#### $^{243}$ Bk $\alpha$ decay 1966Ah02,1991Ry01

History								
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date					
Full Evaluation	E. Browne, J. K. Tuli	NDS 122, 293 (2014)	30-Jun-2013					

Parent: <sup>243</sup>Bk: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}=(3/2^{-})$ ;  $T_{1/2}=4.5$  h 2;  $Q(\alpha)=6874$  4;  $\%\alpha$  decay $\approx 0.15$ 

Additional information 1.

αγ: 1956Ch77, 1966Ah02.

K x ray:  $I\gamma = 8 3$ .

2013Ni13: calculated branching ratios to three rotational bands. Others: 2011Zh36, 2010Ni02.

<sup>239</sup>Am Levels

E(level)	$J^{\pi}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	E(level)	$J^{\pi \dagger}$	E(level)	$J^{\pi \dagger}$
0.0 <sup>‡</sup>	(5/2)-	11.9 h <i>1</i>	187.1 <sup>#</sup> 5	$(5/2^+)$	≈370 <sup>#</sup>	$(13/2^+)$
40.7 <sup>‡</sup> 7	$(7/2^{-})$		220 <sup>#</sup> 6	$(7/2^+)$	557 <sup>@</sup> 6	$(3/2^{-})$
94 <sup>‡</sup> 6	$(9/2^{-})$		260 <sup>#</sup> 6	$(9/2^+)$	586 <sup>@</sup> 6	$(5/2^{-})$
156 <sup>‡</sup> 7	$(11/2^{-})$		317 <sup>#</sup> 7	$(11/2^+)$		

<sup>†</sup> From Adopted Levels.

<sup>±</sup> Band(A): g.s. rotational band. calculated  $\alpha$  branching=29.8% (2013Ni13).

<sup>#</sup> Band(B): rotational band built on 187 level. Calculated  $\alpha$  branching=52.8% (2013Ni13).

<sup>@</sup> Band(C): favored rotational band built on 557 level calculated  $\alpha$  branching=17.5% (2013Ni13).

### $\alpha$ radiations

$E\alpha^{\dagger}$	E(level)	Ια <sup>‡@</sup>	HF <sup>#</sup>	$E\alpha^{\dagger}$	E(level)	$\mathrm{I}\alpha^{\ddagger@}$	HF#
6185 4	586	3.9 5	≈10	6577 4	187.1	25.6 16	≈111
6213 4	557	13.6 9	≈4.1	6608 5	156	≈0.7	≈5560
≈6397	≈370	≈0.2	≈2120	6669 4	94	≈1.2	≈6060
6449 5	317	0.7 2	≈1060	6721 4	40.7	12.5 9	≈982
6505 4	260	6.9 7	≈195	6761 4	0.0	15.4 10	≈1190
6545 4	220	19.4 <i>13</i>	≈104				

<sup>†</sup> From 1966Ah02 (semi) recalibrated by 1991Ry01.

<sup>‡</sup> From 1966Ah02. Intensity per 100  $\alpha$  decays. <sup>#</sup> Using  $r_0(^{239}Am)=1.496$ , average of  $r_0(^{238}Pu)=1.5013$  10,  $r_0(^{240}Pu)=1.4979$  7,  $r_0(^{238}Cm)=1.490$  20, and  $r_0(^{240}Cm)=1.495$  12 (1998Ak04). HF values are approximate because of the imprecise value of≈0.15% for the alpha-particle branching.

<sup>@</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by  $\approx 0.0015$ .

						$\gamma$ <sup>(239</sup> Am)	
$E_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	Ιγ <b>#&amp;</b>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_f = \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	$\alpha^{\dagger}$	Comments
42 <sup>b</sup> 3	4	40.7	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0 (5/2)-	[M1]	79 20	$ \begin{array}{c} \alpha(\text{L}) = 59 \ 15; \ \alpha(\text{M}) = 15 \ 4; \ \alpha(\text{N}+) = 5.2 \ 13 \\ \alpha(\text{N}) = 4.0 \ 10; \ \alpha(\text{O}) = 1.00 \ 25; \ \alpha(\text{P}) = 0.19 \ 5; \ \alpha(\text{Q}) = 0.012 \\ 3 \end{array} $
							$I_{\gamma}$ : is inconsistent with decay scheme; $I_{\gamma} \le 0.3$ from transition intensity balance.
146.4 5	8 <i>3</i>	187.1	$(5/2^+)$	40.7 (7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	(E1) <sup>@</sup>	0.215 4	$\alpha$ (K)=0.164 3; $\alpha$ (L)=0.0384 7; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00943 16; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.00329 6

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

				<sup>243</sup> Bł	$\mathbf{x} \alpha \mathbf{deca}$	y <b>1966</b> A	h02,1991Ry0	1 (continued)
						$\gamma$ <sup>(239</sup> Am)	(continued)	
$E_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	Ι <sub>γ</sub> <b>#&amp;</b>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^\pi$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathrm{J}_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha^{\dagger}$	Comments
								$\alpha$ (N)=0.00255 5; $\alpha$ (O)=0.000622 11; $\alpha$ (P)=0.0001077 18; $\alpha$ (Q)=4.53×10 <sup>-6</sup> 8
187.1 <i>5</i>	40 10	187.1	(5/2+)	0.0	(5/2)-	(E1) <sup>@</sup>	0.1220 19	$\alpha(K)=0.0943 \ 15; \ \alpha(L)=0.0208 \ 4; \\ \alpha(M)=0.00509 \ 8; \ \alpha(N+)=0.00178 \ 3 \\ \alpha(N)=0.001380 \ 22; \ \alpha(O)=0.000338 \ 6; \\ \alpha(P)=5.96\times10^{-5} \ 10; \ \alpha(Q)=2.68\times10^{-6} \ 4$
536 <sup>ab</sup> 10	<10 <sup>a</sup>	557	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	40.7	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	[E2]	0.0473 22	$\alpha(K)=0.0283 \ 11; \ \alpha(L)=0.0139 \ 9; \ \alpha(M)=0.00369 \ 24; \ \alpha(N+)=0.00131 \ 9 \ \alpha(N)=0.00101 \ 7; \ \alpha(O)=0.000248 \ 16; \ \alpha(P)=4.4\times10^{-5} \ 3; \ \alpha(Q)=1.30\times10^{-6} \ 6 \ E_{\gamma}: \ \text{probable unresolved doublet to g.s. and} \ 40.7 \ \text{levels. Iy has not been divided.}$
536 <sup><i>ab</i></sup> 10	<10 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	557	(3/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	(5/2)-	[M1,E2]	0.16 11	$\alpha(K)=0.12 \ 10; \ \alpha(L)=0.028 \ 15; \ \alpha(M)=0.007 \ 4; \ \alpha(N+)=0.0025 \ 12 \ \alpha(N)=0.0019 \ 9; \ \alpha(O)=0.00048 \ 23; \ \alpha(P)=9.E-5 \ 5; \ \alpha(Q)=5.E-6 \ 4 \ E_{\gamma}: \text{ probable unresolved doublet to g.s. and} \ 40.7 \text{ levels. I} \gamma \text{ has not been divided.}$

<sup>†</sup> Additional information 2.
<sup>‡</sup> From 1966Ah02 (αγ-semi), 1956Ch77 (αγ-scin).
<sup>#</sup> Photon intensity per 100 α decays (1966Ah02,1956Ch77).
<sup>@</sup> Multipolarity is E1 or E2 from relative photon and K x ray intensities. However, the ratio of reduced transition probabilities suggests E1 multipolarity.

<sup>&</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by  $\approx 0.0015$ . <sup>*a*</sup> Multiply placed with undivided intensity. <sup>*b*</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

#### $^{243}$ Bk $\alpha$ decay 1966Ah02,1991Ry01

## Decay Scheme

	Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
	& Multiply placed: undivided intensity give
$\langle I_{\nu}^{max} \rangle$	



Legend



intensity given

<sup>239</sup><sub>95</sub>Am<sub>144</sub>

# <sup>243</sup>Bk α decay 1966Ah02,1991Ry01

		Band(C): rotational ba 557 level cal branching (2013N	Band(C): Favored rotational band built on 557 level calculated α branching=17.5% (2013Ni13)		
		(5/2-)	586		
	Band(B): Rotational band built on 187 level (13/2 <sup>+</sup> ) ≈370	<u>(3/2<sup>-</sup>)</u>	557		
	(11/2 <sup>+</sup> ) 317				
	<u>(9/2<sup>+</sup>) 260</u>				
	(7/2 <sup>+</sup> ) 220				
Band(A): g.s. rotational band (11/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 156	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> ) 187.1				
<u>(9/2<sup>-</sup>) 94</u>					
(7/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 40.7 42 (5/2) <sup>-</sup> 0.0					

<sup>239</sup><sub>95</sub>Am<sub>144</sub>