

$^{237}\text{Am } \varepsilon \text{ decay }$ **1975Ah05**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. S. Basunia	NDS 107, 2323 (2006)	15-Mar-2006

Parent: ^{237}Am : E=0.0; $J^\pi=5/2(^-)$; $T_{1/2}=73.6$ min 8; $Q(\varepsilon)=1480$ SY; % ε +% β^+ decay=99.975 3Other measurement: [1972PoZS](#). ^{237}Am source was prepared by the $^{237}\text{Np}(\alpha,4n)$, $E(\alpha)=42$ -MeV and $^{237}\text{Np}(^3\text{He},3n)$, $E(^3\text{He})=32$ -MeV reactions; Detector: Ge(Li), NaI(Tl); Measured: $E\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $I\gamma$, ce. ^{237}Pu Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	Comments
0.0 [#]	7/2 ⁻	J^π : From Adopted Levels.
47.71 [#] 4	9/2 ⁻	J^π : 47.7 γ M1+E2 to 7/2 ⁻ state.
145.553 [@] 11	1/2 ⁺	J^π : 145.6 γ E3 to 7/2 ⁻ state.
155.465 [@] 14	3/2 ⁺	J^π : 9.9 γ M1+E2 to 1/2 ⁺ state.
201.188 [@] 13	5/2 ⁺	J^π : 45.7 γ M1+E2 to 3/2 ⁺ state.
224.26 [@] 5	7/2 ⁺	
280.226 ^{&} 15	5/2 ⁺	J^π : 280.2 γ E1 to 7/2 ⁻ state.
320.975 ^{&} 16	7/2 ⁺	J^π : 40.7 γ M1+E2 to 5/2 ⁺ state and 321.0 γ E1 to 7/2 ⁻ state.
370.41 ^a 4	3/2 ⁺	
404.20 ^a 5	5/2 ⁺	
407.82 ^b 6	5/2 ⁺	
438.41 ^b 7	7/2 ⁺	J^π : 390.7 γ E1 to 9/2 ⁻ state, 438.4 γ E1 to 7/2 ⁻ state.
453.29 ^a 14	7/2 ⁺	
473.52 ^c 7	7/2 ⁺	J^π : 425.8 γ E1 to 9/2 ⁻ state, 473.5 γ E1 to 7/2 ⁻ state.
655.30 ^d 20	(5/2) ⁻	J^π : 655.3 γ M1 to 7/2 ⁻ state.
696.20 ^d 22	7/2 ⁻	J^π : 648.5 γ M1 to 9/2 ⁻ state, 696.2 γ M1 to 7/2 ⁻ state.
908.90 ^e 12	7/2 ⁺	J^π : 435.2 γ M1 to 7/2 ⁺ state, 504.8 γ M1 to 5/2 ⁺ state.
1000.6 3	(7/2)	

[†] From a least-squares fit to the γ -ray energies.[‡] From rotational band structure and γ -ray multipolarity.

7/2[743] band.

@ 1/2[631] band.

& 5/2[622] band.

a 3/2[631] band.

b 5/2[633] band.

c 7/2[624] state.

d 5/2[752] band.

e 7/2[613] state.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\varepsilon$ ^{†‡}	Log $f\ell$	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ [‡]	Comments
(479 SY)	1000.6	0.43 7	7.13 7	0.43 7	$\varepsilon K=0.68770$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.22732$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.08498$ 3
(571 SY)	908.90	4.08 19	6.338 21	4.08 19	$\varepsilon K=0.7075$; $\varepsilon L=0.21364$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.07890$ 1
(783 SY)	696.20	≥ 0.53	≤ 7.5	≥ 0.53	$\varepsilon K=0.7324$; $\varepsilon L=0.1963$; $\varepsilon M+=0.071279$ 8
(824 SY)	655.30	≤ 1.5	≥ 7.1	≤ 1.5	$\varepsilon K=0.7355$; $\varepsilon L=0.1942$; $\varepsilon M+=0.07034$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{237}\text{Am } \varepsilon \text{ decay} \quad \textcolor{blue}{1975Ah05} \text{ (continued)}$ ε, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\varepsilon^{\dagger\dagger}$	$\log ft$	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\ddagger}$	Comments
(1006 SY)	473.52	6.5 4	6.70 3	6.5 4	$I\varepsilon(\text{to 655.3 level})+I\varepsilon(\text{to 696.2 level})=2.03$ 17 per 100 ε decays. $\varepsilon K=0.7458; \varepsilon L=0.1870; \varepsilon M+=0.06723$
(1026 SY)	453.29	1.0 3	7.53 13	1.0 3	$\varepsilon K=0.7466; \varepsilon L=0.1864; \varepsilon M+=0.06697$
(1041 SY)	438.41	9.6 6	6.57 3	9.6 6	$\varepsilon K=0.7473; \varepsilon L=0.1860; \varepsilon M+=0.06678$
(1072 SY)	407.82	5.0 6	6.88 6	5.0 6	$\varepsilon K=0.7485; \varepsilon L=0.1851; \varepsilon M+=0.06641$
(1075 SY)	404.20	5.1 6	6.87 6	5.1 6	$\varepsilon K=0.7486; \varepsilon L=0.1850; \varepsilon M+=0.06636$
(1109 SY)	370.41	1.9 3	7.33 7	1.9 3	$\varepsilon K=0.7499; \varepsilon L=0.1841; \varepsilon M+=0.06598$
(1159 SY)	320.975	5.6 5	6.90 4	5.6 5	$\varepsilon K=0.7516; \varepsilon L=0.1829; \varepsilon M+=0.06547$
(1199 SY)	280.226	49.7 24	5.986 22	49.7 24	$\varepsilon K=0.7529; \varepsilon L=0.1821; \varepsilon M+=0.06509$
(1255 [#] SY)	224.26	0.3 9	8.2 13	0.3 9	$\varepsilon K=0.7545; \varepsilon L=0.1809; \varepsilon M+=0.06460$
(1278 [#] SY)	201.188	0.6 7	8.0 5	0.6 7	$\varepsilon K=0.7551; \varepsilon L=0.1805; \varepsilon M+=0.06441$
(1324 SY)	155.465	10 6	6.8 3	10 6	$\varepsilon K=0.7562; \varepsilon L=0.1797; \varepsilon M+=0.06407$
(1334 [#] SY)	145.553	<2.35	>8.2 ^{lu}	<2.35	$\varepsilon K=0.7225; \varepsilon L=0.2030; \varepsilon M+=0.07444$
(1480 SY)	0.0	<5	>7.2	<5	Intensity balance at the 145.544-keV level gives $I\varepsilon=-3\pm6$ per 100 ε decays. If $\log ft$ is required to be greater than 8.5, then $I\varepsilon<2.4\%$. $\varepsilon K=0.7594; \varepsilon L=0.1773; \varepsilon M+=0.06305$

[†] Deduced from γ transition intensities. The ε branch to g.s. was deduced in [1975Ah05](#) as $(0.6 \pm 5)\%$ from comparison of the measured K x-ray intensity with those expected ones due to K conversions of γ 's and the K captures to excited levels.

[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.99975 3.

[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

$^{237}\text{Am } \varepsilon$ decay **1975Ah05 (continued)**

$\gamma(^{237}\text{Pu})$

Iy normalization: Normalization factor to convert relative photon intensities to intensities per 100 ε decays was obtained by requiring all transitions (γ , ce and ε) feeding the g.s. to sum to 100% (1975Ah05).

$\gamma\gamma$: 1975Ah05

X rays(Pu):

E(x ray) 1975Ah05		I(%) 1975Ah05		I(x-ray) calculated						Comments
E $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E $_l$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult. ‡	$\delta^{\#}$	a b	I $_{(\gamma+ce)} @ a$		
9.903 16	155.465	3/2 $^{+}$	145.553	1/2 $^{+}$	M1+E2	0.071 +19-26	3.2 $\times 10^3$ 10	22 5		$\alpha(M)=2.3\times 10^3$ 9 Ice: N1/N2/N3=1.6 3/1.4 3/1.1 4. $I\gamma=0.0057$ 12 from Ice(N1) and $\alpha(N1)=279$ 3. $\alpha(L)=$ 92 10; $\alpha(M)=$ 23.3 26 Ice: L1/L2/L3=1.5 2/0.6 2/0.41 6. The ce contributions from each γ of the doublet could not be deduced. The second possible 40.748 γ between the 696.2- and 655.3-keV levels is assumed by the evaluator to be much weaker. The intensity balance at the 655.3-keV level suggests that Ti(40.748 γ deexciting 696.2 level)<1.50 15; the sum of the (N-forbidden) ε branches to the 696.2- and 655.3-keV levels is 2.03% 17 (independent of any 40.748 γ between them). The measured ce intensities are assigned here to the 40.748 γ deexciting the 320.97-keV level. It may be considered as an upper limit. $I\gamma=0.028$ 5 from Ice(L3) and $\alpha(L3)=14.4$ 5. $I(\gamma+ce)=1.33Ice(L)+I\gamma$.
40.748 ce 6	320.975	7/2 $^{+}$	280.226	5/2 $^{+}$	M1+E2	0.194 30	123 14	3.4 4		1983Bh10 calculated penetration parameters for the 40.748-keV photon from measured L-subshell conversion ratios. Possible effects on these ratios from conversion lines of the second 40.78 γ deexciting the 696.2-keV level were not considered.
40.748 ce 6	696.20	7/2 $^{-}$	655.30	(5/2) $^{-}$						Since no coincidence data were taken between conversion electrons and γ' s, this placement which is based on expectation of an intraband transition, and from energy

²³⁷Am ε decay 1975Ah05 (continued)

<u>$\gamma(^{237}\text{Pu})$</u> (continued)										Comments
<u>E_γ^{\dagger}</u>	<u>$I_\gamma^{\dagger a}$</u>	<u>$E_i(\text{level})$</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[‡]</u>	<u>$\delta^{\#}$</u>	<u>α^b</u>	<u>$I_{(\gamma+ce)} @ a$</u>	
4	45.724 8	201.188	5/2 ⁺	155.465	3/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.47 13	170 60	1.49 19	fit may be considered questionable (the authors of 1975Ah05 did not show this second placement in their decay scheme). $\alpha(L)=125.40$; $\alpha(M)=33.11$ $I\gamma=0.0085$ 22 from $\alpha(L1)=34.3$ 26 and Ice(L1). Ice: L1/L2/L3=0.29 7/0.43 7/0.4 1. $\alpha(L)=59.14$; $\alpha(M)=15.4$ $I\gamma=0.053$ 17 from Ice(L)=3.1 6 and $\alpha(L)=59.14$. Ice: (L1+L2)/L3=M=2.5 5/0.60 15/0.8 2.
	47.71 4	47.71	9/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.24 8	79 15	4.2 6	$\alpha(L)=188.7$; $\alpha(M)=52.6$; $\alpha(N..)=19.99$ $I\gamma=0.021$ 3 from Ice(L2) and $\alpha(L2)=102.7$. Ice: L2/L3/M/N=2.2 3/1.4 2/≈1.2/≈0.5.
	55.638 11	201.188	5/2 ⁺	145.553	1/2 ⁺	(E2)		261	5.5 5	
	68.8 1	224.26	7/2 ⁺	155.465	3/2 ⁺	(E2)		94.2	3.0 6	$\alpha(L)=68.0$; $\alpha(M)=19.00$; $\alpha(N..)=7.22$ $I\gamma=0.032$ 6 from Ice(L2) and $\alpha(L2)=38.04$. Ice: L2/L3/M/N=1.2 2/≈1.0/≈0.6/≈0.2.
	79.05 2	280.226	5/2 ⁺	201.188	5/2 ⁺	(M1)		12.0	2.6 3	$\alpha(L)=9.01$; $\alpha(M)=2.193$; $\alpha(N..)=0.822$ $I\gamma=0.20$ 3 from Ice(L12) and $\alpha(L1)+\alpha(L2)=8.96$, $I\gamma=0.28$ 7 from Ice(M) and $\alpha(M)=2.193$. Ice: L12/M=1.8 2/0.61 15; L1/L12=1.6 3/1.8 2.
	123.8 3	≈0.04	404.20	5/2 ⁺	280.226	5/2 ⁺	[M1]		15.5	
	124.72 3	0.28 5	280.226	5/2 ⁺	155.465	3/2 ⁺	(M1)		15.1	$\alpha(K)=12.2$; $\alpha(L)=2.46$; $\alpha(M)=0.599$; $\alpha(N..)=0.225$ $\alpha(K)=11.92$; $\alpha(L)=2.404$; $\alpha(M)=0.586$; $\alpha(N..)=0.2199$ Ice(L1)=0.76 13.
	127.5 2	0.11 2	407.82	5/2 ⁺	280.226	5/2 ⁺	[M1]		14.2	
	145.552 12	0.48 4	145.553	1/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁻	E3		51.6	$\alpha(K)=11.2$; $\alpha(L)=2.26$; $\alpha(M)=0.550$; $\alpha(N..)=0.206$ $\alpha(K)=0.209$; $\alpha(L)=36.2$; $\alpha(M)=10.9$; $\alpha(N..)=4.31$ Ice: (L1+L2)/L3/M/N=11.9 8/5.0 4/6.1 6/2.2 2; and L2/L3=10.6 5/4.8 6. E=145.536 9 in ²⁴¹ Cm α decay; 145.544 10 is adopted.
	158.3 3	0.07 2	438.41	7/2 ⁺	280.226	5/2 ⁺	[M1]		7.66	
	179.94 2	0.24 5	404.20	5/2 ⁺	224.26	7/2 ⁺	(M1(+E2))	0.7 7	4.0 14	$\alpha(K)=6.04$; $\alpha(L)=1.21$; $\alpha(M)=0.296$; $\alpha(N..)=0.111$ $\alpha(K)=2.9$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.84$ 1; $\alpha(M)=0.213$ 8; $\alpha(N..)=0.080$ 4 Ice(K)=0.69 7.
	183.7 2	0.19 5	407.82	5/2 ⁺	224.26	7/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.7 7	3.8 13	$\alpha(K)=2.7$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.78$ 2; $\alpha(M)=0.199$ 6; $\alpha(N..)=0.075$ 3 Ice: K/L=0.5 1/0.12 3.
	193.4 3	0.09 3	473.52	7/2 ⁺	280.226	5/2 ⁺	[M1]		4.35	
	203.03 5	0.42 5	404.20	5/2 ⁺	201.188	5/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.4 4	3.4 4	$\alpha(K)=3.43$; $\alpha(L)=0.689$; $\alpha(M)=0.167$; $\alpha(N..)=0.0625$ $\alpha(K)=2.6$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.59$ 1; $\alpha(M)=0.145$ 1; $\alpha(N..)=0.0541$ 3 Ice: K/L=1.20 15/0.21 3.
	206.7 1	0.33 4	407.82	5/2 ⁺	201.188	5/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.3 3	3.4 2	$\alpha(K)=2.6$ 2; $\alpha(L)=0.56$ 1; $\alpha(M)=0.138$ 1; $\alpha(N..)=0.0514$ 3 Ice: K/L=0.85 12/0.16 3.

²³⁷Am ε decay 1975Ah05 (continued)

<u>$\gamma^{(237)\text{Pu}}$ (continued)</u>												
E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\dagger a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	$\delta^{\#}$	α^b	Comments			
214.9 2	0.24 5	370.41	$3/2^+$	155.465	$3/2^+$	(M1)	3.23	$\alpha(K)= 2.55; \alpha(L)= 0.512; \alpha(M)= 0.1243; \alpha(N+..)= 0.0464$ $I_{ce}(K)=0.61 15.$				
224.86 4	0.24 5	370.41	$3/2^+$	145.553	$1/2^+$	(M1)	2.85	$\alpha(K)= 2.25; \alpha(L)= 0.450; \alpha(M)= 0.1094; \alpha(N+..)= 0.0408$ $I_{ce}(K)=0.56 12.$				
229.1 3	0.15 5	453.29	$7/2^+$	224.26	$7/2^+$	[M1]	2.70	$\alpha(K)=2.13; \alpha(L)=0.427; \alpha(M)=0.104; \alpha(N)=0.0387$				
248.7 2	0.59 6	404.20	$5/2^+$	155.465	$3/2^+$	(M1(+E2))	0.6 6	1.7 5	$\alpha(K)= 1.3 4; \alpha(L)= 0.31 4; \alpha(M)= 0.076 7; \alpha(N+..)= 0.028 3$ $I_{ce}(L)=0.18 3.$			
252.2 ^d 2	0.27 ^d 7	407.82	$5/2^+$	155.465	$3/2^+$	M1(+E2)	0.6 6	1.6 5	$\alpha(K)=1.2 4; \alpha(L)=0.23 10; \alpha(M)=0.073 6; \alpha(N+..)=0.027 2$ $I\gamma=0.42 5$ was measured for this γ placed twice. $I\gamma(252\gamma)$ deexciting 407 level)= 0.42 5 – $I\gamma(252\gamma)$ deexciting 453 level).			
									δ : contributions of ce's from 252γ deexciting 453 level have been subtracted in calculations of mixing ratio.			
									Ice: $K/L=0.6 I/0.12 3$ for the doublet.			
252.2 ^d 2	0.15 ^d 5	453.29	$7/2^+$	201.188	$5/2^+$	[M1]	2.07	$\alpha(K)=1.63; \alpha(L)=0.326; \alpha(M)=0.079; \alpha(N+..)=0.0296$				
273.3 1	0.76 5	320.975	$7/2^+$	47.71	$9/2^-$	[E1]	0.0511	I_γ : calculated by the evaluator from Alaga rule and $I\gamma(229\gamma)$.				
280.23 2	47.3 20	280.226	$5/2^+$	0.0	$7/2^-$	E1	0.0484	$\alpha(K)=0.0403; \alpha(L)=0.00815; \alpha(M)=0.00197; \alpha(N+..)=0.00072$ $\alpha(K)= 0.0382; \alpha(L)=0.00769; \alpha(M)=0.00186; \alpha(N+..)=0.00068$				
									Ice: $K/L_{12}/L_3=1.92 15/0.32 3/0.06 2.$			
321.0 1	1.4 1	320.975	$7/2^+$	0.0	$7/2^-$	E1	0.0360	$\alpha(K)= 0.0286; \alpha(L)=0.00562; \alpha(M)=0.00136; \alpha(N+..)=0.00049$ $I_{ce}(K)<0.07.$				
390.7 1	0.55 4	438.41	$7/2^+$	47.71	$9/2^-$	E1	0.0238	$\alpha(K)=0.0190; \alpha(L)=0.00363; \alpha(M)=0.00088; \alpha(N+..)=0.00032$ $I_{ce}(K)<0.02.$				
407.8 1	0.63 5	407.82	$5/2^+$	0.0	$7/2^-$	(E1)	0.0218	$\alpha(K)=0.0174; \alpha(L)=0.00331; \alpha(M)=0.00080; \alpha(N+..)=0.00029$ $I_{ce}(K)\approx 0.02.$				
425.8 1	1.94 12	473.52	$7/2^+$	47.71	$9/2^-$	E1	0.0200	$\alpha(K)=0.0160; \alpha(L)=0.00302; \alpha(M)=0.00073; \alpha(N+..)=0.00026$ $I_{ce}(K)=0.04 1.$				
435.2 3	0.25 4	908.90	$7/2^+$	473.52	$7/2^+$	M1	0.462	$\alpha(K)= 0.365; \alpha(L)= 0.0723; \alpha(M)= 0.0176; \alpha(N+..)= 0.00654$ $I_{ce}(K)=0.10 2.$				
438.4 1	8.3 4	438.41	$7/2^+$	0.0	$7/2^-$	E1	0.0188	$\alpha(K)=0.0151; \alpha(L)=0.00283; \alpha(M)=0.00068; \alpha(N+..)=0.00025$ $I_{ce}(K)=0.13 3.$				
453.2 3	0.10 2	453.29	$7/2^+$	0.0	$7/2^-$	[E1]	0.0176	$\alpha(K)=0.0141; \alpha(L)=0.00264; \alpha(M)=0.00064; \alpha(N+..)=0.00023$				
455.8 3	0.09 2	908.90	$7/2^+$	453.29	$7/2^+$	M1	0.407	$\alpha(K)= 0.322; \alpha(L)= 0.0638; \alpha(M)= 0.0155; \alpha(N+..)= 0.00577$ $I_{ce}(K)\approx 0.03.$				
473.5 1	4.3 3	473.52	$7/2^+$	0.0	$7/2^-$	E1	0.0161	$\alpha(K)=0.0129; \alpha(L)=0.00241; \alpha(M)=0.00058; \alpha(N+..)=0.00021$ $I_{ce}(K)=0.07 2.$				
501.2 3	0.28 4	908.90	$7/2^+$	407.82	$5/2^+$	M1	0.315	$\alpha(K)= 0.249; \alpha(L)= 0.0493$ $I_{ce}(K)=0.06 2.$				
504.8 3	0.19 4	908.90	$7/2^+$	404.20	$5/2^+$	M1	0.309	$\alpha(K)= 0.245; \alpha(L)= 0.0483$ $I_{ce}(K)=0.05 2.$				
648.5 3	0.26 4	696.20	$7/2^-$	47.71	$9/2^-$	M1	0.158	$\alpha(K)=0.125; \alpha(L)=0.0246$ $I_{ce}(K)\approx 0.03.$				
655.3 2	1.30 13	655.30	$(5/2)^-$	0.0	$7/2^-$	M1	0.153	$\alpha(K)=0.122; \alpha(L)=0.0239$ $I_{ce}(K)=0.13 2.$				

$^{237}\text{Am } \varepsilon \text{ decay} \quad 1975\text{Ah05 (continued)}$ $\gamma(^{237}\text{Pu}) \text{ (continued)}$

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\dagger a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^b	α^b	Comments
696.2 3	0.20 4	696.20	7/2 ⁻	0.0	7/2 ⁻	M1	0.131	$\alpha(K)= 0.104; \alpha(L)=0.0203$ $\text{Ice}(K)\approx 0.02$.
720.4 5	0.24 5	1000.6	(7/2)	280.226	5/2 ⁺			
^x 743.5 & 5	0.27 5							
^x 792.0 & 5	0.16 4							
861.2 3	0.37 4	908.90	7/2 ⁺	47.71	9/2 ⁻			
908.8 2	2.60 15	908.90	7/2 ⁺	0.0	7/2 ⁻			
1000.6 3	0.19 5	1000.6	(7/2)	0.0	7/2 ⁻			

^d From 1975Ah05, Photon intensity per 100 ε decays.^e From ce measurements in 1975Ah05. See also $^{241}\text{Cm } \alpha$ decay. Ice's given here were normalized in 1975Ah05 making use of $\alpha(K)\exp(279.2\gamma \text{ of } ^{203}\text{Hg}$ decay)=0.163 2.[#] Deduced by the evaluator from the ce intensities given in 1975Ah05. See also $^{241}\text{Cm } \alpha$ decay.[@] Deduced from ce intensities of 1975Ah05.[&] Assignment of these gammas to ^{237}Am decay is uncertain.^a For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.99975 3.^b Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.^c Multiply placed.^d Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.^e Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

237Am ϵ decay 1975Ah05

Legend

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
 @ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

