: Weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of $I\alpha=87.9\% 3$ (1964Ba26, 1991Ry01), $I\alpha=87.1\% 4$ (1955St98, 1991Ry01), $I\alpha=86.9\% 4$ (1956Hu96), $I\alpha=86.74\% 6$ (1992Ga01), $I\alpha=86.79\% 3$ (1996Sa24), and $I\alpha=86.60\% 7$ (2002Da21). $I\alpha$: 88.1% 17, deduced by evaluator from γ -ray transition intensity balance.
5321 1	31.130	0.192 3	0.94×10^3	$I\alpha$: Average of $I\alpha=0.190\% 7$ (1992Ga01), $I\alpha=0.194\% 3$ (1996Sa24), and $I\alpha=0.190\% 3$ (2002Da21). Other values: $I\alpha=0.12\%$ (1964Ba26), $I\alpha=0.16\%$ (1955St98), and $I\alpha=0.16\%$ (1956Hu96).
5349.4 [#] 23	0.0	0.240 3	1.2×10^3	$I\alpha$: Average of $I\alpha=0.230\% 7$ (1992Ga01), $I\alpha=0.243\% 3$ (1996Sa24), $I\alpha=0.240\% 3$ (2002Da21). Other values: $I\alpha=0.16\%$ (1964Ba26), $I\alpha=0.17\%$ (1955St98), $I\alpha=0.17\%$ (1956Hu96).

[†] Deduced from values in [2002Da21](#) (s), [1996Sa24](#) (s), and [1964Ba26](#) (s), recommended in [2010BeZQ](#), unless otherwise specified.

Other: [1968Ba25](#) (s).

[#] From [1991Ry01](#).

[#] Additional information 2.

[@] Deduced from values in [2002Da21](#), [1996Da24](#), [1992Ga01](#), [1964Ba26](#), [1956Hu96](#), and [1955St98](#), recommended in [2010BeZQ](#).

& Using $r_0(^{239}\text{Np})=1.505$, average of $r_0(^{238}\text{U})=1.5143$ 9, $r_0(^{240}\text{U})=1.5062$ 10, $r_0(^{238}\text{Pu})=1.5013$ 10, and $r_0(^{240}\text{Pu})=1.4979$ 7 ([1998Ak04](#)).

^a Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

²⁴³Am α decay 1992Ga01,1996Wo05,1996Sa23 (continued) $\gamma(^{239}\text{Np})$

I γ normalization: from weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weights Method) of I γ (74.7 γ)=68.5% 15 (1984Va41), I γ (74.7 γ)=69% 3 (1960As02), I γ (74.7 γ)=61% 6 (1968Va09), I γ (74.7 γ)=66% 3 (1972Ah02), I γ (74.7 γ)=59% 4 (1977St35), I γ (74.7 γ)=60% 4 (1979Po20), I γ (74.7 γ)=68% 2 (1982Ah04), I γ (74.7 γ)=66.7% 12 (1996Wo05), I γ (74.7 γ)=68.4% 13 (1996Sa23). Other values: I γ (74.7 γ)=73% 1 (1969Al14); I γ (74.7 γ)=68.2% 14, evaluated intensity (1986LoZT).

I(L x ray)=41% 5 ($\alpha\gamma$ pc, 1969Al14).

From decay scheme (Using program RADLST), I(L x ray)=18.8% 7, and I(K x ray)=0.0195% 10. The value I(K x ray)=13.5% reported by 1977St35 is clearly in error; 1972Ah02 did not observe any K x ray in spite of having a better spectrum.

	E γ [‡]	I γ ^{@a}	E _i (level)	J $^\pi_l$	E _f	J $^\pi_f$	Mult.	δ	α^\dagger	I $_{(\gamma+ce)}^a$	Comments
3	31.14# 3	0.105 7	31.130	7/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.09 2	187 16		a(L)=140 11; $\alpha(M)=35$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=12.2$ 10 a(N)=9.4 9; $\alpha(O)=2.30$ 20; $\alpha(P)=0.43$ 4; $\alpha(Q)=0.0288$ 5 Mult.: M1+<30% E2 was deduced by 1972Sc44 from L x ray spectra in coincidence with 43.5 γ (contribution from other gammas subtracted by use of (74.6 γ)(L x ray) coincidence spectra). Intensity balance at 31.10-keV level requires that $\alpha=189$ 15 corresponding to $\delta=0.09$ 2. a(L)=113.7 16; $\alpha(M)=30.2$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=10.51$ 15 a(N)=8.22 12; $\alpha(O)=1.95$ 3; $\alpha(P)=0.336$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=0.01016$ 15 I γ : deduced by the evaluator from $\alpha(M)\exp=31$, $I_{ce}(M)(43.1\gamma)/I\gamma(117.6\gamma)=3.56$ as measured by 1969En02, and $I\gamma(117.6\gamma)=0.84$ (from intensity balance $I\gamma=0.11$). Mult.: $\alpha(M)\exp=31$ from (α)(ce)/ $\alpha\gamma$ (1969En02). a(L)=0.856 12; $\alpha(M)=0.215$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0722$ 11 a(N)=0.0570 8; $\alpha(O)=0.01304$ 19; $\alpha(P)=0.00206$ 3; $\alpha(Q)=6.88\times 10^{-5}$ 10 I γ : Weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of I $\gamma=6.04\%$ 13 (1984Va41), I $\gamma=4.0\%$ 10 (1960As02), I $\gamma=5.3\%$ 5 (1968Va09), I $\gamma=5.0\%$ 10 (1969Al14), I $\gamma=5.5\%$ 3 (1972Ah02), I $\gamma=5.3\%$ 12 (1979Po20), I $\gamma=6.2\%$ 3 (1982Ah04), I $\gamma=5.93\%$ 10 (1996Wo05), I $\gamma=5.72\%$ 17 (1996Sa23) renormalized to I $\gamma(74.7\gamma)=100$. Mult.: from intensity balance. Also from ce data in ²³⁹ U decay. $\alpha(L)=0.577$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.1439$ 21; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0485$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.0382$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.00881$ 13; $\alpha(P)=0.001420$ 20; $\alpha(Q)=5.06\times 10^{-5}$ 7 a(L)=62 20; $\alpha(M)=17$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=5.8$ 19 a(N)=4.5 15; $\alpha(O)=1.1$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.18$ 6; $\alpha(Q)=0.0042$ 5
43.1	0.097	117.84	7/2 ⁻	74.660 5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.38	154.4				
43.53# 2	8.78 15	74.660	5/2 ⁻	31.130 7/2 ⁺	E1		1.143				
50.6	0.0044	173.02	9/2 ⁻	122.4 (11/2 ⁺)	[E1]		0.769				
55.4	0.0154	173.02	9/2 ⁻	117.84 7/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.6 2	8. $\times 10^1$ 3				

²⁴³Am α decay 1992Ga01,1996Wo05,1996Sa23 (continued)

<u>$\gamma(^{239}\text{Np})$</u> (continued)									
E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma @a$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^\dagger	$I_{(\gamma+ce)} a$	Comments
(68.1)		240.8	(11/2 ⁻)	173.02	9/2 ⁻	[E2]	89.0	0.0045 CA	Mult., δ : $\alpha(L)\exp=90$, $L12/L3=2.9$ from $(\alpha)(ce)/\alpha\gamma$ (1969En02). $\alpha(L)=64.7$ 9; $\alpha(M)=18.0$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=6.26$ 9 $\alpha(N)=4.92$ 7; $\alpha(O)=1.146$ 16; $\alpha(P)=0.187$ 3; $\alpha(Q)=0.000558$ 8 $ce(L)/(y+ce)=0.717$ 8; $ce(M)/(y+ce)=0.200$ 4; $ce(N)/(y+ce)=0.0693$ 14 $ce(N)/(y+ce)=0.0545$ 11; $ce(O)/(y+ce)=0.01270$ 25; $ce(P)/(y+ce)=0.00208$ 4; $ce(Q)/(y+ce)=6.36\times 10^{-6}$ 13
(71.2)		71.5	9/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	[E2]	72.0		
74.66 2	100.0	74.660	5/2 ⁻	0.0	5/2 ⁺	E1	0.276		$\alpha(L)=0.207$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0512$ 8; $\alpha(N+..)=0.01740$ 25 $\alpha(N)=0.01365$ 20; $\alpha(O)=0.00320$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.000540$ 8; $\alpha(Q)=2.23\times 10^{-5}$ 4
86.71 [#] 2	0.515 13	117.84	7/2 ⁻	31.130	7/2 ⁺	E1	0.186		I_γ : $I_\gamma=67.2\%$ 12, weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of $I_\gamma=68.5\%$ 15 (1984Va41), $I_\gamma=69\%$ 3 (1960As02), $I_\gamma=61\%$ 6 (1968Va09), $I_\gamma=66\%$ 3 (1972Ah02), $I_\gamma=59\%$ 4 (1977St35), $I_\gamma=60\%$ 4 (1979Po20), $I_\gamma=68\%$ 2 (1982Ah04), $I_\gamma=66.7\%$ 12 (1996Wo05), $I_\gamma=68.4\%$ 13 (1996Sa23). Mult.: $\alpha(L)\exp=0.170$ from $(\alpha)(ce)/\alpha\gamma$, $L12/L3=2.2$ (1969En02). $\alpha(L)=0.1401$ 20; $\alpha(M)=0.0345$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.01175$ 17 $\alpha(N)=0.00920$ 13; $\alpha(O)=0.00217$ 3; $\alpha(P)=0.000371$ 6; $\alpha(Q)=1.621\times 10^{-5}$ 23
98.5	0.014 CA	173.02	9/2 ⁻	74.660	5/2 ⁻	(E2)	15.56		I_γ : Weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of $I_\gamma=0.350\%$ 10 (1984Va41), $I_\gamma=0.37\%$ 4 (1968Va09), $I_\gamma=0.340\%$ 15 (1982Ah04), $I_\gamma=0.342\%$ 15 (1996Wo05), $I_\gamma=0.344\%$ 9 (1996Sa23) renormalized to $I_\gamma(74.7\gamma)=100$. Mult.: $\alpha(M)\exp\leq 0.034$ from $(\alpha)(ce)/\alpha\gamma$ (1969En02). $\alpha(L)=11.31$ 16; $\alpha(M)=3.15$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=1.096$ 16 $\alpha(N)=0.862$ 12; $\alpha(O)=0.201$ 3; $\alpha(P)=0.0331$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=0.0001300$ 19
117.60 15	0.84 12	117.84	7/2 ⁻	0.0	5/2 ⁺	E1	0.0842		I_γ : calculated by evaluator from $Ice(L)(98.5\gamma)/Ice(L)(142\gamma)=21.4$ (1969En02), $I_\gamma(142\gamma)$, and $\alpha(L)(98.5\gamma)=11.5$, $\alpha(L)(142.18\gamma)=0.0394$ (theory). Mult.: $\alpha(L)\exp\geq 2.2$, $L12/L3=1.81$ by $(\alpha)(ce)$ coincidences; photon was not observed (1969En02). $\alpha(L)=0.0634$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.01551$ 23; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00532$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.00415$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.000985$ 15; $\alpha(P)=0.0001732$ 25; $\alpha(Q)=8.36\times 10^{-6}$ 12
141.89 [#] 3	0.171 12	173.02	9/2 ⁻	31.130	7/2 ⁺	E1	0.224		Mult.: $\alpha(L)\exp=0.070$ from $(\alpha)(ce)/\alpha\gamma$ (1969En02). $\alpha(K)=0.1723$ 25; $\alpha(L)=0.0391$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00955$ 14; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00328$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.00256$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.000610$ 9; $\alpha(P)=0.0001087$ 16; $\alpha(Q)=5.55\times 10^{-6}$ 8
									I_γ : Weighted average (Limited Relative Statistical Weight Method) of $I_\gamma=0.130\%$ 10 (1984Va41), $I_\gamma=0.130\%$ 10 (1968Va09), $I_\gamma=0.128\%$ 6 (1982Ah04), $I_\gamma=0.117\%$ 5 (1996Wo05),

²⁴³Am α decay 1992Ga01, 1996Wo05, 1996Sa23 (continued)

								$\gamma(^{239}\text{Np})$ (continued)
E_γ^{\ddagger}	I_γ^{\ddagger} @ ^a	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^{\dagger}	Comments
169	0.0020	240.8	(11/2 ⁻)	71.5	9/2 ⁺	[E1]	0.1489	$I\gamma=0.107\%$ 3 (1996Sa23) renormalized to $I\gamma(74.7\gamma)=100$. Mult.: $\alpha(L)\exp=0.055$ from $(\alpha)(ce)/\alpha\gamma$ (1969En02). $\alpha(K)=0.1156$ 17; $\alpha(L)=0.0251$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00612$ 9; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00211$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.001641$ 23; $\alpha(O)=0.000393$ 6; $\alpha(P)=7.07\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(Q)=3.80\times 10^{-6}$ 6 E_γ : measured by 1968Va09 ($\alpha\gamma$ semi).
195	0.0014	317.4	(13/2 ⁻)	122.4	(11/2 ⁺)	[E1]	0.1067	$\alpha(K)=0.0833$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.01759$ 25; $\alpha(M)=0.00428$ 6; $\alpha(N+..)=0.001476$ 21 $\alpha(N)=0.001147$ 16; $\alpha(O)=0.000275$ 4; $\alpha(P)=5.00\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(Q)=2.79\times 10^{-6}$ 4 E_γ : measured by 1968Va09 ($\alpha\gamma$ semi).
^x 220								Additional information 3. Observed by 1968Va09 in coincidence with 5088 α .
544.58 ^{&b}		662.2	(5/2 ⁻)	117.84	7/2 ⁻			
587.77 ^{&b}		662.2	(5/2 ⁻)	74.660	5/2 ⁻			$I\gamma(544\gamma+588\gamma)=0.0005$ (1966Le13).
631.09 ^{&}	0.0005	662.2	(5/2 ⁻)	31.130	7/2 ⁺			
662.24 ^{&}	0.0017	662.2	(5/2 ⁻)	0.0	5/2 ⁺			

[†] Additional information 4.[‡] From 1975Pa04 (semi), 1972Ah02 (semi), 1969En02 ($\alpha\gamma$, $(\alpha)(ce)$ semi), unless otherwise noted. Other measurements: 1957As84, 1960As02, 1963Le17, 1966Le13, 1967Ch12, 1968Va09, 1969Al14.# From 1982Ah04 equilibrium ²⁴³Am source; semi.@ From 1986LoZT, 1982Ah04, 1975Pa04, 1972Ah02, 1968Va09. $I\gamma$ normalized to 100 for 74.67γ .& From ²³⁹U β^- decay. Transition was observed in 1966Le13 in $\alpha\gamma$ coincidence spectrum and placed in level scheme. The measured energy was not given in 1966Le13.^a For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.672 12.^b Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{243}\text{Am } \alpha$ decay 1992Ga01,1996Wo05,1996Sa23