

^{231}U ε decay (4.2 d) 1994Br36,1996Le01

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Jagdish K. Tuli, and Edgardo Browne		NDS 185, 560 (2022)	31-Aug-2022

Parent: ^{231}U : E=0.0; $J^\pi=(5/2^-)$; $T_{1/2}=4.2$ d I ; $Q(\varepsilon)=381.6$ 20; % ε decay=100.0

$^{231}\text{U}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From ^{231}U Adopted Levels.

$^{231}\text{U}-Q(\varepsilon)$: From 2021Wa16.

$^{231}\text{U}-\%\varepsilon$ decay: $\%\varepsilon \approx 100$ for ^{231}U ε decay.

1994Br36: ^{231}U activity was produced via the electron capture decay of ^{231}Np , which was formed in the $^{233}\text{U}(p,3n)$ reaction with 49-MeV protons. The recoiling reaction products of ^{231}Np were chemically separated from their ^{231}U daughter nuclei. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $E(\text{ce})$, $I(\text{ce})$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin using HPGe detector for γ rays, pin diode for conversion electrons. Main contaminants were ^{237}U and ^{117m}Sn . Deduced conversion coefficients, γ -ray multipolarities.

1996Le01: ^{231}U activity produced by $^{232}\text{Th}(\alpha,5n)$, E=52 MeV and mass separated. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$ using HPGe detector. Main contaminant was ^{231}Th , produced in $^{232}\text{Th}(\alpha,\alpha'n)$ reaction.

1961Ho29, 1957Ho07: data from private communications from J.M. Hollander listed in 1978LeZA (Table of Isotopes).

Evaluators' note: the decay scheme seems fairly complete, except for lack of spectral information for 9.18 and 77.69-keV γ transitions.

 ^{231}Pa Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]	Comments
0.0	$3/2^-$	32570 y 130	
9.186 20	$1/2^-$		
58.570 3	$7/2^-$	274 ps 10	
77.69 4	$5/2^-$		
84.2150 20	$5/2^+$	45.1 ns 13	
101.409 4	$7/2^+$	0.7 ns 2	$T_{1/2}$: combined for 101.4+102.3 levels (1975Ho14, ^{231}Th β^- decay).
102.2687 22	$3/2^+$	0.7 ns 2	$T_{1/2}$: combined for 101.4+102.3 levels (1975Ho14, ^{231}Th β^- decay).
174.160 5	$(5/2^-)$		
183.496 3	$5/2^+$	≤ 0.19 ns	
273.719 16	$(1/2^+)$		
317.94 5	$(3/2^+)$	0.07 ns +11-3	
320.207 22	$3/2^-$		

[†] Deduced from least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ values.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

 ε radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\varepsilon$ [†]	Log ft	Comments
(61.4 20)	320.207	0.53 10	6.3 1	$\varepsilon L=0.603$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.397$ 7
(63.7 20)	317.94	0.0028 3	8.6 1	$\varepsilon L=0.610$ 7; $\varepsilon M+=0.390$ 7
(107.9 20)	273.719	0.13 3	7.5 ^{1u} 1	$\varepsilon L=0.6777$ 16; $\varepsilon M+=0.3223$ 16
(198.1 20)	183.496	0.14 7	8.4 2	$\varepsilon K=0.460$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.384$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.1554$ 20
(207.4 20)	174.160	0.06 3	8.8 2	$\varepsilon K=0.487$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.366$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.1469$ 18
(279.3 20)	102.2687	59 23	6.2 2	$\varepsilon K=0.6073$ 21; $\varepsilon L=0.2837$ 15; $\varepsilon M+=0.1089$ 7
(297.4 20)	84.2150	44 23	6.4 2	$\varepsilon K=0.6245$ 18; $\varepsilon L=0.2719$ 12; $\varepsilon M+=0.1036$ 6
(303.9 20)	77.69	0.52 8	8.4 1	$\varepsilon K=0.6300$ 17; $\varepsilon L=0.2681$ 12; $\varepsilon M+=0.1019$ 5

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$^{231}\text{U} \varepsilon$ decay (4.2 d) 1994Br36,1996Le01 (continued) $\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$

Iy normalization: From a relative K x ray intensity of 780 28 (1994Br36), a theoretical $\varepsilon K/\varepsilon=0.59$ (1977Ba48), and a K-fluorescence yield of 0.970 4 (1996Sc06) the total number of atomic vacancies produced by the electron capture process and the Iy normalization factor become 1362 66 and 0.073 4, respectively. The total number of atomic vacancies also agrees with the total relative γ -ray transition intensity (photons plus electrons) of 1350 70 to the ground and 9.2-keV levels. This result is consistent with the absence of any appreciable electron-capture decays to these levels.

Measured energies and intensities of Pa x-rays relative to 100 for the 84.21-keV γ ray from $^{231}\text{U} \varepsilon$ decay (1994Br36):

Pa $K_{\alpha 2}$: 92.3 keV 1, relative intensity=238 14 (1994Br36).

Pa $K_{\alpha 1}$: 95.8 keV 1, relative intensity=382 22 (1994Br36).

Pa $K_{\beta 3}$: 107.5 keV 2, relative intensity=34.6 28 (1994Br36).

Pa $K_{\beta 1}$: 108.3 keV 2, relative intensity=78 6 (1994Br36).

Pa $K_{\beta 2}$: 111.4 keV 2, relative intensity=37.6 26 (1994Br36).

Pa O_{23} : 112.2 keV 2, relative intensity=9.2 12 (1994Br36).

Experimental Pa K x ray intensities: 17.5% 10 ($K\alpha_2$ x ray), 28.1% 16 ($K\alpha_1$ x ray), and 11.8% 6 ($K\beta$ x ray) compare with 17.85% 8 ($K\alpha_2$ x ray), 28.73% 12 ($K\beta$ x ray), respectively, calculated by evaluators (using the computer program RADLST) from γ -ray intensities, K-conversion coefficients and K-electron capture probabilities presented here, using a K-fluorescence yield of 0.970 4 (1996Sc06). This agreement shows that most γ rays with energies greater than 112.6 keV (the K-binding in Pa) have accurate intensities and correct multipolarities, and that K-electron capture probabilities are also correct.

$Q(\beta^-)=381.6$ keV 20 (2021Wa16) compares with a total average radiation energy of 350 keV, calculated by evaluators using the computer program RADLST. The small discrepancy between these values is probably due to low-energy γ rays (i.e., 9.2-, 17.2-, and 19.1-keV transitions) not included in the calculation because their intensities are unknown. These results support the consistency and completeness of the decay scheme.

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ^a	α^c	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^b$	Comments
(9.183)		9.186	$1/2^-$	0.0	$3/2^-$				8.8 3	E_γ : from the Adopted Gammas. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: from transition intensity balance at 9.19 level, assuming no direct ε feeding to the 9.19 level, as expected from $\Delta J^\pi=(2)$, $\Delta\pi=(\text{no})$.
(17.195 21)	≤ 0.014	101.409	$7/2^+$	84.2150	$5/2^+$	(M1)		166 3	≤ 2.3	$\%I\gamma \leq 0.0010$ $\alpha(L)=1.4$; $\alpha(M)=122.6$ 18; $\alpha(N)=32.7$ 5; $\alpha(O)=7.75$ 12; $\alpha(P)=1.505$ 22; $\alpha(Q)=0.144$ 2
(18.055 18)	$3.6^{\&} 13$	102.2687	$3/2^+$	84.2150	$5/2^+$	M1+E2	0.040 +18-0	218 28		$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: from $I(\gamma+ce) \leq 2.3$ for 82.087 γ from 183.4 level. I_γ : from $I(\gamma+ce)$ and $\alpha(\text{theory})$. $\%I\gamma=0.26$ 10 $\alpha(L)=45$ 15; $\alpha(M)=128$ 8
25.65 2	200 [@] 12	84.2150	$5/2^+$	58.570	$7/2^-$	E1		4.37 6		$\alpha(N)=34$ 2; $\alpha(O)=8.1$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.55$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=0.124$ 1 $\%I\gamma=14.6$ 12 M1/M3=0.38; M2/M3=0.61; M4/M3=0.38; M5/M3=0.38 (1961Ho29)

$^{231}\text{U} \varepsilon$ decay (4.2 d) 1994Br36,1996Le01 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$ (continued)									
E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ^a	α^c	Comments
58.5700 24	6.8@ 4	58.570	7/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	E2		155.5 22	$\alpha(L)=3.25\ 5; \alpha(M)=0.842\ 13$ $\alpha(N)=0.219\ 4; \alpha(O)=0.0471\ 7; \alpha(P)=0.00673\ 10; \alpha(Q)=0.000196\ 3$ E_γ : other: 25.65 4 (1994Br36). $\%I_\gamma=0.50\ 4$ $\alpha(L1)\exp+\alpha(L2)\exp=64\ 8; \alpha(L3)\exp=49\ 6; \alpha(M)\exp=36\ 5;$ $\alpha(N)\exp=10\ 2$ (1994Br36) $\alpha(L)=113.6\ 16; \alpha(M)=31.3\ 5$ $\alpha(N)=8.43\ 12; \alpha(O)=1.90\ 3; \alpha(P)=0.306\ 5; \alpha(Q)=0.000818\ 12$ E_γ : other: 58.55 4 (1994Br36). I_γ : 6.4 4 in 1994Br36 is adjusted by evaluators to 6.8 4 to obtain transition intensity balance at 58.57 level, with no direct ε feeding to this level. Ice(L1+L2)=205 21, Ice(L3)=156 16, Ice(M)=116 12, Ice(N)=31 3 (1994Br36). Other: 1961Ho29.
68.5 1	0.079	77.69	5/2 ⁻	9.186	1/2 ⁻	E2		73.3 10	Additional information 1. $\%I_\gamma=0.00577$ $\alpha(L)=53.5\ 8; \alpha(M)=14.76\ 21$ $\alpha(N)=3.98\ 6; \alpha(O)=0.898\ 13; \alpha(P)=0.1448\ 21; \alpha(Q)=0.000423\ 6$ Additional information 2. I_γ : from 1961Ho29, cited by 1994Br36, where I_γ is deduced from relative conversion electron intensity and α (theory) for E2.
(72.7510 29)	0.15& 8	174.160	(5/2 ⁻)	101.409	7/2 ⁺	[E1]		0.280 4	$\%I_\gamma=0.011\ 6$ $\alpha(L)=0.211\ 3; \alpha(M)=0.0517\ 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.01363\ 20; \alpha(O)=0.00310\ 5; \alpha(P)=0.000519\ 8;$ $\alpha(Q)=2.33\times 10^{-5}\ 4$
(77.69)	0.057	77.69	5/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		24 16	$\%I_\gamma=0.00416$ $\alpha(L)=18\ 12; \alpha(M)=5\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=1.3\ 9; \alpha(O)=0.30\ 20; \alpha(P)=0.05\ 3; \alpha(Q)=0.0009\ 7$ E_γ : from level-energy difference.
81.2280 21	≤ 0.32	183.496	5/2 ⁺	102.2687	3/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.00 8	7.66 20	$\%I_\gamma \leq 0.0234$ $\alpha(L)=5.78\ 14; \alpha(M)=1.40\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.374\ 11; \alpha(O)=0.0898\ 24; \alpha(P)=0.0172\ 4; \alpha(Q)=0.001422\ 22$ I_γ : from 1996Le01. Other: $I_\gamma=0.19$, Ice(L1)=0.51 (1961Ho29, cited by 1994Br36).
82.0870 22	≤ 0.26	183.496	5/2 ⁺	101.409	7/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.04 6	7.47 23	$\%I_\gamma \leq 0.019$ $\alpha(L)=5.63\ 17; \alpha(M)=1.36\ 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.365\ 12; \alpha(O)=0.088\ 3; \alpha(P)=0.0167\ 5; \alpha(Q)=0.001377\ 22$ I_γ : from 1996Le01. Other: $I_\gamma=0.26$, Ice(L1)=0.73 (1961Ho29, cited by 1994Br36).

$^{231}\text{U} \varepsilon$ decay (4.2 d) 1994Br36,1996Le01 (continued) $\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ^a	α^c	Comments
84.2140 22	100@ 6	84.2150	5/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁻	E1		2.17 21	%I γ =7.3 6 $\alpha(L1)\exp+\alpha(L2)\exp=1.7$ 1; $\alpha(M)\exp=0.48$ 4; $\alpha(N)\exp=0.34$ 3 (1994Br36) $\alpha(M1)\exp=0.34$; $\alpha(M2)\exp=0.21$; $\alpha(M3)\exp=0.009$; $\alpha(N1)\exp=0.17$ (1961Ho29) E γ : other: 84.23 7 (1994Br36). Ice(L1+L2)=83 1, Ice(M)=24 1, Ice(N)=17 1 (1994Br36). Other: 1961Ho29. Additional information 3. Total conversion coefficient from ce data in $^{231}\text{Th} \beta^-$ decay. Other: 2.6 1 from summed conversion coefficients in 1994Br36.
89.95 2	0.58# 32	174.160	(5/2 ⁻)	84.2150	5/2 ⁺	(E1)		0.1598 23	%I γ =0.042 23 $\alpha(L)=0.1205$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.0294$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.00777$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.001782$ 25; $\alpha(P)=0.000304$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=1.467\times 10^{-5}$ 21
(93.02 4)	1.8& 2	102.2687	3/2 ⁺	9.186	1/2 ⁻	(E1)		0.1463 21	%I γ =0.131 16 $\alpha(L)=0.1103$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.0269$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.00711$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.001633$ 23; $\alpha(P)=0.000279$ 4; $\alpha(Q)=1.363\times 10^{-5}$ 20
(99.2780 36)	≤ 0.050 &	183.496	5/2 ⁺	84.2150	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.35 7	5.2 4	%I γ ≤ 0.00365 $\alpha(L)=3.9$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.97$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.261$ 20; $\alpha(O)=0.062$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.0113$ 7; $\alpha(Q)=0.00072$ 3
102.2700 24	17.2@ 10	102.2687	3/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁻	(E1)		0.1141 16	%I γ =1.26 10 $\alpha(L)=0.0860$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.0209$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.00554$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.001275$ 19; $\alpha(P)=0.000220$ 4; $\alpha(Q)=1.106\times 10^{-5}$ 16 E γ : other: 102.3 1 (1994Br36).
136.75 7	0.57# 5	320.207	3/2 ⁻	183.496	5/2 ⁺	[E1]		0.237 3	%I γ =0.042 4 $\alpha(K)=0.184$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.0404$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00981$ 14 $\alpha(N)=0.00260$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.000603$ 9; $\alpha(P)=0.0001061$ 15; $\alpha(Q)=5.83\times 10^{-6}$ 9
171.43# 3	0.200# 16	273.719	(1/2 ⁺)	102.2687	3/2 ⁺	[M1+E2]		2.8 16	%I γ =0.0146 14 $\alpha(K)=1.8$ 17; $\alpha(L)=0.72$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.19$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.051$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.0118$ 14; $\alpha(P)=0.00207$ 8; $\alpha(Q)=9.E-5$ 8
(189.5)	≤ 0.0053 #	273.719	(1/2 ⁺)	84.2150	5/2 ⁺				%I γ ≤ 0.00039 E γ : from level-energy difference.

²³¹U ε decay (4.2 d) 1994Br36,1996Le01 (continued)

<u>$\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$ (continued)</u>									
E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	$\delta^{\textcolor{blue}{a}}$	$\alpha^{\textcolor{blue}{c}}$	Comments
(196.0)	$\leq 0.0053^{\#}$	273.719	$(1/2^+)$	77.69	$5/2^-$				% $I_\gamma \leq 0.00039$ γ to $5/2^-$ is unlikely. E_γ : from level-energy difference.
217.94 3	4.1 <i>II</i>	320.207	$3/2^-$	102.2687	$3/2^+$	[E1]		0.0789 <i>II</i>	% $I_\gamma = 0.30\ 8$ $\alpha(K)=0.0624\ 9$; $\alpha(L)=0.01249\ 18$; $\alpha(M)=0.00301\ 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.000801\ 12$; $\alpha(O)=0.000188\ 3$; $\alpha(P)=3.39 \times 10^{-5}\ 5$; $\alpha(Q)=2.10 \times 10^{-6}\ 3$ E_γ : other: 217.9 2 (1994Br36). Energy is from 1994Br36. I_γ is a unweighted average of discrepant values: 5.26 3 (1996Le01) and 3.0 4 (1994Br36).
236.04 6	1.22 [#] 6	320.207	$3/2^-$	84.2150	$5/2^+$	[E1]		0.0657 9	% $I_\gamma = 0.089\ 7$ $\alpha(K)=0.0521\ 8$; $\alpha(L)=0.01028\ 15$; $\alpha(M)=0.00248\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.000659\ 10$; $\alpha(O)=0.0001545\ 22$; $\alpha(P)=2.80 \times 10^{-5}\ 4$; $\alpha(Q)=1.770 \times 10^{-6}\ 25$
240.27 5	0.0132 [#] 16	317.94	$(3/2^+)$	77.69	$5/2^-$	[E1]		0.0630 9	% $I_\gamma = 0.00096\ 13$ $\alpha(K)=0.0500\ 7$; $\alpha(L)=0.00984\ 14$; $\alpha(M)=0.00237\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.000631\ 9$; $\alpha(O)=0.0001480\ 21$; $\alpha(P)=2.68 \times 10^{-5}\ 4$; $\alpha(Q)=1.703 \times 10^{-6}\ 24$ E_γ : other: 240.3 2 (1996Le01).
242.51 4	0.111 [#] 11	320.207	$3/2^-$	77.69	$5/2^-$	[M1+E2]		1.01 66	% $I_\gamma = 0.0081\ 9$ $\alpha(K)=0.72\ 6I$; $\alpha(L)=0.22\ 4$; $\alpha(M)=0.055\ 7$ $\alpha(N)=0.0147\ 17$; $\alpha(O)=0.0035\ 5$; $\alpha(P)=0.00062\ 13$; $\alpha(Q)=3.5 \times 10^{-5}\ 28$
264.55 [#] 2	0.279 [#] 26	273.719	$(1/2^+)$	9.186	$1/2^-$	[E1]		0.0506 7	% $I_\gamma = 0.0204\ 22$ $\alpha(K)=0.0403\ 6$; $\alpha(L)=0.00781\ 11$; $\alpha(M)=0.00188\ 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.000500\ 7$; $\alpha(O)=0.0001176\ 17$; $\alpha(P)=2.14 \times 10^{-5}\ 3$; $\alpha(Q)=1.389 \times 10^{-6}\ 20$
273.71 [#] 2	0.621 [#] 32	273.719	$(1/2^+)$	0.0	$3/2^-$	[E1]		0.0469 7	% $I_\gamma = 0.0453\ 34$ $\alpha(K)=0.0373\ 6$; $\alpha(L)=0.00721\ 10$; $\alpha(M)=0.001735\ 25$ $\alpha(N)=0.000461\ 7$; $\alpha(O)=0.0001085\ 16$; $\alpha(P)=1.98 \times 10^{-5}\ 3$; $\alpha(Q)=1.292 \times 10^{-6}\ 18$
308.78 7	0.0195 [#] 21	317.94	$(3/2^+)$	9.186	$1/2^-$	[E1]		0.0358 5	% $I_\gamma = 0.00142\ 17$ $\alpha(K)=0.0287\ 4$; $\alpha(L)=0.00544\ 8$; $\alpha(M)=0.001307\ 19$ $\alpha(N)=0.000348\ 5$; $\alpha(O)=8.19 \times 10^{-5}\ 12$; $\alpha(P)=1.501 \times 10^{-5}\ 22$; $\alpha(Q)=1.006 \times 10^{-6}\ 15$ E_γ : other: 308.7 2 (1996Le01).
311.00 5	0.37 [#] 5	320.207	$3/2^-$	9.186	$1/2^-$	M1(+E2)	0.7 9	0.61 27	% $I_\gamma = 0.027\ 4$ $\alpha(K)=0.47\ 24$; $\alpha(L)=0.107\ 24$; $\alpha(M)=0.026\ 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.0071\ 14$; $\alpha(O)=0.0017\ 4$; $\alpha(P)=0.00031\ 8$; $\alpha(Q)=2.2 \times 10^{-5}\ 11$

²³¹₉₁Pa₁₄₀-5

From ENSDF

²³¹₉₁Pa₁₄₀-5

^{231}U ε decay (4.2 d) 1994Br36,1996Le01 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$ (continued)								
E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger b}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	α^c	Comments
317.87 8	0.0042# 16	317.94	(3/2 ⁺)	0.0	3/2 ⁻	[E1]	0.0336 5	%I γ =0.00031 12 $\alpha(K)=0.0269$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.00509$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.001223$ 18 $\alpha(N)=0.000325$ 5; $\alpha(O)=7.67\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(P)=1.406\times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(Q)=9.48\times 10^{-7}$ 14 E_γ : other: 317.7 3 (1996Le01).
320.15 8	0.0158 16	320.207	3/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]	0.46 32	%I γ =0.00115 13 $\alpha(K)=0.34$ 28; $\alpha(L)=0.088$ 29; $\alpha(M)=0.0221$ 61 $\alpha(N)=0.0059$ 17; $\alpha(O)=0.00140$ 41; $\alpha(P)=2.57\times 10^{-4}$ 89; $\alpha(Q)=1.6\times 10^{-5}$ 13

[†] From the Adopted Gammas, which are mostly from ^{231}Th β^- decay, unless otherwise indicated.

[‡] Relative intensities listed in 1994Br36 and 1996Le01 are normalized to 100 for the 84.21-keV γ ray from a level of this energy.

[#] From 1996Le01.

[@] From 1994Br36.

[&] Deduced from the γ -branching ratios in Adopted Gammas, which are mostly from ^{231}Th β^- decay.

^a From the Adopted Gammas, unless otherwise stated.

^b For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.073 4.

^c Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

$^{231}\text{U} \varepsilon$ decay (4.2 d) 1994Br36,1996Le01