

$^{236}\text{Th} \beta^-$ decay 1984Mi02

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Shaofei Zhu	NDS 182, 2 (2022).	1-Apr-2022

Parent: ^{236}Th : E=0; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=37.5$ min 2; $Q(\beta^-)=921$ 20; % β^- decay=100.0

^{236}Th -Q(β^-): from 2021Wa16.

The decay scheme of 1984Mi02 supersedes the scheme of 1973Or06 because of the observation of more complete γ rays in cascades.

1984Mi02: ^{236}Th decay was assigned based on the correlation of ^{236}Pa daughter decay in equilibrium from ^{236}Th sources produced by $^{238}\text{U}(\text{p},\text{3p})$ followed by chemical and mass separation.

1973Or06: ^{236}Th sources produced by $^{238}\text{U}(\text{p},\text{3p})$.

Other: 1973Ka10.

x-ray: $I\gamma(\text{Pa K x ray})/I\gamma(642\gamma)=0.32$ 8 from an equilibrium source gives $I\gamma(\text{Pa K x ray})=(0.32 8) \times 0.37 2 \times 100=12\% 4$ (per 100 β^- decays of ^{236}Pa) (1973Or06).

α : Additional information 1.

 ^{236}Pa Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$
0	$1^{(+)}$	9.1 min 1
31.54 9		
110.76 8	$(0^-, 1)$	
227.42 20		
340.20 7	$(0^-, 1)$	
580.81 11	$(0^-, 1)$	
678.11 8	$(0^-, 1)$	

[†] From least square fit to $E\gamma$'s by evaluator.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [†]	Log ft	Comments
(243 20)	678.11	1.32 14	5.4 1	av $E\beta=66.3$ 60
(340 20)	580.81	0.52 10	6.4 2	av $E\beta=95.6$ 62
(581 20)	340.20	3.4 12	6.4 2	av $E\beta=173.4$ 68
(694 20)	227.42	2.5 6	6.8 2	
(810 20)	110.76	2.0 17	7.1 3	av $E\beta=253.1$ 72
(921 20)	0	94.5 12	5.6 1	av $E\beta=293.2$ 74
				$I\beta^-$: β^- intensity to g.s. + 31.5-keV level.

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{236}\text{Pa})$

$I\gamma$ normalization: 1984Mi02 provide absolute intensities noting "The gamma-ray intensities of the parent 37-min ^{236}Th were also put on an absolute basis through measurements in sources in which parent and daughter were in equilibrium; proper account was taken of the parent/daughter ratio (0.76).".

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{236}\text{Th } \beta^- \text{ decay} \quad \textbf{1984Mi02 (continued)}$ $\gamma(^{236}\text{Pa}) \text{ (continued)}$

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	α	Comments
(31.5)		31.54		0	$1^{(+)}$			E_γ : not observed, deduced from level scheme (1984Mi02).
110.8 1	4.2 12	110.76	$(0^-, 1)$	0	$1^{(+)}$			E_γ : from 1984Mi02; other: 110.7 5 (1973Or06). I_γ : from 1984Mi02; other: 10.5 28 relative to $I_\gamma(642\gamma)=100$ (1973Or06).
112.8 2	0.24 9	340.20	$(0^-, 1)$	227.42				E_γ : from 1984Mi02; other: 112.7 +5-1 (1973Or06). I_γ : from 1984Mi02; other: 2.6 12 relative to $I_\gamma(642\gamma)=100$ (1973Or06). E_γ : from 1973Or06.
^x 131.6 10	0.56 28							I_γ : from 2.0 10 relative to $I_\gamma(642\gamma)=100$ (1973Or06).
196.0 5	0.69 14	227.42		31.54		(M1)	3.01 5	$\alpha(K)=2.40\ 4$; $\alpha(L)=0.461\ 7$; $\alpha(M)=0.1111\ 18$; $\alpha(N)=0.0298\ 5$; $\alpha(O)=0.00715\ 11$ $\alpha(P)=0.001366\ 22$; $\alpha(Q)=0.0001128\ 18$
229.5 1	0.7 4	340.20	$(0^-, 1)$	110.76	$(0^-, 1)$	(M1)	1.935 27	E_γ, I_γ : from 1984Mi02. $\alpha(K)=1.543\ 22$; $\alpha(L)=0.296\ 4$; $\alpha(M)=0.0712\ 10$; $\alpha(N)=0.01910\ 27$; $\alpha(O)=0.00458\ 6$ $\alpha(P)=0.000875\ 12$; $\alpha(Q)=7.23\times 10^{-5}\ 10$ E_γ : from 1984Mi02; other: 229.6 10 (1973Or06). I_γ : from 1984Mi02; other: 2.0 10 relative to $I_\gamma(642\gamma)=100$ (1973Or06).
308.7 1	0.42 5	340.20	$(0^-, 1)$	31.54				
340.1 1	0.67 9	340.20	$(0^-, 1)$	0	$1^{(+)}$			
^x 392.4 1	0.17 3							
^x 414.8 3	0.13 3							
^x 434.3 1	0.67 9							
549.2 1	0.32 9	580.81	$(0^-, 1)$	31.54				
567.1 3	0.13 3	678.11	$(0^-, 1)$	110.76	$(0^-, 1)$			
581.1 2	0.20 4	580.81	$(0^-, 1)$	0	$1^{(+)}$			
^x 586.4 2	0.09 4							
^x 599.7 1	0.24 3							
646.6 1	0.72 11	678.11	$(0^-, 1)$	31.54				
678.1 1	0.47 7	678.11	$(0^-, 1)$	0	$1^{(+)}$			
^x 719.9 1	0.21 3							

[†] From 1984Mi02, unless otherwise noted. Absolute intensity from 1984Mi02 relative to $I_\gamma(642\gamma)$ in ^{236}Pa at equilibrium, corrected by parent/daughter ratio of 0.76 based on their lifetimes.

[‡] From $I(K \times \text{ray})/I_\gamma$ suggesting that most of the intense γ rays with $E_\gamma > 112.6$ keV (the K-shell binding energy in Pa) should be M1 (1984Mi02).

Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

