

[235U \$\alpha\$ decay \(7.04×10⁸ y\)](#) [2005Ga36](#),[2018Ma03](#),[1975Va11](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Jagdish K. Tuli, and Edgardo Browne		NDS 185,560 (2022)	31-Aug-2022

Parent: ^{235}U : E=0.0; $J^\pi=7/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=7.04\times10^8$ y I ; $Q(\alpha)=4678.1$ 7; % α decay=100

$^{235}\text{U}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From ^{235}U Adopted Levels in the ENSDF database (Feb 2014 update). No $T_{1/2}$ measurements have been reported after the 2014 evaluation.

$^{235}\text{U}-Q(\alpha)$: From [2021Wa16](#).

$^{235}\text{U}-\% \alpha$ decay: % α =100 for ^{235}U decay. Other decay modes (SF and cluster): %SF= 7×10^{-9} % 2, % ^{20}Ne = 8×10^{-10} % 4, % ^{25}Ne = 8×10^{-10} %, % ^{28}Mg = 8×10^{-10} % from ^{235}U Adopted Levels in the ENSDF database (Feb 2014 update).

[2018Ma03](#): measurement of absolute photon intensities in the range of 31-410 keV at four laboratories: 32 γ rays at JRC, Geel; eight γ rays at CIEMAT, Madrid; six γ rays each at ENEA, Rome and at CMI, Praha. Recommended values of $I_\gamma/100$ decays given for 32 γ rays, based on experimental data from all the four labs.

[2017Le03](#), [2021LeZZ](#): measurement of relative and absolute photon intensities of Th x-rays, and 23 γ rays in the range 72-238 keV at CEA-LNHB-Saclay. Corrected relative-intensity data received March 5, 2021 from the first author of [2017Le03](#) (M.-C. Lépy as a private communication [2021LeZZ](#), as the evaluators enquired about some issues with the published values).

[2006Al28](#): measurement of absolute gamma-ray intensities of six γ rays from 143 to 231 keV.

[2005Ga36](#): measurement of energies and absolute intensities of 13 α groups using a silicon-implanted detector and enriched uranium at CIEMAT, Madrid in the frame of the EUROMET 591 cooperation project, with energies measured relative to $E\alpha$ values of prominent α groups in the decays of ^{234}U and ^{236}U decay.

[2004Da24](#): measurement of energies and intensities of 15 α groups using ^{235}U mass-separated source and a Passivated Implanted Planar Silicon (PIPS) detector at Orsay. Monte Carlo simulations.

[1999Yu01](#): measured $\alpha\gamma$ -coin and $\gamma\gamma$ -coin involving the following γ rays: 143.7, 163.3, 182.7, 185.7, 202.1 and 205.3 keV, and the α transitions to the 0, 41.9, 96.1, 185.7, 205.3, 236.9, 277.8 and 387.8 keV levels. Measured relative $\gamma\gamma$ -coin intensities, and extracted γ -branching ratios.

[1999Ch12](#): measurement of absolute intensity of 185.7 γ .

[1996Ru11](#): measurement of absolute intensities of Th x rays and eight γ rays in the range of 109 to 205 keV at CEA-Saclay.

[1992Li05](#): measurement of absolute intensities of eight γ rays in the range of 109 to 205 keV using highly enriched ^{235}U source at BNL.

[1984He12](#): measurement of absolute intensities of five γ rays, and precise energies of six γ rays at Idaho Falls. Note that [1974HeYW](#), [1971KrZH](#), [1971Cl03](#) are from the same lab as [1984He12](#).

[1983O101](#): measurement of absolute intensities of four γ rays at Idaho Falls.

[1983Ba77](#): measurement of absolute intensities of 28 γ rays in the energy range of 25-247 keV, 18 γ rays from ^{235}U decay and 10 γ rays from ^{231}Th ε decay to ^{231}Ac , at AERE, Harwell. No information is available in the paper about gamma-ray energy measurements, and the values are listed by the authors without uncertainties.

[1982Va04](#): measurement of absolute intensities of four γ rays in the energy range of 144-205 keV at JRC, Geel.

[1977Ba72](#): measurement of energies and relative intensities of 50 γ rays.

[1975Va11](#): measurement of energies and absolute intensities of 13 α groups, energies and absolute intensities of 42 γ rays in the energy range of 42-794 keV, and $\gamma\gamma$ -coin at Orsay.

[1974Te03](#): measurement of energies and relative intensities of 28 γ rays in the energy range of 31-390 keV at the University of Manitoba.

[1974HeYW](#), [1971KrZH](#), [1971Cl03](#): measured energies and relative intensities of 34 γ rays at Idaho Falls; also a later work [1984He12](#) from the same lab.

[1966Ga03](#): measured energies and absolute intensities of 32 α groups, and 17 γ rays in the energy range of 46-1010 keV, $\alpha\gamma$ - and $\gamma\gamma$ -coin using NaI(Tl) detector for γ rays. $E\alpha$ values in this work may be lower by 6 keV due to calibration lines used as stated in [1971Ar48](#) evaluation.

[1967Ch36](#): measured $E\gamma$.

[1965Wh05](#): measured α -particle energies and intensities of eight lines, half-life of ^{235}U decay.

[1964Sc27](#): measured α -particle energies and intensities of ten lines.

[1962Pi06](#) (also [1957Pi31](#) thesis): priv. comm. $E\alpha$, $I\alpha$, $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$ for 11 α lines and seven γ rays, as listed in [1971Ar48](#) evaluation.

[1961Ko11](#): measured α -particle energies and intensities of five α lines.

1961-Skillings, D.J.: cited by [1964Hy02](#), p.729: measured α -particle energies and intensities of eight lines, as listed in [1971Ar48](#) evaluation.

[1960Ba44](#): measured α -particle energies and intensities of 13 lines.

 ^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36,2018Ma03,1975Va11 (continued)

1960Vo07: measured α -particle energies and intensities of four lines, $\alpha\gamma$ -coin and $E\gamma$ for four γ rays.

1959St49: measured half-life of 186 level from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ and $\alpha\gamma(t)$.

1958Fi10: measured $E\gamma$ for four γ rays, $\alpha\gamma(\theta)$. Level scheme proposed.

1957Wu39: measured $E\alpha$, $I\alpha$, $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, half-life of ^{235}U decay, $\alpha\gamma$ -coin for four α lines, and two γ rays.

1957Cl17: measured $E\alpha$ of one line at 4396 keV 20, and half-life of ^{235}U decay.

1956Jo25: measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin for nine γ rays.

1956Ma01: measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin for nine γ rays.

1955St04: measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\alpha\gamma$ -coin for four α rays.

1952Ve05: measured $E\alpha$, $I\alpha$.

1951Be97: measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$ for five γ rays.

1951Gh22: measured $E\alpha$ and $I\alpha$ of four α lines: 4580 (10%), 4470(?) ($\approx 3\%$), 4400 (83%) and 4200 (4%).

1949Ma37: evidence of a γ ray at 162 keV from the α decay of ^{235}U .

Others:

2021El04: measured $^{235}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratio in ores by a focus on the 185.7-keV most intense γ ray emitted in the decay of ^{235}U .

2008Po06: measurement of $T_{1/2}(^{238}\text{U})/T_{1/2}(^{235}\text{U})=6.351\ 31$ from high-resolution α -spectroscopy at JRC, Geel, in agreement with value from 1971Ja07.

2005Po02: measured α -spectrum. Monte Carlo simulations and imaging to investigate degradation of the alpha particle energy spectrum by thick samples. No data for individual α groups provided.

2004Va12: measurement of corrections due to scattering and self-absorption of α -particles from the source and from the backing material using 2π detectors, and Monte Carlo simulations.

Other evaluations:

2010BeZQ, 2009Hu07: DDEP evaluation of ^{235}U decay, covering literature up to June 2008.

1986LoZT: evaluated data at the IAEA for absolute intensities of 17 γ rays from 41-247 keV covering available data up to 1984.

Evaluators' cautionary note: ^{235}U is a well known nuclide for nuclear structure and reactor applications. Although there have been many studies of its alpha decay to levels in ^{231}Th , including some recent ones such as 2018Ma03 and 2017Le03, yet evaluators' analysis of the decay scheme suggests that while α -transitions are well established, yet several issues remain about gamma-ray and conversion electron spectroscopy to characterize the decay scheme fully. Most studies have either dealt with the determination of intensities of γ rays or the α particles, not focused on unraveling the intricacies of the decay scheme itself, with the exception of the decay scheme study by 1974Te03. Evaluators find following issues with the present decay scheme: lack of agreement between different studies for intensities of weak gamma rays (<1% or so relative intensity), and limited spectral information for intensities of several low-energy transitions (19.55, 41.1, 42.02, 54.25, 64.45, 95.7 keV) and multipolarities, intensities for some of which have been estimated by the evaluators from intensity balance arguments in the present decay scheme as much as possible. For some of the levels, direct feedings to levels deduced from gamma transition intensities in the present decay scheme do not agree well with the measured alpha-particle intensities to those levels. It is possible that there are still some missing low-energy, heavily converted transitions. In evaluators' opinion, a dedicated and precise gamma-ray and conversion electron spectroscopic study is needed for a better understanding and completeness of the decay scheme, although, it would seem a challenging project.

 ^{231}Th Levels

The 156.78, 170.89, 227.4 and 390.2 levels proposed in 1974Te03; 279.5 and 338.0 levels in 1977Ba72; and 351.565 and 595.58 levels in 2010BeZQ (also 2009Hu07) DDEP evaluation have been omitted as these are not confirmed in ^{235}U α decay or other studies. The γ rays proposed from these levels have either been reassigned or not confirmed.

The decay scheme is primarily from 1975Va11, based on earlier level scheme in 1974Te03, and partially in 1966Ga03.

As a result of several α and γ lines reported only in 1966Ga03, not confirmed in later studies, the following levels proposed in this work, listed in their Table 1 and level-scheme Fig. 1 are omitted: 13, 33, 55, 75, 82, 114, 154, 168, 196, 224, 230, 253, 408, 428, 461, 501, 510, 620, 647, 700, 757, 810, 825 and 1010 keV.

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	5/2 ⁺	25.57 h 8	T _{1/2} : from the Adopted Levels.
41.9509 17	7/2 ⁺		
96.126 4	9/2 ⁺		
162.13 6	11/2 ⁺		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36, 2018Ma03, 1975Va11 (continued) ^{231}Th Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
185.7143 17	5/2 ⁻	1.07 ns 8	$T_{1/2}$: from the Adopted Levels. Other: 0.77 ns 12 (1959St49, $\gamma\gamma(t)$ and $\alpha\gamma(t)$).
205.3098 17	(7/2 ⁻)		
221.392 20	3/2 ⁺	≤ 74 ps	
236.893 7	9/2 ⁻		
240.8785 25	5/2 ⁺		
275.423 3	7/2 ⁺		
277.60 8	(11/2 ⁻)		
301.70? 10	5/2 ⁺		
317.0790 24	5/2 ⁺		
324.911 7	(9/2) ⁺		
331 5	(13/2 ⁻)		
355 5	7/2 ⁺		
377.562 10	(7/2) ⁺		
385.68? 4	(5/2 ⁺ to 11/2 ⁺)		
387.824 4	7/2 ⁻		
452.189 18	9/2 ⁻		
530.22 5	(11/2 ⁻)		
562? 5			
634.05 4	(7/2 ⁻)		

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ data. Uncertainties of the following γ rays were adjusted to obtain a reduced χ^2 consistent with critical $\chi^2=1.63$: 0.10 keV from 0.05 keV for 120.35γ from 162.1 level, and 0.08 keV from 0.04 keV for 74.94γ from 236.9 level.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

 α radiations

Following 17 α lines reported by 1966Ga03 were not confirmed in later studies by 1975Va11, 2004Da24 and 2005Ga36: 4579 3 ($I\alpha=1.8$), 4559 3 ($I\alpha=2.5$), 4537 10 ($I\alpha<0.5$), 4519 3 (4552 in Table 1 of 1966Ga03 is erroneous) ($I\alpha<1.0$), 4510 10 ($I\alpha<0.5$), 4478 3 ($I\alpha=1.6$), 4424 5 ($I\alpha=1.8$), 4368 5 ($I\alpha=6.1$), 4339 5 ($I\alpha<1.0$), 4184 3 ($I\alpha=1.3$), 4164 10 ($I\alpha<0.5$), 4131 10 ($I\alpha<0.5$), 4091 10, 3945 10, 3892 20, 3825 20 and 3769 20.

Following five α lines reported by 1960Ba44 were not confirmed in later studies by 1975Va11, 2004Da24 and 2005Ga36: 4578 4 ($I\alpha=1.5$), 4522 ? 3, 4426 ? 4 ($I\alpha=1.5$), 4368 4 ($I\alpha=6$), 4339 4 ($I\alpha=1.5$).

E α [†]	E(level)	I α ^{†@}	HF [‡]	Comments
3976 ^{&} 5	634.05	≈ 0.007	≈ 12	E α , I α : tentative α from 2004Da24. Other: 3977 10 with no I α (1966Ga03).
4042 ^{&} 5	562?	≈ 0.007	≈ 55	E α , I α : from 2004Da24. Not reported in 2005Ga36.
4080.6 40	530.22	0.0174 3	41.8 8	E α : from 2005Ga36. E α =4077 is stated by 2004Da24 as absent in the spectrum. Other: 4069 10 (1966Ga03) with no I α . I α : from γ -ray transition intensity balance. Other: 0.016 12 from α decay measurement (2005Ga36).
4152.5 28	452.189	0.297 18	11.5 7	E α : unweighted average of 4153.0 keV 26 (2005Ga36) and 4152 keV 5 (2004Da24). Others: 4145 6 (1975Va11), 4140 3 (1966Ga03), ≈ 4149 (1964Sc27), 4153 (1962Pi06). I α : weighted average of 0.286% 18 (2005Ga36) and 0.31% 2 (2004Da24). Others: 0.9 2 (1975Va11), 1.0 (1966Ga03), ≈ 0.2 (1964Sc27), ≈ 0.3 (1962Pi06).
4216.0 10	387.824	6.10 19	1.94 7	E α : unweighted average of 4216.2 keV 19 (2005Ga36) and 4215.8 keV 5 (2004Da24). 1991Ry01 evaluation recommended 4214.7 19. Others: 4209 4 (1975Va11), 4210 3 (1966Ga03), 4170 20 (1965Wh05), 4211 5 (1964Sc27), 4211 (1962Pi06), 4215 5 (1961Ko11), 4211 3 (1960Vo07), 4214 4 (1960Ba44), 4200 (1951Gh22).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36, 2018Ma03, 1975Va11 (continued) α radiations (continued)

E α^\dagger	E(level)	I $\alpha^\dagger @$	Hf ‡	Comments
4212	385.68?	0.0131 [#] 2	943 16	I α : unweighted average of 5.91% 7 (2005Ga36) and 6.28% 11 (2004Da24). Others: 5.7 6 (1975Va11), 6.2 (1966Ga03), 5.0 3 (1965Wh05), 6.0 (1964Sc27), 5.5 (1962Pi06), 4 (1961Ko11), 7 (1960Vo07), 5.5 (1960Ba44), 4.2 (1951Gh22).
4219 6	377.562	0.123 6	117 6	E α : from 1975Va11.
4248 5	355	0.07 1	320 50	I α , I α : from 2004Da24. Not reported in 2005Ga36. Other: 4240 10 with I α <0.5 (1966Ga03).
4266.2 25	331	0.230 30	150 20	E α : weighted average of 4266.2 keV 25 (2005Ga36) and 4266 keV 5 (2004Da24). Others: 4267 10 (1966Ga03), 4261 (1962Pi06).
4273	324.911	0.033 [#] 4	1.2×10^3 2	I α : unweighted average of 0.200% 16 (2005Ga36) and 0.26% 2 (2004Da24). Others: <0.3 (1966Ga03), 0.4 (1964Sc27), 0.6 (1962Pi06).
4282.6 28	317.0790	0.11 4	4.1×10^2 15	E α : unweighted average of 4283.2 keV 27 (2005Ga36) and 4282 keV 5 (2004Da24). Others: 4282 (1975Va11, tentative), 4289 10 (1966Ga03).
4296 ^{&}	301.70?	0.00915 [#] 11	6.6×10^3 1	I α : weighted average of 0.066% 13 (2005Ga36) and 0.14% 1 (2004Da24). Others: <0.5 (1966Ga03).
4323.2 10	277.60	3.58 20	26 2	E α =~4295 (tentative) value with no I α (1975Va11).
				E α : unweighted average of 4323.5 keV 20 (2005Ga36) and 4322.9 keV 6 (2004Da24). Others: 4322 4 (1975Va11), 4319 3 (1966Ga03), 4300 20 (1965Wh05), 4318 5 (1964Sc27), 4320 (1962Pi06), 4320 (1960Ba44).
				I α : unweighted average of 3.37% 6 (2005Ga36) and 3.78% 8 (2004Da24). Others: 4.7 5 (1975Va11), 3.5 (1966Ga03), 4.6 8 (1965Wh05), 4.2 (1964Sc27), 2.9 (1962Pi06), 3 (1960Ba44).
				I α : measured group intensity to 275-, 278-keV levels: I α =4.6 5. I(γ +ce) balance at the 277.6 level shows a much larger feeding of ~16% as compared to expected feeding of 3.58 20 from I α (4323 α). Either there are missing γ -rays feeding this level or the measured I α value is too low.
4323	275.423	0.167 [#] 13	58×10^1 5	
4357	240.8785	0.21 [#] 13	8.7×10^2 54	E α : unweighted average of 4364.9 keV 16 (2005Ga36) and 4364.3 keV 4 (2004Da24). 1991Ry01 evaluation recommended 4366.1 20. Others: 4358 4 (1975Va11), 4362 5 (1966Ga03), 4340 20 (1965Wh05), 4359 5 (1964Sc27), 4361 (1962Pi06), 4368 (1960Ba44).
4364.6 8	236.893	18.94 13	10.4 1	I α : weighted average of 19.00% 13 (2005Ga36) and 18.80% 20 (2004Da24). Others: 17 2 (1975Va11), 12.3 (1966Ga03), 11.3 20 (1965Wh05), 17.3 (1964Sc27), 19 (1962Pi06), 11 (1960Ba44).
4377	221.392	0.35 [#] 19	7.4×10^2 41	E α : unweighted average of 4396.0 keV 13 (2005Ga36) and 4395.3 keV 4 (2004Da24). 1991Ry01 evaluation recommended 4397.8 13. Others: 4392 3 (1975Va11), 4394 3 (1966Ga03), 4370 20 (1965Wh05), 4389 5 (1964Sc27), 4391 (1962Pi06), 4394 5 (1961Ko11), 4394 3 (1960Vo07), 4394 4 (1960Ba44), 4400 (1951Gh22).
4395.7 7	205.3098	57.79 36	6.02 6	I α : weighted average of 57.98% 22 (2005Ga36) and 57.11% 41 (2004Da24). Others: 54 3 (1975Va11), 53.0 13 (1966Ga03), 49 3 (1965Wh05), 57.7 (1964Sc27), 58 (1962Pi06), 74 (1961Ko11), 84 (1960Vo07), 62 (1960Ba44), 85.6 (1951Gh22).
4415.1 8	185.7143	3.09 6	160 4	E α : unweighted average of 4415.3 keV 15 (2005Ga36) and 4414.9 keV 5 (2004Da24). Others: 4411 5 (1975Va11), 4411 5 (1966Ga03), ~4407 (1964Sc27), 4414 ? (1962Pi06), 4412 4 (1960Ba44).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36, 2018Ma03, 1975Va11 (continued) α radiations (continued)

$E\alpha^\dagger$	$E(\text{level})$	$I\alpha^\dagger @$	HF^\ddagger	Comments
4438.3 22	162.13	0.245 26	3.1×10^3 3	I α : weighted average of 3.11% 6 (2005Ga36) and 3.07% 7 (2004Da24). Others: 2.1 2 (1975Va11), 2.3 (1966Ga03), \approx 3 (1964Sc27), \approx 4 ? (1962Pi06), 2 (1960Ba44).
4502.9 11	96.126	1.28 4	185×10^1 6	E α : unweighted average of 4438.7 keV 20 (2005Ga36) and 4437.9 keV 40 (2004Da24). Others: 4435 5 (1975Va11), 4438 3 (1966Ga03) 4440 40 (1965Wh05), 4440 ? (1962Pi06), 4438 4 (1960Ba44). I α : unweighted average of 0.219% 16 (2005Ga36) and 0.27% 2 (2004Da24). Others: \approx 0.7 (1975Va11), 2.1 (1966Ga03), 1.5 8 (1965Wh05), \approx 0.6 (1962Pi06), 3 (1960Ba44).
4556.5 9	41.9509	3.82 6	157×10^1 3	E α : unweighted average of 4503.3 keV 20 (2005Ga36) and 4502.4 keV 7 (2004Da24). Others: 4501 4 (1975Va11), 4496 3 (1966Ga03), 4495 5 (1964Sc27), 4497 (1962Pi06), 4496 4 (1960Ba44). I α : weighted average of 1.25% 4 (2005Ga36) and 1.32% 5 (2004Da24). Others: 1.7 2 (1975Va11), 1.4 (1966Ga03), 1.4 (1964Sc27), 1.2 (1962Pi06), 1 (1960Ba44).
4597.7 7	0.0	4.77 7	255×10^1 4	E α : unweighted average of 4556.9 keV 17 (2005Ga36) and 4556.0 keV 4 (2004Da24). Others: 4555 3 (1975Va11), 4550 3 (1966Ga03), 4530 20 (1965Wh05), 4550 5 (1964Sc27), 4551 (1962Pi06), 4545 10 (1961Ko11), 4558 3 (1960Vo07), 4550 4 (1960Ba44). I α : weighted average of 3.87% 6 (2005Ga36) and 3.74% 8 (2004Da24). Others: 4.5% 5 (1975Va11), 1.7 (1966Ga03), 3.7 5 (1965Wh05), 4.1 (1964Sc27), 3.7 (1962Pi06), 4 (1961Ko11), 2.5 (1960Vo07), 3 (1960Ba44). E α : unweighted average of 4598.0 keV 13 (2005Ga36) and 4597.3 keV 4 (2004Da24). 1991Ry01 evaluation recommended 4596.4 13. Others: 4597 3 (1975Va11), 4592 3 (1966Ga03), 4590 20 (1965Wh05), 4590 5 (1964Sc27), 4592 (1962Pi06), 4580 15 (1961Ko11), 4598 3 (1960Vo07), 4592 (1960Ba44), 4580 (1951Gh22). I α : weighted average of 4.74% 7 (2005Ga36) and 4.84% 9 (2004Da24). Others: 5.4 5 (1975Va11), 1.2 (1966Ga03), 3.9 5 (1965Wh05), 5.7 (1964Sc27), 4.7 (1962Pi06), 4 (1961Ko11), 6.5 (1960Vo07), <1 (1960Ba44), 10.2 (1951Gh22).

[†] Weighted average of values from 2005Ga36 and 2004Da24, unless otherwise specified. Other measurements: 1975Va11, 1966Ga03, 1962Pi06, 1960Ba44. Evaluation of four E α values: 1991Ry01. For α intensities, evaluators have included the effect of normalizing the total alpha decay emission probability to 100% in the uncertainties of the individual alpha-particle emission probabilities.

[‡] The nuclear radius parameter $r_0(^{231}\text{Th})=1.52410\ 58$ is deduced from interpolation (or unweighted average) of radius parameters of the adjacent even-even nuclides evaluated in 2020Si16.

[#] From γ -ray transition intensity balance.

[@] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[&] Existence of this branch is questionable.

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) [2005Ga36](#),[2018Ma03](#),[1975Va11](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$

I γ normalization, I(γ +ce) normalization: From %I γ (185.7 γ)=57.2% 6, weighted average of nine independent measurements. See %I γ comment for 185.7 γ for details. Measured intensities of x rays ([2017Le03](#)), absolute intensities are per 100 decays of ^{235}U , and relative intensities are with respect to I γ =100.0 12 for the 185.72-keV ground-state transition:

I(Th L₁ x-rays)=0.599 15 (absolute), 1.039 26 (relative) ([2017Le03](#)).
 I(Th L _{α} x-rays)=20.26 44 (absolute), 35.2 8 (relative) ([2017Le03](#)).
 I(Th L _{β} x-rays)=17.42 38 (absolute), 30.2 6 (relative) ([2017Le03](#)).
 I(Th L _{γ} x-rays)=2.43 5 (absolute), 4.22 9 (relative) ([2017Le03](#)).
 I(Th total L x-rays)=40.7 10 (absolute), 70.7 17 (relative) ([2017Le03](#)).
 I(Th K _{α 2} x-rays)=3.73 19 (absolute), 6.48 35 (relative) ([2017Le03](#)).
 I(Th K _{α 1} x-rays)=4.62 24 (absolute), 8.03 43 (relative) ([2017Le03](#)).
 I(Th K _{β '1} x-rays)=1.46 8 (absolute), 2.63 14 (relative) ([2017Le03](#)).
 I(Th K _{β '2} x-rays)=0.431 22 (absolute), 0.748 40 (relative) ([2017Le03](#)).

Measured energies and absolute intensities of x rays ([1996Ru11](#)):

I(Th, K _{α 1}): E(x ray)=93.35, I(x ray)=5.56% 14.

I(Th, K _{β 1}): E(x ray)=105.6, I(x ray)=1.17% 5.

Measured energies and absolute intensities of x rays ([1975Va11](#)):

I(Th, L₃,M₁): E(x ray)=11.118 10, I(x ray)=1.65% 45.

I(Th, L₃,M_{4,5}): E(x ray)=12.994 25, I(x ray)=37.6% 42.

I(Th, L₂,M₁): E(x ray)=14.519 11, I(x ray)=1.41% 27.

I(Th, L₃,N₁)+I(Pa, L₂,M₁): E(x ray)=14.993 30, I(x ray)=1.10% 21.

I(Th, L₁,M₂+L₃,N₅): E(x ray)=15.624 20, I(x ray)=12.7% 13.

I(Th, L₂,M₄): E(x ray)=16.193 10, I(x ray)=68.1% 69.

I(Th, L₂,N₁): E(x ray)=18.336 30, I(x ray)=0.46% 9.

I(Th, L₂,N₄): E(x ray)=18.978 5, I(x ray)=10.6% 11.

I(Th, L₂,O₄)+I(Pa, L₂,N₄): E(x ray)=19.580 27, I(x ray)=4.24% 45.

Other: L- and M- x-rays: [1990Po14](#).

I(K x-rays)=11.8% 2, deduced by evaluators from decay scheme using RADLST code.

The $\gamma\gamma$ -coin measurements have been made by [1999Yu01](#), [1975Va11](#), [1974Te03](#) and [1966Ga03](#).

The $\alpha\gamma$ -coin measurements have been made by [1999Yu01](#), [1975Va11](#) and [1966Ga03](#).

Most of the unplaced γ rays are considered as uncertain assignment to the decay of ^{235}U .

In [2010BeZQ](#) (also [2009Hu07](#)) DDEP evaluation, there is no confirming evidence from ^{235}U decay for the following γ rays and their placements: 97 4 from 334 level; 255.365 10, 310.69 6 and 350 5 from 351.565 level; 397.27 20 from 595.58 level, thus omitted in the present evaluation.

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36, 2018Ma03, 1975Va11 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

									Comments
									%I γ =0.583 11 ce(L)/(γ +ce)=0.0196 5; ce(M)/(γ +ce)=0.723 9 ce(N)/(γ +ce)=0.193 4; ce(O)/(γ +ce)=0.0457 10; ce(P)/(γ +ce)=0.00888 20; ce(Q)/(γ +ce)=0.000848 19 α (L)=2.26 4; α (M)=83.7 14 α (N)=22.3 4; α (O)=5.29 9; α (P)=1.027 17; α (Q)=0.0982 16 E γ : from 1977Ba72. Other: 19.59 (1975Va11, in coincidence data). I(γ +ce): from γ -ray transition intensity balance at 185, 205 levels. Other value of 90% 6 in 1999Yu01, and I(γ +ce)(19.6)/I(γ +ce)(205)=17.2, giving relative I(γ +ce)=165, inconsistent with transition intensity balance of 132 3.
19.55 5	E_γ^{\dagger}	I $\gamma^{\ddagger} f$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E $_f$	J $^\pi_f$	Mult. ^d	α^g	I $_{(\gamma+ce)} f$
			205.3098	(7/2 $^-$)	185.7143	5/2 $^-$	[M1]	114.7 19	118 6
31.60 5	0.08 ^b 3	236.893	9/2 $^-$	205.3098 (7/2 $^-$)	[M1]	110.7 16		%I γ =0.046 17 α (L)=83.6; α (M)=20.2 α (N)=5.4; α (O)=1.27; α (P)=0.25; α (Q)=0.024 Mult.: E2 admixture is possible but less likely as it would imply intensity balance issues at the 236.9 level. E γ : weighted average of 31.60 5 (1977Ba72), 31.59 14 (1975Va11), 31.50 20 (1974Te03), 31.6 1 (1971KrZH).	
7									I γ : 0.08 3 from unweighted average of 0.138 16 (2018Ma03), 0.074 9 (1975Va11), and 0.03 1 (1974Te03). Other: 0.080 (1977Ba72). Note a large difference between different measurements. Measured %I γ =0.079 9 (2018Ma03).
34.7 ^h 1	0.065	275.423	7/2 $^+$	240.8785 5/2 $^+$	[M1]	83.9 14		%I γ =0.037 α (L)=63.4 11; α (M)=15.3 3 α (N)=4.08 7; α (O)=0.966 16; α (P)=0.188 3; α (Q)=0.0179 3 E γ , I γ : from 1977Ba72, tentative placement.	
41.1		277.60	(11/2 $^-$)	236.893 9/2 $^-$	[M1]	51.0 7		α (L)=38.5 6; α (M)=9.28 13 α (N)=2.48 4; α (O)=0.586 9; α (P)=0.1138 16; α (Q)=0.01086 16 E γ : from coincidence data in 1975Va11. I γ : intensity balance issues at 277.6 level do not permit estimating intensity of 41.1-keV γ ray. It is probably a weak γ transition. α : for assumed uncertainty of 0.2 keV.	

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36,2018Ma03,1975Va11 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. δ^d	δ^d	α^g	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^f$	Comments
42.02 10	0.119 14	41.9509	7/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.95 10	3.7×10 ² 4	44.9 8	% $I\gamma=0.069$ 7 $\text{ce(L)}/(\gamma+\text{ce})=0.73$ 6; $\text{ce(M)}/(\gamma+\text{ce})=0.20$ 3 $\text{ce(N)}/(\gamma+\text{ce})=0.053$ 8; $\text{ce(O)}/(\gamma+\text{ce})=0.0118$ 17; $\text{ce(P)}/(\gamma+\text{ce})=0.0020$ 3; $\text{ce(Q)}/(\gamma+\text{ce})=1.91\times 10^{-5}$ 23 $\alpha(L)=2.7\times 10^2$ 3; $\alpha(M)=73$ 8 $\alpha(N)=19.4$ 21; $\alpha(O)=4.3$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.72$ 8; $\alpha(Q)=0.0070$ 4 E_γ : weighted average of 41.95 10 (1977Ba72), 42.1 1 (1975Va11), 41.96 15 (1974Te03), 42.1 2 (1971KrZH). Other: 41.96 (1983Ba77). I_γ : weighted average of 0.122 14 (2018Ma03), 0.105 18 (1983Ba77). Others: 0.07 3 (1974Te03), 111 (1977Ba72), 0.030 (1975Va11), ≈0.093 (1971KrZH). Measured % $I\gamma=0.070$ 8 (2018Ma03), 0.06 1 (1983Ba77). $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: From γ -ray transition intensity balance and $I\alpha$ feeding the 42 level. Mult., δ : From $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ and $I\gamma$.
51.21 5	0.059 ^b 13	236.893	9/2 ⁻	185.7143	5/2 ⁻	[E2]		274 4		% $I\gamma=0.034$ 7 $\alpha(L)=201$ 3; $\alpha(M)=54.9$ 9 $\alpha(N)=14.70$ 22; $\alpha(O)=3.27$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.539$ 8; $\alpha(Q)=0.001465$ 22 E_γ : weighted average of 51.20 5 (1977Ba72), 51.7 4 (1975Va11), 51.20 10 (1974Te03). Other: 51.2 (1971KrZH). I_γ : from 1975Va11. Others: 0.019 9 (2018Ma03), 0.029 (1977Ba72), 0.007 3 (1974Te03). Value from 1975Va11 is preferred as it gives a better intensity balance at the 236.9 level. Measured % $I\gamma=0.010$ 5 (2018Ma03).
54.1 ^h 1	<0.002	275.423	7/2 ⁺	221.392	3/2 ⁺	[E2]		210 4		% $I\gamma<0.0011$ $\alpha(L)=154$ 3; $\alpha(M)=42.1$ 7 $\alpha(N)=11.27$ 19; $\alpha(O)=2.51$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.413$ 7; $\alpha(Q)=0.001153$ 19 E_γ : from 1977Ba72. Other: 54.1 (1975Va11), in coincidence data, however, authors placed this γ from 96-keV level). I_γ : from γ -ray transition intensity balance at 221.4 level. Other: 0.052 for 54.1+54.25 (1977Ba72). Measured % $I\gamma=0.016$ 5
54.25 5	0.028 ^b 9	96.126	9/2 ⁺	41.9509	7/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.85 25	1.00×10 ² 29		

²³⁵U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36, 2018Ma03, 1975Va11 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36,2018Ma03,1975Va11 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^d	α^g	Comments
74.94 4	0.128 ^b 23	236.893	$9/2^-$	162.13	$11/2^+$	[E1]	0.252 4	% $I\gamma=0.073$ 13 $\alpha(L)=0.190$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0464$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.01216$ 18; $\alpha(O)=0.00274$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.000471$ 7; $\alpha(Q)=2.46 \times 10^{-5}$ 4 E_γ : weighted average of 75.02 5 (1975Va11), 74.76 20 (1974Te03), 74.92 3 (1974HeYW). Others: 74.56 5 (1977Ba72, unresolved doublet); 74.91 (1983Ba77). I_γ : 0.128 23 (2018Ma03). Others: 0.222 12 (2017Le03), 0.89 9 (1983Ba77), 0.103 for 74.56+74.25 (1977Ba72), 0.13 (1975Va11), 0.09 1 (1974Te03), 0.25 8 (1974HeYW). Values in 2017Le03 and 1983Ba77 are precise but seem too high. Measured % $I\gamma=0.073$ 13 (2018Ma03), 0.128 7 (2017Le03), 0.51 5 (1983Ba77). Values from 2017Le03 and 1983Ba77 seem too high.
(76.198 ^e 4)	0.0081	317.0790	$5/2^+$	240.8785	$5/2^+$	[M1+E2]	24 17	% $I\gamma=0.0046$ $\alpha(L)=18$ 12; $\alpha(M)=4.8$ 34 $\alpha(N)=1.29$ 89; $\alpha(O)=0.29$ 20; $\alpha(P)=0.050$ 31; $\alpha(Q)=1.02 \times 10^{-3}$ 75 E_γ, I_γ : from (n, γ) (1987Wh01), intensity from $I_\gamma(76.198)/I_\gamma(317.063)$ in (n, γ) .
95.7		317.0790	$5/2^+$	221.392	$3/2^+$			E_γ : from coincidence data in 1975Va11; not seen in (n, γ) (1987Wh01).
96.09 2	0.222 ^c 12	96.126	$9/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$	[E2]	13.58 19	% $I\gamma=0.127$ 7 $\alpha(L)=9.93$ 14; $\alpha(M)=2.73$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.731$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.1629$ 23; $\alpha(P)=0.0270$ 4; $\alpha(Q)=0.0001141$ 16 E_γ : from 1974Te03. Others: 96.2 (1977Ba72), 96.1 (1975Va11, in $\alpha\gamma$ -coin). I_γ : 0.222 12 (2017Le03). Other: 0.16 2 (1974Te03). Measured % $I\gamma=0.128$ 7 (2017Le03).
109.176 31	2.86 11	205.3098	$(7/2^-)$	96.126	$9/2^+$	[E1]	0.0932 13	% $I\gamma=1.64$ 7 $\alpha(L)=0.0704$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.01709$ 24 $\alpha(N)=0.00450$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.001023$ 15; $\alpha(P)=0.000182$ 3; $\alpha(Q)=1.077 \times 10^{-5}$ 15 E_γ : LWM weighted average of 109.25 5 (1977Ba72), 109.25 5 (1975Va11), 109.145 10 (1974Te03), 109.12 5 (1974HeYW). Regular weighted average is 109.152 16, with reduced $\chi^2=2.86$ as compared to critical $\chi^2=2.6$ at 95% confidence level. Other: 109.17 (1983Ba77). I_γ : weighted average: 3.18 30 (2018Ma03), 2.74 15 (2017Le03), 3.15

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36,2018Ma03,1975Va11 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^d	α^g	Comments
115.45 5	0.0442 5	277.60	(11/2 ⁻)	162.13	11/2 ⁺	[E1]	0.348 5	%I γ =0.0253 4 $\alpha(K)=0.267$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.0609$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.01475$ 21 $\alpha(N)=0.00388$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.000885$ 13; $\alpha(P)=0.0001577$ 23; $\alpha(Q)=9.52 \times 10^{-6}$ 14 E γ : weighted average of 115.45 5 (1977Ba72), 115.5 2 (1975Va11), 115.2 5 (1974HeYW). I γ : weighted average of 0.0442 5 (2017Le03), 0.057 20 (1975Va11). Others: 0.21 5 (1974HeYW, seems too high), 0.030 (1977Ba72). Measured %I γ =0.0255 3 (2017Le03).
120.35 5	0.038 ^b 17	162.13	11/2 ⁺	41.9509	7/2 ⁺	[E2]	5.04 7	%I γ =0.022 10 $\alpha(K)=0.256$ 4; $\alpha(L)=3.50$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.960$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.257$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.0574$ 9; $\alpha(P)=0.00956$ 14; $\alpha(Q)=5.28 \times 10^{-5}$ 8 E γ : from 1977Ba72. Other: 120.0 (1975Va11, in coincidence data). I γ : 0.038 17 (2018Ma03). Other: 0.045 (1977Ba72). Measured %I γ =0.022 10 (2018Ma03).
136.55 5	0.0447 ^c 27	377.562	(7/2) ⁺	240.8785	5/2 ⁺	[M1+E2]	5.3 24	%I γ =0.0256 16 $\alpha(K)=3.2$ 30; $\alpha(L)=1.57$ 40; $\alpha(M)=0.41$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.110$ 35; $\alpha(O)=0.0250$ 73; $\alpha(P)=0.0044$ 10; $\alpha(Q)=1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ 15 E γ : from 1977Ba72. Other: 136.6 (1975Va11, in coincidence data). I γ : 0.0447 27 (2017Le03). Other: 0.020 (1977Ba72). Measured %I γ =0.0256 16 (2017Le03).
140.759 20	0.369 25	236.893	9/2 ⁻	96.126	9/2 ⁺	[E1]	0.218 3	%I γ =0.211 14 $\alpha(K)=0.1696$ 24; $\alpha(L)=0.0364$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00879$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.00232$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.000531$ 8; $\alpha(P)=9.58 \times 10^{-5}$ 14; $\alpha(Q)=6.13 \times 10^{-6}$ 9 E γ : weighted average of 140.75 5 (1977Ba72), 140.80 8 (1975Va11), 140.758 20 (1974Te03), 140.75 10 (1974HeYW). Other: 140.75 (1983Ba77). I γ : unweighted average of 0.392 25 (2018Ma03), 0.287 22 (2017Le03),

$$^{235}\text{U} \alpha \text{ decay (7.04}\times 10^8 \text{ y)} \quad \text{2005Ga36,2018Ma03,1975Va11 (continued)}$$

$$\gamma^{(231)\text{Th}} \text{ (continued)}$$

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^d	α^g	Comments
142.40 5	0.009	530.22	(11/2 ⁻)	387.824	7/2 ⁻	[E2]	2.48 4	0.373 26 (1983Ba77), 0.39 6 (1975Va11), 0.46 5 (1974Te03), 0.31 3 (1974HeYW). Weighted average is 0.347 23, but with reduced $\chi^2=3.76$ as compared to critical $\chi^2=2.2$ at 95% confidence level. Other: 0.300 (1977Ba72). Measured %I γ =0.224 14 (2018Ma03), 0.165 13 (2017Le03), 0.214 15 (1983Ba77). %I γ =0.005 $\alpha(K)=0.253$ 4; $\alpha(L)=1.627$ 23; $\alpha(M)=0.446$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.1196$ 17; $\alpha(O)=0.0267$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.00447$ 7; $\alpha(Q)=3.14\times 10^{-5}$ 5 E $_{\gamma}$, I $_{\gamma}$: from 1977Ba72 only.
143.765 2	19.11 14	185.7143	5/2 ⁻	41.9509	7/2 ⁺	E1	0.207 3	%I γ =10.93 14 $\alpha(K)=0.1615$ 23; $\alpha(L)=0.0344$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00833$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.00220$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.000503$ 7; $\alpha(P)=9.08\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(Q)=5.84\times 10^{-6}$ 9 E $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of E $_{\gamma}$ =143.768 3 (1984He12), 143.78 2 in 1974HeYW from the same lab); 143.75 5 (1977Ba72); 143.77 2 (1975Va11); 143.753 8 (1974Te03); 143.764 2 (1987Wh01 , (n, γ), curved-crystal). Other: 143.76 (1983Ba77). I $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 19.00 28 (2018Ma03), 18.80 24 (2017Le03), 19.06 35 (1996Ru11), 19.3 11 (1992Li05), 19.25 14 (1984He12), 18.0 9 in 1974HeYW , 19.50 27 (1983Ol01), 18.67 35 (1983Ba77), 18.96 35 (1982Va04), 19.4 20 (1975Va11), 19.6 20 (1974Te03) Others: 17.37 (1977Ba72); I($\gamma+ce$)(144)/I($\gamma+ce$)(186)=0.202 (1999Yu01). Measured %I γ =10.87 16 (2018Ma03 , power moderated mean (PMM) of 11.0 4, 10.64 26, 10.9 4 and 10.92 13), 10.83 14 (2017Le03), 10.9 2 (1996Ru11), 10.99 61 (1992Li05), 11.01 8 (1984He12), 10.93 15 (1983Ol01), 10.7 2 (1983Ba77), 10.9 2 (1982Va04). Other: 13.39 6 (2006Al28) is discrepant due to contribution from other activities.
147.0 ^h 150.937 20	0.128 16	387.824	7/2 ⁻	240.8785	5/2 ⁺			E $_{\gamma}$: tentative γ from coincidence data (1975Va11). %I γ =0.073 9 $\alpha(K)=2.4$ 22; $\alpha(L)=1.07$ 19; $\alpha(M)=0.28$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.074$ 18; $\alpha(O)=0.017$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.0030$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=1.4\times 10^{-4}$ 11 E $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 150.85 5 (1977Ba72), 150.94 3 (1975Va11), 150.939 20 (1974Te03), 150.96 3 (1974HeYW). Other: 150.95 (1983Ba77). I $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 0.135 16 (2018Ma03), 0.084 23 (2017Le03), 0.115 17 (1983Ba77), 0.141 19 (1975Va11), 0.14 2 (1974Te03), 0.20 5

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36,2018Ma03,1975Va11 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. $\textcolor{blue}{d}$	$\delta^{\textcolor{blue}{d}}$	α^g	Comments
163.357 2	8.87 7	205.3098	(7/2 ⁻)	41.9509	7/2 ⁺	(E1)		0.1525 22	(1974HeYW). Other: 0.129 (1977Ba72). Measured %I γ =0.076 9 (2018Ma03), 0.048 13 (2017Le03), 0.066 10 (1983Ba77).
									%I γ =5.07 7 $\alpha(K)=0.1197$ 17; $\alpha(L)=0.0248$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00599$ 9 $\alpha(N)=0.001581$ 23; $\alpha(O)=0.000364$ 5; $\alpha(P)=6.61 \times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(Q)=4.40 \times 10^{-6}$ 7
									E $_\gamma$: weighted average of 163.357 3 (1984He12, 163.363 10 in 1974HeYW from the same lab); 163.25 5 (1977Ba72); 163.36 2 (1975Va11); 163.349 9 (1974Te03); 163.358 2 (1987Wh01, (n, γ), curved-crystal). Other: 163.35 (1983Ba77).
									I $_\gamma$: weighted average of 8.99 14 (2018Ma03), 8.57 12 (2017Le03), 8.90 9 (1996Ru11), 8.77 21 (1992Li05), 8.95 7 (1984He12, 8.52 55 in 1974HeYW), 9.04 14 (1983Ol01), 8.67 17 (1983Ba77), 8.70 17 (1982Va04), 8.9 9 (1975Va11), 8.74 90 (1974Te03). Other: 7.28 (1977Ba72). Measured %I γ =5.14 8 (2018Ma03, power moderated mean (PMM) of 5.20 19, 5.15 13, 5.13 25 and 5.11 7), 4.94 7 (2017Le03), 5.09 5 (1996Ru11), 4.98 12 (1992Li05), 5.12 4 (1984He12), 5.07 8 (1983Ol01), 4.97 10 (1983Ba77), 5.0 1 (1982Va04). Other: 6.75 3 (2006Al28) is discrepant due to contribution from other activities.
173.0 10	0.020 9	377.562	(7/2) ⁺	205.3098	(7/2 ⁻)	[E1]		0.1323 19	%I γ =0.011 5 $\alpha(K)=0.1041$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.0213$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.00515$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.001359$ 20; $\alpha(O)=0.000313$ 5; $\alpha(P)=5.70 \times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(Q)=3.86 \times 10^{-6}$ 6
									E $_\gamma$: from 1975Va11. Other: 173.4 1 (1974HeYW), where uncertainty appears underestimated, as with 0.1 keV uncertainty, the fit is poor as indicated by large χ^2 in the least-squares fitting procedure.
									E $_\gamma$: weighted average of 173.0 10 (1975Va11), 173.4 1 (1974HeYW).
									I $_\gamma$: weighted average of 0.011 9 (1975Va11), 0.03 1 (1974HeYW).
^x 175.70 #& 5	0.132 [#]								%I γ =0.076
(179.297 ^e 2)	0.022 5	275.423	7/2 ⁺	96.126	9/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.25 25	3.4 4	%I γ =0.0126 29 $\alpha(K)=2.7$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.540$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.131$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.0350$ 13; $\alpha(O)=0.00825$ 24; $\alpha(P)=0.001585$ 23; $\alpha(Q)=0.000142$ 19
									E $_\gamma$, I $_\gamma$: from (n, γ) (1987Wh01), intensity from I $_\gamma(179)/I_\gamma(233)=0.40$ 8 in (n, γ).

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36,2018Ma03,1975Va11 (continued)
 $\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^d	α^g	Comments
182.1 ^h		277.60	(11/2 ⁻)	96.126	9/2 ⁺			
182.504 10	0.719 25	387.824	7/2 ⁻	205.3098	(7/2 ⁻)	[M1+E2]	2.1 13	E_γ : tentative γ , probably very weak, from coincidence data (1975Va11). %Iy=0.411 15 $\alpha(K)=1.4$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.527$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.136$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.036$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.0083$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.001503$ 22; $\alpha(Q)=7.9 \times 10^{-5}$ 64 E_γ : weighted average of 182.60 5 (1977Ba72), 182.7 2 (1975Va11), 182.65 15 (1974Te03), 182.72 20 (1974HeYW), 182.500 8 (1987Wh01, (n, γ), curved crystal). Other: 182.57 (1983Ba77). I_γ : unweighted average of 0.68 7 (2018Ma03), 0.750 25 (2017Le03), 0.75 9 (1996Ru11), 0.592 30 (1983Ba77), 0.78 17 (1975Va11), 0.74 25 (1974Te03), 0.74 7 (1974HeYW). Weighted average is 0.69 3, but reduced $\chi^2=2.95$ is larger than critical $\chi^2=2.10$. Others: 1.41 18 in 1992Li05 is too high; 0.546 (1977Ba72); $I(\gamma+ce)(183)/I(\gamma+ce)(202)=0.28$ 4, as stated in text of 1999Yu01, which disagrees with 0.45 from several other measurements. Measured %Iy=0.39 4 (2018Ma03, power moderated mean (PMM) of 0.367 15 and 0.47 13), 0.432 14 (2017Le03), 0.43 5 (1996Ru11), 0.80 10 (1992Li05), 0.339 17 (1983Ba77).
185.713 2	100.0 12	185.7143	5/2 ⁻	0.0	5/2 ⁺	E1	0.1124 16	%Iy=57.2 9 $\alpha(K)=0.0887$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.0179$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.00433$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.001142$ 16; $\alpha(O)=0.000263$ 4; $\alpha(P)=4.82 \times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(Q)=3.32 \times 10^{-6}$ 5 E_γ : weighted average of $E_\gamma=185.722$ 4 (1984He12), 185.718 10 in 1974HeYW from the same lab); 185.65 5 (1977Ba72); 185.72 2 (1975Va11); 185.712 10 (1974Te03); 185.712 1 (1987Wh01, (n, γ), curved-crystal). Other: 185.71 (1983Ba77). I_γ : weighted average of 100.0 12 (2018Ma03), 100.0 12 (2017Le03), 100.0 35 (1999Ch12), 100.00 35 (1996Ru11), 100.0 23 (1992Li05), 100.0 9 (1984He12, 100.5 in 1974HeYW), 100.0 14 (1983Ol01), 100.0 10 (1983Ba77), 100.0 16 (1982Va04). Others: 100 (1977Ba72), 100 (1975Va11), 100.0 (1974Te03). Measured %Iy=57.2 7 (2018Ma03, power moderated mean (PMM) of 58.3 21, 57.32 29, 57.6 21 and 56.6 7), 57.6 7 (2017Le03), 58 2 (1999Ch12), 57.2 2 (1996Ru11), 56.8 13 (1992Li05), 57.2 5 (1984He12), 56.1 8 (1983Ol01), 57.3 6 (1983Ba77), 57.5 9

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36, 2018Ma03, 1975Va11 (continued) $\gamma^{(231)\text{Th}}$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^d	α^g	Comments
194.942 7	1.113 23	236.893	$9/2^-$	41.9509	$7/2^+$	[E1]	0.1002 14	%I γ =0.637 15 $\alpha(K)=0.0792\ 11$; $\alpha(L)=0.01589\ 23$; $\alpha(M)=0.00383\ 6$ $\alpha(N)=0.001011\ 15$; $\alpha(O)=0.000233\ 4$; $\alpha(P)=4.28 \times 10^{-5}\ 6$; $\alpha(Q)=2.98 \times 10^{-6}\ 5$ E γ : weighted average of 194.944 7 (1984He12), 194.94 2 in 1974HeYW, same lab as 1984He12); 194.95 5 (1977Ba72); 194.94 2 (1975Va11); 194.938 10 (1974Te03). Other: 194.94 (1983Ba77).
198.927 3	0.074 5	240.8785	$5/2^+$	41.9509	$7/2^+$	M1	2.64 4	I γ : weighted average of 1.15 7 (2018Ma03), 1.178 31 (2017Le03), 1.066 35 (1996Ru11), 1.09 9 (1992Li05), 1.093 23 (1983Ba77), 1.09 11 (1975Va11), 1.06 16 (1974Te03), 1.20 10 (1974HeYW). Other: 1.17 (1977Ba72). Measured %I γ =0.66 4 (2018Ma03, power moderated mean (PMM) of 0.635 24 and 0.71 10), 0.678 18 (2017Le03), 0.61 2 (1996Ru11), 0.618 48 (1992Li05), 0.626 13 (1983Ba77). %I γ =0.042 3 $\alpha(K)=2.11\ 3$; $\alpha(L)=0.400\ 6$; $\alpha(M)=0.0963\ 14$ $\alpha(N)=0.0257\ 4$; $\alpha(O)=0.00608\ 9$; $\alpha(P)=0.001180\ 17$; $\alpha(Q)=0.0001119\ 16$ E γ : weighted average of 198.75 10 (1977Ba72), 198.88 6 (1975Va11), 198.898 15 (1974Te03), 198.91 6 (1974HeYW), 198.928 2 (1987Wh01), (n, γ , curved-crystal). Other: 198.93 (1983Ba77). I γ : weighted average of 0.077 5 (2018Ma03), 0.082 11 (1983Ba77), 0.057 9 (1975Va11), 0.08 1 (1974Te03), 0.05 2 (1974HeYW). Others: 0.286 25 in 2017Le03 seems discrepant; 0.17 for 198.75+199.6 (1977Ba72). Measured %I γ =0.044 3 (2018Ma03), 0.165 14 (2017Le03), 0.047 6 (1983Ba77). %I γ <0.10 I γ : 0.17 for 198.75+199.6.
x199.6 [#] 1	<0.17 [#]							
202.110 3	1.87 6	387.824	$7/2^-$	185.7143	$5/2^-$	[M1+E2]	1.58 95	%I γ =1.07 4 $\alpha(K)=1.09\ 94$; $\alpha(L)=0.367\ 17$; $\alpha(M)=0.0938\ 22$ $\alpha(N)=0.0251\ 7$; $\alpha(O)=0.00578\ 9$; $\alpha(P)=0.00105\ 8$; $\alpha(Q)=5.9 \times 10^{-5}\ 48$ E γ : weighted average of 202.106 6 (1984He12), 202.13 3 in 1974HeYW, from the same lab); 202.05 5 (1977Ba72); 202.12 2 (1975Va11), 202.105 12 (1974Te03); 202.111 3 (1987Wh01, (n, γ), curved crystal). Other: 202.11 (1983Ba77).

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36, 2018Ma03, 1975Va11 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^d	α^g	Comments
205.311 2	8.80 9	205.3098	(7/2 ⁻)	0.0	5/2 ⁺	(E1)	0.0887 13	<p>I_γ: unweighted average of 1.85 5 (2018Ma03), 2.062 42 (2017Le03), 1.85 7 (1996Ru11), 2.04 12 (1992Li05), 1.885 35 (1983Ba77), 1.87 19 (1975Va11), 1.88 20 (1974Te03), 1.54 10 (1974HeYW). Regular weighted average is 1.91 5, but reduced $\chi^2=4.4$ as compared to critical $\chi^2=2.0$. Other: 2.19 (1977Ba72).</p> <p>Measured %$I_\gamma=1.06$ 3 (2018Ma03, power moderated mean (PMM) of 1.07 4, 1.06 12, 1.08 11 and 1.051 24), 1.187 24 (2017Le03), 1.06 4 (1996Ru11), 1.16 7 (1992Li05), 1.08 2 (1983Ba77).</p> <p>%$I_\gamma=5.03$ 7</p> <p>$\alpha(K)=0.0703$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.01397$ 20; $\alpha(M)=0.00336$ 5</p> <p>$\alpha(N)=0.000889$ 13; $\alpha(O)=0.000205$ 3; $\alpha(P)=3.77 \times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(Q)=2.66 \times 10^{-6}$ 4</p> <p>E_γ: weighted average of 205.318 4 (1984He12), 205.311 12 in 1974HeYW from the same lab); 205.25 5 (1977Ba72); 205.31 2 (1975Va11); 205.312 10 (1974Te03); 205.309 2 (1987Wh01, (n,γ), curved-crystal). Other: 205.30 (1983Ba77).</p> <p>I_γ: weighted average of 8.81 14 (2018Ma03), 8.88 12 (2017Le03), 8.81 9 (1996Ru11), 8.77 25 (1992Li05), 8.67 9 (1984He12), 9.08 55 in 1974HeYW, 8.97 16 (1983Ol01), 8.81 9 (1983Ba77), 8.70 35 (1982Va04), 8.7 9 (1975Va11), 8.55 70 (1974Te03). Other: 9.65 (1977Ba72).</p> <p>Measured %$I_\gamma=5.04$ 8 (2018Ma03, power moderated mean (PMM) of 5.08 19, 5.06 12, 5.08 23 and 5.00 7), 5.11 7 (2017Le03), 5.04 5 (1996Ru11), 4.98 14 (1992Li05), 4.96 5 (1984He12), 5.03 9 (1983Ol01), 5.05 5 (1983Ba77), 5.0 2 (1982Va04). Other: 7.74 4 (2006Al28) is discrepant due to contribution from other activities.</p> <p>%$I_\gamma=0.0295$ 20</p> <p>$\alpha(K)=0.92$ 78; $\alpha(L)=0.29$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0750$ 23</p> <p>$\alpha(N)=0.0201$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.00463$ 25; $\alpha(P)=0.00084$ 10; $\alpha(Q)=5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ 40</p> <p>E_γ: weighted average of 215.30 10 (1977Ba72), 215.28 5 (1975Va11), 215.26 20 (1974Te03), 215.31 5 (1974HeYW).</p> <p>I_γ: weighted average of 0.0524 35 (2018Ma03), 0.050 6 (1975Va11), 0.05 1 (1974Te03), 0.050 15 (1974HeYW, 0.74 in 1971KrZH seems erroneous). Other: 0.044 (1977Ba72).</p> <p>Measured %$I_\gamma=0.030$ 2 (2018Ma03).</p> <p>%$I_\gamma=0.115$ 6</p> <p>$\alpha(K)=1.566$ 22; $\alpha(L)=0.296$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.0712$ 10</p>
215.30 5	0.0516 35	452.189	9/2 ⁻	236.893	9/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]	1.31 81	
221.392 20	0.201 11	221.392	3/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1	1.96 3	

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36,2018Ma03,1975Va11 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^d	δ^d	α^g	Comments
^x 225.7 2	0.0032 10								$\alpha(N)=0.0190\ 3; \alpha(O)=0.00450\ 7; \alpha(P)=0.000872\ 13;$ $\alpha(Q)=8.28 \times 10^{-5}\ 12$
228.785 6	0.0121 18	324.911	(9/2) ⁺	96.126	9/2 ⁺	M1		1.79 3	E_γ : weighted average of 221.40 5 (1977Ba72), 221.38 2 (1975Va11), 221.397 25 (1974Te03), 221.375 30 (1974HeYW), 221.399 1 (1987Wh01, (n, γ), curved-crystal). Other: 221.40 (1983Ba77). I_γ : weighted average of 0.192 14 (2018Ma03), 0.206 34 (2017Le03), 0.199 11 (1983Ba77), 0.204 19 (1975Va11), 0.21 5 (1974Te03), 0.22 2 (1974HeYW). Other: 0.219 (1977Ba72). Measured % I_γ =0.110 8 (2018Ma03, power moderated mean (PMM) of 0.117 5 and 0.101 10), 0.119 20 (2017Le03), 0.114 6 (1983Ba77). % I_γ =0.0018 6
233.470 3	0.058 4	275.423	7/2 ⁺	41.9509	7/2 ⁺	M1		1.688 24	E_γ, I_γ : from 1974HeYW only, I_γ =0.11 in 1971KrZH. % I_γ =0.0069 10 $\alpha(K)=1.429\ 20; \alpha(L)=0.270\ 4; \alpha(M)=0.0649\ 9$ $\alpha(N)=0.01731\ 25; \alpha(O)=0.00410\ 6; \alpha(P)=0.000796\ 12;$ $\alpha(Q)=7.55 \times 10^{-5}\ 11$
240.876 4	0.119 7	240.8785	5/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.3 3	1.45 22	E_γ : weighted average of 228.7 1 (1977Ba72), 228.78 5 (1975Va11), 228.8 1 (1974HeYW), 228.785 6 (1987Wh01, (n, γ), curved-crystal). I_γ : weighted average of 0.0117 18 (2018Ma03) and 0.015 5 (1974HeYW). Others: 0.002 (1977Ba72), 0.013 (1975Va11). Measured % I_γ =0.0067 10 (2018Ma03). % I_γ =0.0332 23 $\alpha(K)=1.350\ 19; \alpha(L)=0.255\ 4; \alpha(M)=0.0613\ 9$ $\alpha(N)=0.01636\ 23; \alpha(O)=0.00387\ 6; \alpha(P)=0.000752\ 11;$ $\alpha(Q)=7.13 \times 10^{-5}\ 10$
									E_γ : weighted average of 233.55 10 (1977Ba72), 233.50 3 (1975Va11), 233.49 3 (1974Te03), 233.53 4 (1974HeYW), 233.469 3 (1987Wh01, (n, γ), curved-crystal). Other: 233.50 (1983Ba77). I_γ : weighted average of 0.056 4 (2018Ma03), 0.051 9 (1983Ba77), 0.056 37 (1975Va11), 0.06 2 (1974Te03), 0.075 10 (1974HeYW). Other: 0.056 (1975Va11). Measured % I_γ =0.032 2 (2018Ma03), 0.029 5 (1983Ba77). % I_γ =0.068 4
									$\alpha(K)=1.14\ 21; \alpha(L)=0.228\ 13; \alpha(M)=0.0553\ 21$ $\alpha(N)=0.0148\ 6; \alpha(O)=0.00348\ 16; \alpha(P)=0.00067\ 5; \alpha(Q)=6.1 \times 10^{-5}\ 11$

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36,2018Ma03,1975Va11 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^d	δ^d	α^g	Comments
246.83 3	0.089 5	452.189	$9/2^-$	205.3098 ($7/2^-$)	[M1]	1.445 20			E_γ : weighted average of 240.87 3 (1975Va11), 240.95 4 (1974Te03), 240.93 4 (1974HeYW), 240.875 3 (1987Wh01, (n, γ), curved-crystal). Others: 240.75 5 (1977Ba72), seems discrepant, although agrees within $\approx 2\sigma$). Other: 240.89 (1983Ba77). I_γ : weighted average of 0.112 7 (2018Ma03), 0.133 11 (1983Ba77), 0.11 3 (1974Te03), 0.14 3 (1974HeYW). Others: 0.175 10 in 2017Le03 is precise but seems discrepant; 0.156 (1977Ba72); 0.148 (1975Va11). Measured % I_γ =0.064 4 (2018Ma03), 0.101 5 (2017Le03), 0.076 6 (1983Ba77).% I_γ =0.0509 29 $\alpha(K)=1.157 17$; $\alpha(L)=0.218 3$; $\alpha(M)=0.0525 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.01400 20$; $\alpha(O)=0.00331 5$; $\alpha(P)=0.000643 9$; $\alpha(Q)=6.10 \times 10^{-5} 9$
^x 251.5# 1	<0.117#								E_γ : weighted average of 246.85 5 (1977Ba72), 246.84 2 (1975Va11), 246.59 10 (1974Te03), 246.83 4 (1974HeYW). Other: 246.87 (1983Ba77). I_γ : weighted average of 0.084 5 (2018Ma03), 0.093 5 (1983Ba77), 0.08 3 (1974Te03), 0.11 3 (1974HeYW). Others: 0.15 (1975Va11), 0.117 for 246.85+251.5 (1977Ba72). Measured % I_γ =0.048 3 (2018Ma03), 0.053 3 (1983Ba77).% I_γ <0.067 I_γ : 0.117 for 246.85+251.5.
266.47 5	0.0115 18	452.189	$9/2^-$	185.7143 $5/2^-$	[E2]	0.245 4			% I_γ =0.0066 10 $\alpha(K)=0.0921 13$; $\alpha(L)=0.1121 16$; $\alpha(M)=0.0303 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.00810 12$; $\alpha(O)=0.00183 3$; $\alpha(P)=0.000312 5$; $\alpha(Q)=6.01 \times 10^{-6} 9$
275.129 2	0.041 14	317.0790	$5/2^+$	41.9509 $7/2^+$	M1+E2	0.6 1	0.84 6		E_γ : weighted average of 266.50 5 (1975Va11), 266.40 10 (1974Te03), 266.44 8 (1971KrZH). I_γ : weighted average of 0.0117 18 (2018Ma03), 0.011 3 (1974Te03). Others: 0.017 (1975Va11), 0.0093 (1971KrZH). Measured % I_γ =0.0067 10 (2018Ma03).% I_γ =0.023 8 $\alpha(K)=0.65 5$; $\alpha(L)=0.145 5$; $\alpha(M)=0.0355 10$ $\alpha(N)=0.00949 25$; $\alpha(O)=0.00223 7$; $\alpha(P)=0.000422 15$; $\alpha(Q)=3.5 \times 10^{-5} 3$
									E_γ : from (n, γ) data in 1987Wh01. Others: for 275 doublet from

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36, 2018Ma03, 1975Va11 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^d	δ^d	α^g	Comments
275.428 4	0.015 4	275.423	$7/2^+$	0.0	$5/2^+$	M1(+E2)	0.25 25	1.02 12	<p>^{235}U decay: 275.50 5 (1977Ba72), 275.24 20 (1975Va11), 275.35 15 (1974Te03), 275.4 1 (1971KrZH), I_γ: from $I_\gamma(275.129)/I_\gamma(317.062)=21.7\ 54$ in (n,γ) (1987Wh01). uncertainty of 25% is assumed in I_γ for 317.1γ by the evaluators. Others for 275γ doublet from ^{235}U decay: 0.0559 35 (2018Ma03), 0.164 9 (2017Le03), 0.09 1 (1974Te03), 0.200 (1977Ba72), 0.056 (1975Va11), ≈ 0.074 (1971KrZH). Total intensity for the 275 doublet deduced on the basis of branching ratios is 0.056 20, almost in perfect agreement with values from 2018Ma03, 1975Va11 and 1971KrZH, but in disagreement with values from 2017Le03 and 1977Ba72.</p> <p>Measured $\%I_\gamma=0.032\ 2$ (2018Ma03), 0.094 5 (2017Le03) for 275γ doublet.</p> <p>$\%I_\gamma=0.0086\ 23$</p> <p>$\alpha(K)=0.81\ 11$; $\alpha(L)=0.157\ 9$; $\alpha(M)=0.0379\ 18$ $\alpha(N)=0.0101\ 5$; $\alpha(O)=0.00239\ 13$; $\alpha(P)=0.00046\ 3$; $\alpha(Q)=4.3 \times 10^{-5}\ 6$</p> <p>$E_\gamma$: from (n,γ) data in 1987Wh01. Others: for 275 doublet from ^{235}U decay: 275.50 5 (1977Ba72), 275.24 20 (1975Va11), 275.35 15 (1974Te03), 275.4 1 (1971KrZH), I_γ: from $I_\gamma(275.428)/I_\gamma(233.469)=0.25\ 6$ in (n,γ) (1987Wh01). Others for 275γ doublet from ^{235}U decay: 0.0559 35 (2018Ma03), 0.164 9 (2017Le03), 0.09 1 (1974Te03), 0.200 (1977Ba72), 0.056 (1975Va11), ≈ 0.074 (1971KrZH). Total intensity for the 275 doublet deduced on the basis of branching ratios is 0.056 20, almost in perfect agreement with values from 2018Ma03, 1975Va11 and 1971KrZH, but in disagreement with values from 2017Le03 and 1977Ba72.</p> <p>Measured $\%I_\gamma=0.032\ 2$ (2018Ma03), 0.094 5 (2017Le03) for 275γ doublet.</p> <p>$\%I_\gamma=0.26$</p> <p>$\%I_\gamma=0.0063$</p> <p>$\alpha(K)=0.804\ 12$; $\alpha(L)=0.1515\ 22$; $\alpha(M)=0.0364\ 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.00970\ 14$; $\alpha(O)=0.00230\ 4$; $\alpha(P)=0.000446\ 7$; $\alpha(Q)=4.23 \times 10^{-5}\ 6$</p> <p>$E_\gamma$: weighted average of 281.42 5 (1975Va11), 281.441 9 (1987Wh01), (n,γ), curved-crystal).</p> <p>I_γ: from 1975Va11.</p> <p>$\%I_\gamma=0.0045\ 13$</p>
^x 279.50 #& 5	0.463 #								
281.440 9	0.011	377.562	$(7/2)^+$	96.126	$9/2^+$	M1		1.005 14	
282.92 5	0.0079 23	324.911	$(9/2)^+$	41.9509	$7/2^+$	[M1+E2]		0.60 40	

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36,2018Ma03,1975Va11 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^d	α^g	Comments
289.56 4	0.0093 ^b 17	385.68?	(5/2 ⁺ to 11/2 ⁺)	96.126	9/2 ⁺	[D,E2]	0.49 45	$\alpha(K)=0.44\ 36$; $\alpha(L)=0.119\ 31$; $\alpha(M)=0.030\ 6$ $\alpha(N)=0.0080\ 16$; $\alpha(O)=0.0019\ 5$; $\alpha(P)=3.43 \times 10^{-4}\ 97$; $\alpha(Q)=2.3 \times 10^{-5}\ 19$ E_γ : weighted average of 283.0 1 (1977Ba72), 282.92 5 (1975Va11), 283.1 2 (1971KrZH). γ not seen in (n, γ) (1987Wh01). I_γ : arithmetic mean of 0.007 (1977Ba72), 0.011 (1975Va11), 0.0056 (1971KrZH).
291.2		452.189	9/2 ⁻	162.13	11/2 ⁺			E_γ : from coincidence data in 1975Va11, intensity is not available. I_γ : 0.0093 17 (2018Ma03). Other: 0.013 (1975Va11). Measured % I_γ =0.0053 10 (2018Ma03).
291.65 3	0.064 8	387.824	7/2 ⁻	96.126	9/2 ⁺	[E1]	0.0396 6	E_γ : weighted average of 291.65 5 (1977Ba72), 291.65 3 (1975Va11), 291.58 15 (1974Te03), 291.6 1 (1971KrZH). I_γ : unweighted average of 0.0477 33 (2018Ma03), 0.0733 44 (2017Le03), 0.07 1 (1974Te03). Weighted average is 0.058 9, but reduced $\chi^2=11.6$ is too high. Others: 0.167 (1977Ba72), 0.056 (1975Va11), ≈ 0.037 (1971KrZH). Measured % I_γ =0.0273 19 (2018Ma03), 0.0422 25 (2017Le03). % I_γ =0.033
^x 294.3 ^{#&} 1	0.058 [#]							E_γ , I_γ : from 1975Va11, γ unplaced. This γ may correspond to 301.741 3 in (n, γ) (1987Wh01). % I_γ =0.0053
301.7 ^h 1	0.0093	301.70?	5/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1	0.829 12	E_γ , I_γ : from 1975Va11. Other: E_γ =311.6 6, I_γ =0.0030 (1971KrZH). % I_γ =0.0053
^x 310.69 6	0.0093							
317.063 12	0.0019	317.0790	5/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺	M1	0.723 10	E_γ , I_γ : from 1975Va11. Other: E_γ =311.6 6, I_γ =0.0030 (1971KrZH). % I_γ =0.0011 $\alpha(K)=0.579\ 9$; $\alpha(L)=0.1089\ 16$; $\alpha(M)=0.0261\ 4$

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36,2018Ma03,1975Va11 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^d	α^g	Comments
$^{x}325.80^\# 10$ 343.5 2	0.007 [#] 0.0125 ^c 11	385.68? (5/2 ⁺ to 11/2 ⁺)		41.9509 7/2 ⁺	[D,E2]	0.30 27		$\alpha(\text{N})=0.00697 10$; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.001651 24$; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000320 5$; $\alpha(\text{Q})=3.04 \times 10^{-5} 5$ E_γ : weighted average of 317.10 8 (1975Va11), and 317.062 12 (1987Wh01), (n, γ), curved-crystal spectrometer. I_γ : from 1975Va11. $\%I_\gamma=0.004$ $\%I_\gamma=0.0072 6$ E_γ : from 1975Va11. I_γ : 0.0125 11 (2017Le03). Other: 0.13 (1975Va11). Measured $\%I_\gamma=0.0072 6$ (2017Le03).
$^{x}345.4^\# 1$ 345.92 3	<0.126 [#] 0.060 8	387.824 7/2 ⁻		41.9509 7/2 ⁺	[E1]	0.0272 4		$\%I_\gamma<0.072$ I_γ : 0.126 for 345.4+345.9. $\%I_\gamma=0.034 5$ $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0219 3$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00403 6$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000964 14$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000255 4$; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.95 \times 10^{-5} 9$; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.115 \times 10^{-5} 16$; $\alpha(\text{Q})=8.81 \times 10^{-7} 13$ E_γ : weighted average of 345.90 5 (1977Ba72), 345.93 3 (1975Va11), 345.84 15 (1974Te03). Other: 345.91 (1971KrZH). I_γ : unweighted average of 0.066 5 (2018Ma03), 0.0431 32 (2017Le03), 0.07 1 (1974Te03). Regular weighted average is 0.051 8, but reduced $\chi^2=9.5$ is too high. Others: 0.13 (1975Va11), 0.126 for 345.4+345.9 (1977Ba72), 0.0030 (1971KrZH). Measured $\%I_\gamma=0.038 3$ (2018Ma03), 0.0248 18 (2017Le03). $\%I_\gamma=0.0024 8$ $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0206 3$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00377 6$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000903 13$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000239 4$; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.57 \times 10^{-5} 8$; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.046 \times 10^{-5} 15$; $\alpha(\text{Q})=8.31 \times 10^{-7} 12$ E_γ : from 1975Va11. Other: 356.0 2 (1971KrZH). I_γ : 0.0042 14 (2018Ma03). Other: 0.0093 (1975Va11). Measured $\%I_\gamma=0.0024 8$ (2018Ma03).
$^{x}368.5^\#\& 1$	<0.120 [#]							$\%I_\gamma<0.069$ I_γ : 0.120 for 368.5+371.8.
$^{x}371.8^\#\& 1$	<0.120 [#]							$\%I_\gamma<0.069$ I_γ : 0.120 for 368.5+371.8. $\%I_\gamma=0.0277 24$
387.84 3	0.0484 42	387.824 7/2 ⁻		0.0 5/2 ⁺	[E1]	0.0213 3		

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36,2018Ma03,1975Va11 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^d	α^g	Comments
^x 390.27 20	0.070 2							$\alpha(K)=0.01717\ 24; \alpha(L)=0.00312\ 5; \alpha(M)=0.000745\ 11$ $\alpha(N)=0.000197\ 3; \alpha(O)=4.60 \times 10^{-5}\ 7; \alpha(P)=8.66 \times 10^{-6}\ 13;$ $\alpha(Q)=6.99 \times 10^{-7}\ 10$ E_γ : weighted average of 387.85 10 (1977Ba72), 387.84 3 (1975Va11), 387.79 15 (1974Te03). Other: 387.85 (1971KrZH). I_γ : weighted average of 0.0455 35 (2018Ma03), 0.0488 45 (2017Le03), 0.07 1 (1974Te03). Others: 0.278 (1977Ba72), 0.015 (1975Va11). Measured % $I_\gamma=0.026\ 2$ (2018Ma03), 0.0281 26 (2017Le03). % $I_\gamma=0.0400\ 12$
410.29 4	0.0040 ^b 11	452.189	9/2 ⁻	41.9509	7/2 ⁺	[E1]	0.0189 3	E_γ, I_γ : from 1974Te03 only, placed from a 390 level, but the 233.4 γ placed by the authors from the same level is now assigned from 275 level. The assignment of this γ to ^{235}U decay is questionable as with the reported intensity in 1974Te03, it should have been seen in other studies.
(428.71 ^e 4)	~0.0024	634.05	(7/2 ⁻)	205.3098	(7/2 ⁻)	M1+E0(+E2)	0.19 13	$\alpha(K)=0.0023\ 6$ $\alpha(L)=0.01527\ 22; \alpha(M)=0.00275\ 4; \alpha(N)=0.000657\ 10$ $\alpha(O)=0.0001741\ 25; \alpha(P)=4.07 \times 10^{-5}\ 6; \alpha(Q)=7.66 \times 10^{-6}\ 11;$ $\alpha(R)=6.25 \times 10^{-7}\ 9$ E_γ : from 1975Va11. Other: 410.2 2 (1971KrZH). I_γ : 0.0040 11 (2018Ma03). Other: 0.0056 (1975Va11). Measured % $I_\gamma=0.0023\ 6$ (2018Ma03). % $I_\gamma \approx 0.0014$ $\alpha(K)=0.15\ 11; \alpha(L)=0.033\ 15; \alpha(M)=0.0082\ 32$ $\alpha(N)=0.00220\ 85; \alpha(O)=5.2 \times 10^{-4}\ 21; \alpha(P)=9.7 \times 10^{-5}\ 43;$ $\alpha(Q)=7.7 \times 10^{-6}\ 57$ E_γ, I_γ : from (n, γ) (1987Wh01). Intensity from $I_\gamma(429)/I_\gamma(448)=1.2\ 7$ in 1987Wh01. $\alpha(\text{exp})=1.33(\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.66\ 4 \text{ in (n,}\gamma\text{), 1987Wh01}).$ % $I_\gamma=0.004$ % $I_\gamma=0.0011$
^x 433.0 [#] 5 448.40 6	0.007 [#] 0.0019	634.05	(7/2 ⁻)	185.7143	5/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]	0.17 12	$\alpha(K)=0.129\ 97; \alpha(L)=0.029\ 13; \alpha(M)=0.0072\ 29$ $\alpha(N)=0.00193\ 77; \alpha(O)=4.5 \times 10^{-4}\ 19; \alpha(P)=8.5 \times 10^{-5}\ 39;$ $\alpha(Q)=6.8 \times 10^{-6}\ 50$ E_γ : weighted average of 448.40 6 (1975Va11), 448.339 18 (1987Wh01, (n, γ), curved crystal). Other: 447.5 2 (1971KrZH). I_γ : from 1975Va11. Placement based on (n, γ) (1987Wh01). % $I_\gamma=0.009$
^x 455.1 [@] 1	0.015 [@]							

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) [2005Ga36](#), [2018Ma03](#), [1975Va11](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Th})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger f}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	Comments
$^{x}517.9$ @ 2	0.00074 @		%I γ =0.00042
$^{x}742.5$ @ ^a 2	0.00074 @		%I γ =0.00042
$^{x}794.7$ @ ^a 1	0.0011 @		%I γ =0.0006

[†] The γ -ray energies have been measured using Ge(Li) or Ge detectors by [1984He12](#), [1977Ba72](#), [1975Va11](#), [1974Te03](#) and [1974HeYW](#) (also [1971KrZH](#), [1971Cl03](#)). Other: [1983Ba77](#). See comments for individual γ rays for recommended values.

[‡] The γ -ray intensities, using Ge or Ge(Li) detectors have been measured by [2018Ma03](#) (32 γ rays), [2017Le03](#) and [2021LeZZ](#) (23 γ rays), [2006Al28](#) (6 γ rays), [1999Ch12](#) (185.7 γ), [1996Ru11](#) (8 γ rays and x rays), [1992Li05](#) (8 γ rays), [1984He12](#) (5 γ rays), [1983Ol01](#) (4 γ rays), [1983Ba77](#) (18 γ rays), [1982Va04](#) (4 γ rays), [1977Ba72](#) (50 γ rays), [1975Va11](#) (42 γ rays), [1974Te03](#) (28 γ rays), [1974HeYW](#) (also [1971KrZH](#), [1971Cl03](#), 34 γ rays). Intensities in different studies were converted to relative intensities relative to 100.0 for the 185.7 γ ray. See comments for individual γ rays for recommended relative values. In [2018Ma03](#), recommended intensities for 9 γ rays are from power-moderated mean method (PMM) of collaborative measurements at different metrology labs (JRC, CIEMAT, ENEA, CMI), as listed in authors' Table 5. In [2017Le03](#), evaluators have used revised relative intensities received March 01, 2021 from the first author, in deducing recommended relative γ -ray intensities, as the published relative intensities in [2017Le03](#) were found to be inconsistent by evaluators.

[#] This γ from [1977Ba72](#) only.

[@] This γ from [1975Va11](#) only.

[&] Assignment of this γ to ^{235}U decay in [1977Ba72](#) is considered highly questionable by evaluators, as with the reported intensity in this work, it should have been detected in other studies.

^a Assignment to ^{235}U α decay is uncertain.

^b From [2018Ma03](#), relative intensity deduced by evaluators by renormalizing the absolute intensities in [2018Ma03](#) to $I_\gamma=100.0$ for the 185.7 γ .

^c Relative intensity from [2017Le03](#) (as revised in March 01, 2021 communication from the first author). Absolute intensity from this work is given in comments.

^d From the Adopted Gammas, unless otherwise stated.

^e Unobserved but expected γ ray.

^f For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.572 6.

^g Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with "Frozen Orbitals" approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^h Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{235}U α decay (7.04×10^8 y) 2005Ga36,2018Ma03,1975Va11
