# $^{242}\mathbf{Cm}~\alpha$ decay

		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. Browne, J. K. Tuli	NDS 127, 191 (2015)	1-Jun-2014

Parent: <sup>242</sup>Cm: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=162.86 \text{ d } 8$ ;  $Q(\alpha)=6215.56 8$ ;  $\% \alpha \text{ decay}=100.0$ <sup>242</sup>Cm- $T_{1/2}$ : From 2002Ch52 evaluation.  $Q(\alpha) 6215.56 8 \text{ from 1995Au04.}$   $\alpha \gamma$ : 1963Bj03, 1964Ba31.  $\gamma \gamma$ : 1960As10, 1955As64. Ag( $\theta$ ): 1953Mo74.  $T_{1/2}$  from 1986LoZT.

X-Rays:  $M_{\alpha,\beta}:L_e:L_{\alpha}:L_{\eta,\beta}:L_{\gamma}=$  30 3:4.9 8: 66. 7: 100 16: 23. 3 (1990Po14).

# <sup>238</sup>Pu Levels

E(level)	$J^{\pi \dagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	$0^{+}$	87.74 y 4	
44.08 <i>3</i>	$2^{+}$	177 ps 5	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from 1970To08. Other: 183 ps 15 (1960Be25).
146.00 5	4+		
303.42 7	6+		
513.62 16	8+		
605.08 7	1-		
661.28 <i>11</i>	3-		
763.22 12	5-		
941.44 9	$0^{+}$		
962.72 8	1-		
983.00 9	$2^{+}$		
1018.6? <i>3</i>			
1028.62 5	$2^{+}$		
1125.79 17	$(4^{+})$		
1228.69 22	$0^{+}$		
1264 29 22	$2^{+}$		

<sup>†</sup> From Adopted Levels.

## $\alpha$ radiations

$\mathrm{E}\alpha^{\dagger}$	E(level)	$I\alpha^{\ddagger\#}$	HF	Comments
4869.43 23	1264.29	5.2×10 <sup>-7</sup> 15	5.9 18	
4904.44 23	1228.69	5.5×10 <sup>-7</sup> 15	10 <i>3</i>	
5005.64 19	1125.79	3.1×10 <sup>-7</sup> 8	88 <i>23</i>	
5101.21 10	1028.62	3.7×10 <sup>-6</sup> 8	32 7	
5111.1 <i>3</i>	1018.6?	$\leq 2 \times 10^{-7}$	≥686	
5146.07 12	983.00	$1.7 \times 10^{-6} 4$	137 <i>33</i>	Ia: 1966Ba07 report Ia $\leq 5 \times 10^{-6}$ .
5165.95 16	962.72	1.13×10 <sup>-6</sup> 21	278 52	
5186.95 <i>12</i>	941.44	3.6×10 <sup>-5</sup> 7	11.9 24	I $\alpha$ : weighted average of 3.4×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8 (1963Bj01), 2.5×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8 (1966Ba07, with a 30% uncertainty assigned by the evaluators), and 3.5×10 <sup>-5</sup> 7 from the $\gamma$ intensities.
5366.22 15	763.22	$2.2 \times 10^{-7} 3$	24432	
5462.47 14	661.28	1.26×10 <sup>-5</sup> 24	1712 32	
5517.75 11	605.08	2.5×10 <sup>-4</sup> 5	183 22	I $\alpha$ : weighted average of 2.8×10 <sup>-4</sup> 5 (1963Bj01), 2.5×10 <sup>-4</sup> 6 (1966Ba07, with a 20% uncertainty assigned by the evaluators), and 2.6×10 <sup>-4</sup> 5 from the $\gamma$ intensities.
5607.76 16	513.62	$2 \times 10^{-5}$	7544	Eα: 1966Ba07 report 5614.
			Continue	ed on next page (footnotes at end of table)

## $^{242}\mathbf{Cm}~\alpha$ decay (continued)

#### $\alpha$ radiations (continued)

$E\alpha^{\dagger}$	E(level)	$I\alpha^{\ddagger\#}$	HF	Comments		
				I <i>α</i> : from 1966Ba07.		
5816.39 11	303.42	0.0046 5	458 50	Eα: measured values are 5811 (1953As14), 5809 2 (1958Ko87), and 5816 (1966Ba07).		
				Ia: from 1958Ko87. Other: 0.0046 (1966Ba07).		
5969.24 9	146.00	0.035 2	395 <i>23</i>	Eα: measured values are 5964 (1953As14), 5961 2 (1958Ko87), 5971 3 (1963Dz07), and 5971.4 (1966Ba07).		
				<i>Iα</i> : from 1963Dz07. Other: 0.035 (1953As14), 0.030 <i>I</i> (1958Ko87), 0.036 (1966Ba07).		
6069.43 12	44.08	25.92 6	1.733 5	$E\alpha$ : energy adjusted by 1991Ry01 due to change in calibration energy. I $\alpha$ : from 1998Ya17. Others: 26.3 5 (1953As14), 26.5 5 (1958Ko87), and 25.8		
6112.72 8	0.0	74.08 7	1.000	(1966Ba07). E $\alpha$ : from 1991Ri01. I $\alpha$ : from 1998Ya17. Others: see 44 level. $\Sigma$ I(a to g.s. +44 level)=100.		

<sup>†</sup> Except for the g.s. and 44 level, the E $\alpha$  values are obtained from Q( $\alpha$ ) and the E(level) values. Experimental values are listed where available. Values are reported by 1971Gr17 (s), 1971Bb10 (s), 1966Ba07 (s), 1963Bj03 ( $\alpha\gamma$ ), 1963Dz07 (s), 1958Ko87 (s), and 1953As14 (s).

<sup>±</sup> Deduced from level scheme of 1981Le15, unless otherwise noted. See also 2002Ch52.
<sup>#</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{238}\text{Pu})$ 

I $\gamma$  normalization, I( $\gamma$ +ce) normalization: 1981Le15 deduce I $\gamma$ (561 $\gamma$ )=0.00015% 4 based on their relative I $\gamma$  data and a previous unpublished value by the authors, based on  $\alpha\gamma$ , of the sum of intensities per 100  $\alpha$  decays for the 515, 561, 605, and 617  $\gamma$ 's.

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}$ <sup>‡</sup> <i>b</i>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f  J_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	δ#	$\alpha^{c}$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{b}$	Comments
44.08 <sup>@</sup> 3	0.03345 <sup>&amp;</sup> 8	44.08	2+	0.0 0+	E2		775	25.96 6	ce(L)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.729 6; ce(M)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.202 4; ce(N+)/( $\gamma$ +ce)=0.067 2 $\alpha$ : the value given is the E2 theory value lowered by 3% (see 1987Ra01). I $_{\gamma}$ : an unweighted average of the directly measure I $\gamma$ values gives I $\gamma$ =0.033 3.
101.93 4	0.00253& 12	146.00	4+	44.08 2+	E2		14.8	0.040 & 2	$I_{\gamma}$ : a weighted average of the directly measured values is 0.0033 8. An unweighted average is 0.0055 13.
157.42 5	0.00142 <sup>&amp;</sup> 15	303.42	6+	146.00 4+	[E2]		2.24	0.0046 <sup>&amp;</sup> 5	$I_{\gamma}$ : a weighted average of the directly measured values is 0.0020 5.
210.20 14	$1.2 \times 10^{-5}$	513.62	8+	303.42 6+	E2		0.73	$2 \times 10^{-5}$	$E_{\gamma}$ : from in-beam studies. Not seen in $\alpha$ decay.
336.38 15	7×10 <sup>-7</sup> 3	941.44	$0^{+}$	605.08 1-	[E1]				$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(336)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.0045$ 15.
357.62 <sup>@</sup> 7	4.5×10 <sup>-8</sup> 9	962.72	1-	605.08 1-	M1+E2	2.43 20	0.224 15		I <sub>γ</sub> : from Iγ/Iγ(919γ+963γ)=0.0424 11 in β <sup>-</sup> decay. Note that there is an unplaced 358.0 5 transition with Iγ= $5.9 \times 10^{-7}$ 25, part of which probably corresponds to the 358γ from the 962 level.
459.80 20	$6 \times 10^{-8}$ 3	763.22	5-	303.42 6+					$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(459.8)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.00038$ 16.
515.25 19	$4.5 \times 10^{-6}$ 12	661.28	3-	146.00 4+	E1+M2	0.114 17	0.023 3		$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(515)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.0297$ 20.
561.02 10	$1.5 \times 10^{-4} 4$	605.08	1-	44.08 2+	E1		0.0116		
605.04 10	1.0×10 + 3	605.08	1	0.0 0	EI		0.0101		$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(605)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.698\ 20.$
$617.22^{u}$ 12	$7.9 \times 10^{-6} da$ 21	661.28	3-	44.08 2+	E1+M2	0.077 17	0.0122 13		$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(617)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.0525\ 20.$
617.22 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 12	$1.6 \times 10^{-7}$	763.22	5-	146.00 4+					$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(617)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.0011.$
837.01 15	$1.9 \times 10^{-7} 6$	983.00	2+	146.00 4+	[E2]		0.0176		$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(837)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.00124\ 20.$
(882.63-3)	6.7×10 <sup>-6</sup> 15	1028.62	2*	146.00 4+	(E2)		0.0159		$E_{\gamma}, I_{\gamma}$ : not seen in α decay. E is from β <sup>-</sup> decay, and Iγ is from Iγ/Iγ(984γ+1028γ)=0.01866 19 in β <sup>-</sup> decay.
897.33 10	$2.2 \times 10^{-5} 6$	941.44	$0^+$	44.08 2+	(E2)		0.0154		$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(897)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.145$ 10.
918.7 2	$5.4 \times 10^{-7}$ 15	962.72	1-	44.08 2+	E1		0.00471		$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(918)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.0036$ 3.
938.91 10	1.8×10 <sup>-7</sup> 6	983.00	2+	44.08 2+	E0+E2		4.4 4		α: from $\beta^-$ decay. I <sub>γ</sub> : Iγ(939)/Iγ(561)=0.00117 20.

ω

$^{242}$ Cm $\alpha$ decay (continued)									
						$\gamma$ <sup>(238</sup> Pu) (	continued)		
${\rm E_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger b}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f  J_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	δ#	α <sup><b>C</b></sup>	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{b}$	Comments
941.5 2		941.44	0+	0.0 0+	E0			1.3×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4	E <sub>γ</sub> : from ε decay. I <sub>(γ+ce)</sub> : from I(γ+ce)/Iγ(897γ)=0.59 8 in ε decay. 1960As10 report 0.62 in α decay. The value of 1.4 2 in $\beta^-$ decay appears to be discrepant.
962.8 2	5.3×10 <sup>-7</sup> 15	962.72	$1^{-}$	$0.0  0^+$	E1		0.00434		$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}/I_{\gamma}(561\gamma) = 0.0035 \ 3.$
974.5 <sup>e</sup> 3	$\leq 2 \times 10^{-7}$	1018.6?		44.08 2+					,
979.80 20	2.6×10 <sup>-7</sup> 8	1125.79	$(4^{+})$	146.00 4+					$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(979)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.00173 \ 30.$
983.0 <i>3</i>	5.0×10 <sup>-7</sup> 18	983.00	$2^{+}$	$0.0  0^+$	[E2]		0.0129		$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(983)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.0033$ 8.
984.5 <i>1</i>	2.0×10 <sup>-6</sup> 6	1028.62	2+	44.08 2+	M1+E2	>+23	0.00129		$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(984)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.0131$ 20.
1028.5 2	$1.6 \times 10^{-6} 5$	1028.62	$2^{+}$	$0.0  0^+$	E2		0.0119		$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(1028)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.0105$ 10.
1081.7 <i>3</i>	5.0×10 <sup>-8</sup> 20	1125.79	$(4^{+})$	44.08 2+					$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(1081)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.00033$ 10.
1118.3 <i>3</i>	1.7×10 <sup>-7</sup> 9	1264.29	2+	146.00 4+	[E2]				$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(1118)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.0011$ 5.
1184.6 <i>3</i>	$5.0 \times 10^{-7}$ 15	1228.69	$0^{+}$	44.08 2+	E2				$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(1184)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.0033$ 4.
1220.2 3	$2.8 \times 10^{-7}$ 9	1264.29	2+	44.08 2+	E0+E2+M1		0.26 3		$I_{\gamma}$ : $I_{\gamma}(1220)/I_{\gamma}(561)=0.00187$ 30.
(1228.7 3)		1228.69	$0^{+}$	$0.0  0^+$	E0			4.6×10 <sup>-8</sup> 15	$E_{\gamma}$ : from $\varepsilon$ decay.
									$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : from $I_{(\gamma+ce)}/I_{\gamma}(1184\gamma)=0.092$ 11 in $\varepsilon$ decay.

4

<sup>†</sup> From 1981Le15, except where noted otherwise.

<sup>‡</sup> From 1981Le15, except where noted otherwise.

<sup>#</sup> From adopted gammas. ce measurements have been made in  $\alpha$  decay by 1952Du12, 1956Ba95, 1956Sm18, 1960As10, and 1965Ak02.

<sup>@</sup> From  $\beta^-$  decay.

&  $I(\gamma+ce)$  is deduced from the requirement of an intensity balance utilizing the  $\gamma$  branchings and the  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$  feedings. I $\gamma$  is then deduced from  $I(\gamma+ce)$  and  $\alpha$ .

<sup>*a*</sup> 1981Le15 report E=617.22 *12* with  $I\gamma/I\gamma(561\gamma)=0.0536$  20 for a doubly placed transition. The authors divide the intensity on the basis of model-dependent

arguments.

<sup>b</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>c</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>d</sup> Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

<sup>e</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

 $^{238}_{94} Pu_{144}\text{-}4$ 

 $^{238}_{94}\rm{Pu}_{144}\text{-}5$ 

## $^{242}$ Cm $\alpha$ decay



 $^{238}_{94}\rm{Pu}_{144}$ 

5