

[231Th  \$\beta^-\$  decay \(25.57 h\)](#)    [1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Jagdish K. Tuli, and Edgardo Browne		NDS 185, 560 (2022)	31-Aug-2022

Parent:  $^{231}\text{Th}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=5/2^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=25.57$  h 8;  $Q(\beta^-)=391.5$  15;  $\% \beta^-$  decay=100.0

$^{231}\text{Th}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$ : From  $^{231}\text{Th}$  Adopted Levels, Gammas.

$^{231}\text{Th}-Q(\beta^-)$ : From [2021Wa16](#).

[1999Ch12](#) (also [1992Ch23](#)): measured relative  $\gamma$ -intensities of 52  $\gamma$  rays, including absolute intensity of 84-keV  $\gamma$  ray.

[1977Ba72](#): measured  $E\gamma$  and  $I\gamma$  for 52  $\gamma$  rays; Ge, Ge(Li) detectors.

[1975Ho14](#): measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, x rays, ce, level half-lives by  $\gamma(\text{ce})(t)$ ,  $E\beta$ ,  $(217.9\gamma)(102.3\gamma)(\theta)$  using Ge(Li) and NaI(Tl) detectors for  $\gamma$  and x rays. The conversion electrons were detected using Aarhus six-gap  $\beta$ -ray spectrometer, and with a Si(Li) detector in a zero-dispersion magnetic  $\beta$ -ray spectrometer. The  $\beta$  spectrum was measured using the Swierk zero-dispersion  $\beta$ -ray spectrometer. Total of 45  $\gamma$  rays were reported. Conversion coefficients were measured for 14  $\gamma$  rays up to 301 keV. Authors give absolute (per 100 decays of the parent) values for the intensities of  $\gamma$  rays and conversion electrons from the given decay scheme and associated intensity balances, by assigning  $I\beta$ (to g.s. and 9.2 level)=0.022% 7.

[1973Br12](#) (also [1970BrZX](#), [1969Br12](#)): measured  $E\gamma$  and  $I\gamma$  for 41  $\gamma$  rays, and  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin.

Other measurements for selected  $\gamma$  rays:

[2017Le03](#): measured absolute and relative intensities of nine  $\gamma$  rays, and K- and L-x rays by first measuring source activity by  $\alpha$ -counting method. Values compared with those in the DDEP evaluation ([2010BeZQ](#)). Corrected relative-intensity data received March 5, 2021 from the first author of [2017Le03](#) (from M.-C. Lépy as a private communication, as the evaluators enquired about some issues with the published values).

[1984He12](#): measured  $E\gamma$  values for 25.5 and 84.2  $\gamma$  rays, and  $\% I\gamma$  for the 84.2 $\gamma$ .

[1982Va04](#): measured absolute intensities of 25.5 and 84.2  $\gamma$  rays.

[1975Va11](#): measured energies and intensities of x rays and 25.65 $\gamma$ .

[1973Te06](#): measured  $E\gamma$  and  $I\gamma$  for ten  $\gamma$  rays.

[1971Ko48](#): measured relative  $\gamma$ -ray intensities for 11  $\gamma$  rays.

[1969To07](#): measured  $(89.9\gamma+93.0\gamma)(\text{ce} 84.2\gamma)(\theta)$ .

[1967Ba69](#), [1966Ca18](#), [1966Gi02](#), [1966TrZZ](#): measured ce for 25.6, 58.5 and 84.2  $\gamma$  rays.

[1966CaZZ](#): measured  $E\beta$ ,  $I\beta$ , ce.

[1966PeZZ](#): measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ .

[1961Ho29](#), [1957Ho07](#): data from private communications from J.M. Hollander listed in [1978LeZA](#).

[1960Ba57](#): measured  $E\beta$ ,  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, ce.

[1960As02](#): measured  $\% I\gamma$  and ce for 25.6 and 84.2 transitions.

[1957Ju30](#): measured  $E\beta$ ,  $I\beta$ .

[1956Ph61](#): measured  $E\gamma$  of 25.6-keV transition, half-life of  $^{231}\text{Th}$  decay, production  $\sigma$  in  $^{232}\text{Th}(n,2n),E(n)=14$  MeV reaction.

[1953Fr37](#): measured  $E\beta$ ,  $I\beta$ ,  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ .

Other  $E\beta$ ,  $I\beta$ , and ce measurements: [1963Na06](#), [1956Mi25](#), [1951St41](#), [1951Ja17](#), [1949Kn09](#).

Evaluators' note about the decay scheme: spectral information for a few low-energy transitions (9.18, 17.19, 44.08, 53.2 keV) is limited and makes the decay scheme somewhat incomplete in that respect.

[231Pa Levels](#)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>#</sup>	Comments
0.0 <sup>@</sup>	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	32570 y 130	$T_{1/2}$ : from the Adopted Levels.
9.21 <sup>@</sup> 3	1/2 <sup>-</sup>		
58.570 <sup>@</sup> 3	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	274 ps 10	$T_{1/2}$ : measured by <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> from $(25.6\gamma)(\text{ce}(L))$ for $58.6\gamma(t)$ .
77.694 <sup>@</sup> 17	5/2 <sup>-</sup>		
84.2153 <sup>&amp;</sup> 20	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	45.1 ns 13	$T_{1/2}$ : from <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> ( $\beta(84\gamma \text{ ce})(t)$ ). Others: 43.7 ns 5 ( <a href="#">1972Mc29</a> , a time interval distribution method); 41 ns 4 ( <a href="#">1955St88</a> , $(25.6\gamma)\beta(t)$ and $(84.2\gamma)\beta(t)$ ). There could be an unobserved 6.5-keV $\gamma$ from the $5/2^+$ , 84.2 level to the 77.7 level. See discussion in <a href="#">1973Br12</a> about intensity estimate for such a transition. Calculated wave function amplitudes ( <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> ): 0.786 $\pi 3/2[651]$ , 0.598 $\pi 5/2[642]$ .

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{231}\text{Th} \beta^-$  decay (25.57 h)    **1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12 (continued)** $^{231}\text{Pa}$  Levels (continued)

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>#</sup>	Comments
101.408 <sup>&amp;</sup> 4	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.7 ns 2	T <sub>1/2</sub> : combined half-life for 101.4+102.3 levels, measured by <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> from (ce(M) for 81.2 $\gamma$ +82.1 $\gamma$ (ce for 25.6 $\gamma$ +18.1 $\gamma$ +17.2 $\gamma$ )(t). Calculated wave function amplitudes ( <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> ): 0.753 $\pi$ 3/2[651], 0.647 $\pi$ 5/2[642].
102.2685 <sup>&amp;</sup> 21	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.7 ns 2	T <sub>1/2</sub> : combined half-life for 101.4+102.3 levels, measured by <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> from (ce(M) for 81.2 $\gamma$ +82.1 $\gamma$ (ce for 25.6 $\gamma$ +18.1 $\gamma$ +17.2 $\gamma$ )(t). Calculated wave function amplitude ( <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> ): 0.996 $\pi$ 3/2[651].
111.648 <sup>&amp;</sup> 12	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )		Calculated wave function amplitudes ( <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> ): 0.753 $\pi$ 3/2[651], 0.600 $\pi$ 5/2[642].
174.160 5	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )		Proposed configuration= $\pi$ 5/2[523] ( <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> ).
183.4955 <sup>a</sup> 25	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	$\leq$ 0.19 ns	T <sub>1/2</sub> : measured by <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> from $\beta$ (ce(M) for 81.2 $\gamma$ +82.1 $\gamma$ )(t). Calculated wave function amplitude ( <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> ): 0.801 $\pi$ 5/2[642].
218.244 13	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )		
247.320 <sup>a</sup> 6	7/2 <sup>+</sup>		Calculated wave function amplitude ( <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> ): 0.758 $\pi$ 5/2[642].
317.95 4	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.07 ns +11-3	T <sub>1/2</sub> : from the Adopted Levels, where it was deduced from Coulomb excitation data. J <sup>π</sup> : 3/2 based on $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ , A <sub>2</sub> =+0.27 7 ( <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> ). Proposed configuration= $\pi$ 3/2[532] or $\pi$ 3/2[521] ( <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> ).
320.211 20	3/2 <sup>-</sup>		
351.86 4	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )		

<sup>†</sup> Deduced from least-squares fit to E $\gamma$  values.<sup>‡</sup> From the Adopted Levels.# For excited states, values are from [1975Ho14](#) in delayed coincidence experiments as detailed in comments. The same values are given in the Adopted Levels.@ Proposed member of configuration= $\pi$ 1/2[530] ([1973Br12](#)).& Proposed member of dominant configuration= $\pi$ 3/2[651] ([1973Br12](#)).<sup>a</sup> Proposed member of dominant configuration= $\pi$ 5/2[642] ([1973Br12](#)). $\beta^-$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\beta^-$ <sup>†‡</sup>	Log ft	Comments
(39.6 15)	351.86	0.0033 2	7.31 6	av E $\beta$ =10.03 41
(71.3 15)	320.211	0.067 2	6.78 3	av E $\beta$ =18.29 40
(73.5 15)	317.95	0.00088 7	8.70 5	av E $\beta$ =18.89 40
(144.2 15)	247.320	2.9 5	6.1 1	av E $\beta$ =38.05 42
(173.3 15)	218.244	0.34 24	7.3 3	av E $\beta$ =46.20 44
(208.0 15)	183.4955	11.4 6	6.0 3	av E $\beta$ =56.12 44
(217.3 15)	174.160	1.35 24	7.0 1	av E $\beta$ =58.82 44
(289.2 15)	102.2685	14.8	6.3 3	av E $\beta$ =80.08 46
(290.1 15)	101.408	42.16	5.9 2	av E $\beta$ =80.34 46
305 2	84.2153	25.18	6.2 4	av E $\beta$ =85.54 46
(313.8 15)	77.694	0.53 8	7.9 1	av E $\beta$ =87.52 46
				If a 6.5-keV $\gamma$ from the 5/2 <sup>+</sup> , 84.2 level to the 77.7 level exists, it would decrease the $\beta$ feeding. See discussion in <a href="#">1973Br12</a> about intensity estimate for such a transition.
(332.9 <sup>#</sup> 15)	58.570	<0.33	>8.2	av E $\beta$ =93.37 47 I $\beta^-$ : determined from $\beta^-$ Kurie plot ( <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> ). From the present level scheme I $\beta$ =-1 3, consistent with almost no $\beta$ feeding to the 58.5 level.
(382.3 15)	9.21	<0.022	>9.4 <sup>1u</sup>	av E $\beta$ =115.13 46 I( $\beta^-$ feeding to g.s. and 9.2 level)=0.022% 7 ( <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> ), estimated from $\beta^-$ spectrum and Kurie plot.
(391.5 15)	0.0	<0.022	>9.6	av E $\beta$ =111.60 48 I( $\beta^-$ feeding to g.s. and 9.2 level)=0.022% 7 ( <a href="#">1975Ho14</a> ), estimated from $\beta^-$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 $^{231}\text{Th} \beta^-$  decay (25.57 h)    1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12 (continued) $\beta^-$  radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	Comments
		spectrum and Kurie plot. From the present level scheme $I\beta=3/4$ , consistent with almost no $\beta$ feeding to the g.s.

<sup>†</sup> From  $\gamma$ -ray transition intensity balance, unless stated otherwise.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>#</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

<sup>231</sup><sub>91</sub>Th  $\beta^-$  decay (25.57 h)    [1999Ch12](#), [1975Ho14](#), [1973Br12](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$ 

Iy normalization: From %Iy(84 $\gamma$ )=6.79 10; weighted average of measured values of 6.98 36 ([2017Le03](#)), 6.60 25 ([1999Ch12](#)), 6.84 10 ([1984He12](#)), 6.6 3 ([1982Va04](#)). Other: 0.0694 20 from intensity balance in the present decay scheme, using measured  $I\beta(\text{g.s.}+9.2 \text{ level})=0.022\% 7$  ([1975Ho14](#)) is consistent with  $\gamma$ -normalization factor of 0.0680 10 from the adopted value of %Iy(84 $\gamma$ ) from several directly measured values.

Measured intensities of x rays ([2017Le03](#)), absolute intensities (per 100 decays of <sup>231</sup>Th) are determined from %Iy=0.576 7, for the 185.72-keV transition in <sup>235</sup>U decay:

Pa L<sub>1</sub> x-rays: 1.663 38 (absolute).

Pa L<sub>2</sub> x-rays: 29.4 6 (absolute).

Pa L<sub>3</sub> x-rays: 28.8 6 (absolute).

Pa L<sub>4</sub> x-rays: 4.93 11 (absolute).

Pa total L x-rays: 64.8 14 (absolute).

Pa K<sub>α2</sub> x-rays: 0.231 12 (absolute).

Pa K<sub>β'1</sub> x-ray (108.36 $\gamma$  in priv comm): 0.116 6 (absolute).

Experimental Pa K x ray intensities: 0.38 3 (K<sub>α2</sub> x ray), 0.64 4 (K<sub>α1</sub> x ray), and 0.23 2 (K<sub>β</sub> x ray) (weighted averages of values from [1999Ch12](#) and [1973Br12](#)) compare with 0.36 4 (K<sub>α2</sub> x ray), 0.58 7 (K<sub>α1</sub> x ray), and 0.28 4 (K<sub>β</sub> x ray), respectively, calculated by evaluators (using the computer code RADLST) from  $\gamma$ -ray intensities and K-conversion coefficients presented here, and using a K-fluorescence yield of 0.970 4 ([1996Sc06](#)). This agreement shows that most  $\gamma$  rays with energies greater than 112.6 keV (the K-binding energy in Pa) have accurate intensities and correct multipolarities.

The  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin information is from [1973Br12](#).

Q( $\beta^-$ )=391.5 15 ([2021Wa16](#)) compares with a total average radiation energy of 377 keV, calculated by evaluators using the computer program RADLST, and separately adding the contribution (17 keV) from low-energy strongly converted  $\gamma$ -ray transitions of 9.2-, 10.2-, 17.2-, 18.0-, and 19.1 keV. These results support the consistency and completeness of the decay scheme.

Experimental conversion coefficients are from ce data in [1975Ho14](#), with values listed in Table 2, adjusted by evaluators for revised  $\alpha(L3)(\text{theory})$ (for 58.6 $\gamma$ )=47.0 instead of 50.9 in [1975Ho14](#) and for revised adopted %Iy values. For the 25.6 and 84.2 transitions, ce data from [1960As02](#) and other authors are also listed.

[1973Br12](#) discussed a possible 19.1-keV transition from 77.7 level to the 58.6 level, based on a reported electron line observed by [1960Ba57](#) corresponding to a 19.8-keV  $\gamma$  ray, but no electron intensity was given in this work. [1973Br12](#) also mentioned that unassigned conversion lines in [1961Ho29](#) (an unpublished work) could be assigned to M1, O1 and N1 lines of a 19.14-keV transition, and deduced limits >0.5% if the M1 line was correctly assigned, and >4.5% if O1 was correctly assigned, and <16% from intensity balance in the decay scheme. No such internal conversion line was reported by [1975Ho14](#), based on which, evaluators have not included this transition in the present decay scheme or the Adopted dataset. In [2013Br04](#) evaluation, a theoretical I( $\gamma$ +ce)=3.7, relative to Iy(84 $\gamma$ )=100 was reported from Coulomb excitation work of [1992De51](#). As the branching ratio cited in [1992De51](#) is highly model dependent, and as no firm experimental evidence for this transition is available, evaluators have discarded this transition.

Following  $\gamma$  rays with E $\gamma$ (I $\gamma$ ) reported by [1977Ba72](#) only are not confirmed in other studies: 26.55 5 (8.32 9), 29.30 5 (0.55 2), 32.73 5 (1.121 3), 33.32 5 (0.455 19), 38.90 5 (1.71 4), 41.55 5 (0.250 14), 42.22 5 (0.796 25), 45.34 5 (0.527 20), 82.02 5 (4.68 7), 85.80 5 (0.089 9), 97.55 5 (0.353 20), 99.33 5 (1.56 4), 173.0 1 (0.704 21 for a doublet), 216.0 and 217.6 (0.96 3 for a triplet), 224.1 1 (0.137 11), 237.8 1 (1.47 3 for a doublet), 312.30 25.

$^{231}\text{Th} \beta^-$  decay (25.57 h) 1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12 (continued)

<u><math>\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})</math> (continued)</u>												
$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ # <sup>a</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>@</sup>	$\delta$	$\alpha$ <sup>b</sup>	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ <sup>a</sup>	Comments		
(9.183)		9.21	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>				7.6 4			
17.195 21	4.1 14	101.408	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	84.2153	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1)	166 3	68×10 <sup>1</sup> 23	% $I_\gamma=0.28$ 10 $\alpha(L)=1.4$ ; $\alpha(M)=122.6$ 18; $\alpha(N)=32.7$ 5; $\alpha(O)=7.75$ 12; $\alpha(P)=1.505$ 22; $\alpha(Q)=0.144$ 2			
18.055 18	1.4 5	102.2685	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	84.2153	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	0.040 +18-0	218 28	31×10 <sup>1</sup> 11	$I_\gamma$ : 4.1 14 from $I(\gamma+ce)$ and $\alpha$ . $E_\gamma$ : from level-energy difference. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : 44 15 per 100 decays (1975Ho14), from ce and x-ray data, converted to intensity relative to 100 for $I_\gamma(84.2\gamma)$ . $\alpha$ : assuming 0.1 keV uncertainty in $E_\gamma$ . % $I_\gamma=0.095$ 34 $\alpha(M3)\exp\geq 8$ (1975Ho14) $\alpha(L)=45$ 15; $\alpha(M)=128$ 8 $\alpha(N)=34$ 2; $\alpha(O)=8.1$ 4; $\alpha(P)=1.55$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=0.124$ 1		
25.65 2	202 3	84.2153	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	58.570	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1	4.37 6	% $I_\gamma=13.74$ 29 $\alpha(L3)\exp=1.6$ 3; $\alpha(M1)\exp+\alpha(M2)\exp=0.44$ 6; $\alpha(M3)\exp=0.32$ 5; $\alpha(M4)\exp+\alpha(M5)\exp=0.17$ 4; $\alpha(N)\exp=0.25$ 4 $\alpha(O)\exp=0.05$ 2 $L12/L3=1.1$ 2; $L2/L1=2.2$ 2; $L3/L1=3.0$ 3 (1966Ca18) $M1/M3=0.50$ 1; $M2/M3=0.80$ 1; $M4/M3=0.27$ 3; $M5/M3=0.36$ 3 (1966Ca18) $\alpha(\exp)=4.8$ 10 (1960As02) $M1/M3=0.45$ ; $M2/M3=0.83$ ; $M4/M3=0.23$ ; $M5/M3=0.22$ (1961Ho29)				

$^{231}\text{Th} \beta^-$  decay (25.57 h) 1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12 (continued) $\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\#a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>@</sup>	$a^b$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\#a}$	Comments
42.81 5	0.89 2	101.408	$7/2^+$	58.570	$7/2^-$	[E1]	1.136 16		M1/M3=0.69; M2/M3=0.74; M4/M3=0.3; M5/M3=0.3 (1957Ju30) $\alpha(L)=3.26\ 5$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.843\ 12$ $\alpha(N)=0.219\ 4$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.0471\ 7$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.00673\ 10$ ; $\alpha(Q)=0.000196\ 3$ $E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 25.64 5 (1977Ba72), 25.65 2 (1975Va11), 25.64 2 (1975Ho14). Others: 25.509 9 (1984He12; fits poorly in the decay scheme); 25.6 1 (1956Ph61). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 202 20 (1973Br12), 228 15 (1975Ho14), 210 10 (1999Ch12), 200.3 26 (2017Le03). Other: 331.9 6 (1977Ba72). Measured % $I_\gamma=13.95\ 18$ (2017Le03), 14.5 3 (1982Va04), 12.5 2 (1960As02). Other: % $I_\gamma=5.8\ 6$ , relative to % $I_\gamma=54\%$ for $185.7\gamma$ from the decay of $^{235}\text{U}$ (1975Va11). $I(\text{ce})/100$ decays: $I(\text{ce},L)=23.6$ , $I(\text{ce},M1+M2)=6.6$ , $I(\text{ce},M3)=5.0$ , $I(\text{ce},M4+M5)=2.6$ , $I(\text{ce},N)=3.9$ , $I(\text{ce},O)=0.7$ (1975Ho14). M-subshell ratios are quoted by 1960As02 from 1957Ho07 also 1961Ho29) (first set from $^{231}\text{Th}$ , second set from $^{231}\text{U}$ ) and from 1957Ju30 (from $^{231}\text{Th}$ decay). % $I_\gamma=0.0605\ 16$ $\alpha(L)=0.854\ 13$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.213\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.0558\ 9$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.01240\ 19$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.00194\ 3$ ; $\alpha(Q)=7.08\times10^{-5}\ 11$ $E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 42.80 5 (1977Ba72), 42.86 7 (1975Ho14), 42.80 6 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.87 10 (1973Br12), 0.89 6 (1975Ho14), 0.89 2 (1999Ch12). Other: 0.469 19 (1977Ba72). % $I_\gamma=0.00075\ 27$ $\alpha(L)=2.4\times10^2\ 21$ ; $\alpha(M)=66\ 58$ $\alpha(N)=18\ 16$ ; $\alpha(O)=4.0\ 35$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.65\ 55$ ; $\alpha(Q)=0.0057\ 29$ $E_\gamma$ : other: 44.1 3 (1973Br12, from $\gamma\gamma$ -coin). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.06 4 (1973Br12), 0.011 3 (1975Ho14). $\alpha(L)=0.483\ 21$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.119\ 6$ $\alpha(N)=0.0313\ 14$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.0070\ 3$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.00114\ 5$ ; $\alpha(Q)=4.53\times10^{-5}\ 16$ $E_\gamma$ : from the Adopted Gammas. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : from intensity balance. % $I_\gamma=0.471\ 14$
44.08 <sup>†</sup> 17	0.011 4	218.244	$(7/2^-)$	174.160	$(5/2^-)$	[M1+E2]	$3.3\times10^2$ 29		
53.2 8		111.648	$(9/2^+)$	58.570	$7/2^-$	[E1]	0.64 3	11.6 13	$\alpha(L)=0.483\ 21$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.119\ 6$ $\alpha(N)=0.0313\ 14$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.0070\ 3$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.00114\ 5$ ; $\alpha(Q)=4.53\times10^{-5}\ 16$ $E_\gamma$ : from the Adopted Gammas. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : from intensity balance.
58.5700 <sup>‡</sup> 27	6.93 20	58.570	$7/2^-$	0.0	$3/2^-$	E2	155.5 22		% $I_\gamma=0.471\ 14$

$^{231}\text{Th } \beta^-$  decay (25.57 h) 1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12 (continued) $\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\#a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>@</sup>	$\delta$	$\alpha^b$	Comments
63.86 <sup>†</sup> 3	0.34 6	247.320	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	183.4955	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	0.6 3	39 16	$\alpha(L1)\exp+\alpha(L2)\exp=59 5$ ; $\alpha(M1)\exp+\alpha(M2)\exp=15.0 18$ ; $\alpha(M3)\exp=11.9 18$ ; $\alpha(N)\exp=6.5 11$ ; $\alpha(O)\exp=1.6 4$ $L12/L3=1.23 1$ ; $L/M=3.40 11$ (1966Gi02) $\alpha(L)=113.6 16$ ; $\alpha(M)=31.3 5$ $\alpha(N)=8.43 12$ ; $\alpha(O)=1.90 3$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.306 5$ ; $\alpha(Q)=0.000818 12$ $E_\gamma$ : statistical uncertainty=0.0024 keV (1979Bo30). Others: 58.54 5 (1977Ba72), 58.57 2 (1975Ho14), 58.47 5 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 7.2 7 (1973Br12), 7.4 3 (1975Ho14), 6.8 2 (1999Ch12), 6.5 4 (2017Le03). Other: 8.75 8 (1977Ba72). Measured % $I_\gamma$ =0.452 25 (2017Le03). $I(\text{ce})/100$ decays: $I(\text{ce})(L1+L2)=31.4$ , $I(\text{ce})(L3)=24.4$ , $I(\text{ce})(M1+M2)=8.0$ , $I(\text{ce})(M3)=6.4$ , $I(\text{ce})(N)=3.5$ , $I(\text{ce})(O)=0.9$ (1975Ho14). Others: 1966TrZZ, $I(\text{ce})(L)=50\%$ (1960As02), agrees with data in 1975Ho14.
68.5 <sup>†</sup> 1	0.088 4	77.694	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	9.21	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	E2		73.3 10	% $I_\gamma$ =0.0023 4 $\alpha(L1)\exp=8.2 15$ $\alpha(L)=28 12$ ; $\alpha(M)=7.5 33$ $\alpha(N)=2.03 88$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.47 20$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.079 31$ ; $\alpha(Q)=0.0023 5$ $E_\gamma$ : others: 63.65 5 (1977Ba72), 63.7 2 (1973Br12, from $\gamma\gamma$ -coin). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.68 14 (1973Br12), 0.35 5 (1975Ho14), 0.29 5 (1999Ch12). Other: 0.343 16 (1977Ba72). $I(\text{ce})(L1)/100$ decays=0.21 (1975Ho14).
72.7510 <sup>‡</sup> 29	3.82 10	174.160	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	101.408	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]		0.280 4	% $I_\gamma$ =0.260 7 $\alpha(L)=0.211 3$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.0517 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.01363 19$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.00310 5$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.000519 8$ ; $\alpha(Q)=2.33\times10^{-5} 4$ $E_\gamma$ : statistical uncertainty=0.0025 keV (1979Bo30). Others: 72.70 5 (1977Ba72), 72.78 2 (1975Ho14), 72.66 6 (1973Br12), 72.74 5 (1973Te06). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 4.0 4 (1973Br12), 3.8 2 (1973Te06), 3.86 23 (1975Ho14), 3.8 1 (1999Ch12). Other: 4.05 6 (1977Ba72).
73.0 1 77.69	0.10 4 0.063 10	247.320 77.694	7/2 <sup>+</sup> 5/2 <sup>-</sup>	174.160 0.0	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> ) 3/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E1] [M1+E2]		0.277 4 24 16	$E_\gamma$ : $\gamma$ reported only by 1973Br12 from their $\gamma\gamma$ -coin spectra. % $I_\gamma$ =0.0043 7 $\alpha(L)=18 12$ ; $\alpha(M)=5 4$ $\alpha(N)=1.3 9$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.30 20$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.05 3$ ; $\alpha(Q)=0.0009 7$ $E_\gamma$ : $\gamma$ reported by 1999Ch12 only. $\alpha$ : assuming 0.1 keV uncertainty for $E_\gamma$ .

$^{231}\text{Th} \beta^-$  decay (25.57 h) 1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12 (continued) $\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ <sup>#a</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	@	$\delta$	$a$ <sup>b</sup>	Comments
81.2280 <sup>± 21</sup>	13.3 5	183.4955	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	102.2685	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1(+E2)		0.00 8	7.66 20	%I $\gamma$ =0.904 34 $\alpha(L1)\exp=4.2 7$ ; $\alpha(M)\exp=1.2 3$ $\alpha(L)=5.78 14$ ; $\alpha(M)=1.40 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.374 11$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.0898 24$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.0172 4$ ; $\alpha(Q)=0.001422 22$ $E_\gamma$ : statistical uncertainty=0.0014 keV (1979Bo30). Others: 81.16 5 (1977Ba72), 81.24 2 (1975Ho14), 81.18 5 (1973Br12), 81.20 6 (1973Te06). I $\gamma$ : weighted average: 14.2 14 (1973Br12), 13.5 9 (1973Te06), 13.7 8 (1975Ho14), 13.5 5 (1999Ch12), 12.15 66 (2017Le03). Other: 11.69 10 (1977Ba72). Measured %I $\gamma$ =0.849 45 (2017Le03). I(ce)/100 decays: Ice(L1)=4.2, Ice(M)=1.1 (1975Ho14).
82.0870 <sup>± 22</sup>	6.2 3	183.4955	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	101.408	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1(+E2)		0.04 6	7.47 23	%I $\gamma$ =0.422 21 $\alpha(L1)\exp=4.8 10$ ; $\alpha(L3)\exp=0.043 20$ ; $\alpha(M)\exp=1.4 4$ $\alpha(L)=5.63 17$ ; $\alpha(M)=1.36 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.365 12$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.088 3$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.0167 5$ ; $\alpha(Q)=0.001377 22$ $E_\gamma$ : statistical uncertainty=0.0014 keV (1979Bo30). Others: 82.02 5 (1977Ba72), 82.11 2 (1975Ho14), 82.02 6 (1973Br12), 82.06 7 (1973Te06). I $\gamma$ : NRM weighted average: 7.2 7 (1973Br12), 6.8 4 (1973Te06), 6.2 5 (1975Ho14), 6.0 3 (1999Ch12), 5.07 29 (2017Le03). Other: 4.68 7 (1977Ba72). Measured %I $\gamma$ =0.353 20 (2017Le03). I(ce)/100 decays: Ice(L1)=2.2, Ice(L3)=0.02, Ice(M)=0.64 (1975Ho14).
84.2140 <sup>± 22</sup>	100	84.2153	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1		2.17 21	%I $\gamma$ =6.80 10 $\alpha(L1)\exp=0.92 16$ ; $\alpha(L2)\exp=0.57 10$ ; $\alpha(L3)\exp=0.036 6$ ; $\alpha(M)\exp=0.49 9$ ; $\alpha(N)\exp=0.11 2$ ; $\alpha(O)\exp=0.03 1$ $\alpha(\exp)=2.8 4$ ; $\alpha(L1)\exp=1.3 2$ ; $\alpha(L2)\exp=0.65 15$ ; $\alpha(L3)\exp=0.046$ 14 (1960As02) L1/L2=1.9; L1/L2=1.6; L3/L1=0.035 9 (1961Ho29) L1/L2=2.5 (1957Ju30). E $\gamma$ : statistical uncertainty=0.0013 keV (1979Bo30). Others: 84.221 4 (1984He12), 84.16 5 (1977Ba72), 84.21 2 (1975Ho14). I $\gamma$ : 100 (1973Br12), 100 (1973Te06), 100 (1975Ho14), 100 (1977Ba72), 100 (1999Ch12), 100 5 (2017Le03). Measured %I $\gamma$ =6.98 36 (2017Le03), 6.60 25 (1999Ch12), 6.84 10 (1984He12), 6.6 3 (1982Va04). Other: 7.2 10 (1960As02). $\alpha$ : from sum of measured conversion coefficients from 1975Ho14. as the E1 transition of 84.2 keV has been found to be anomalous with much larger L1 and L2 conversion coefficients as compared to theoretical values form BrIcc code.	

$^{231}\text{Th} \beta^-$  decay (25.57 h)    1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$ (continued)										
$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\#a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>@</sup>	$\delta$	$a^b$	Comments	
89.95 2	15.0 5	174.160	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	84.2153	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(E1) <sup>&amp;</sup>	0.1598 23	%I $\gamma$ =1.020 34 $\alpha(L)=0.1205 17$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.0294 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.00777 11$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.001782 25$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.000304 5$ ; $\alpha(Q)=1.467\times 10^{-5} 21$	I(ce)/100 decays: Ice(L1)=6.93, Ice(L2)=4.25, Ice(L3)=0.27, Ice(M)=3.68, Ice(N)=0.83, Ice(O)=0.22 (1975Ho14). From BrIcc code, $\alpha(L)=0.1434 20$ , $\alpha(M)=0.0350 5$ , $\alpha(N)=0.00925 13$ , L-subshell ratios are quoted by 1960As02 from 1961Ho29 (first L1/L2 from $^{231}\text{Th}$ , second from $^{231}\text{U}$ ) and from 1957Ju30 (from $^{231}\text{Th}$ decay). Mult.: this transition is anomalously converted with a factor of 12.8 21 for $\alpha(L1)\text{exp}$ and $\alpha(L2)\text{exp}$ , while $\alpha(L3)\text{exp}$ agrees with theory (1960As02). See also 1970Gr36 and 2008Go10 for an analysis of the anomalous E1 character of this transition.	
93.02 <sup>†</sup> 4	0.70 8	102.2685	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	9.21	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E1)	0.1463 21	%I $\gamma$ =0.048 5 $\alpha(L)\text{exp}<2$ (1973Br12) $\alpha(L)=0.1103 16$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.0269 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.00711 10$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.001633 23$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.000279 4$ ; $\alpha(Q)=1.363\times 10^{-5} 20$	$E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 89.94 5 (1977Ba72), 89.95 2 (1975Ho14), 89.94 5 (1973Br12), 89.95 4 (1973Te06). I $\gamma$ : weighted average: 15.3 15 (1973Br12), 15.3 8 (1973Te06), 14.5 9 (1975Ho14), 15.0 5 (1999Ch12). Other: 13.25 12 (1977Ba72).	
99.2780 <sup>‡</sup> 36	1.98 10	183.4955	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	84.2153	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	0.35 7	5.2 4	%I $\gamma$ =0.135 7 $\alpha(M1)\text{exp}+\alpha(M2)\text{exp}=0.74 11$ ; $\alpha(M3)\text{exp}=0.16 3$ ; $\alpha(N)\text{exp}=0.28 8$ $\alpha(L)=3.9 3$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.97 8$ $\alpha(N)=0.261 20$ ; $\alpha(O)=0.062 5$ ; $\alpha(P)=0.0113 7$ ; $\alpha(Q)=0.00072 3$	$E_\gamma$ : statistical uncertainty=0.0030 keV (1979Bo30). Others: 99.33 5 (1977Ba72), 99.28 2 (1975Ho14), 99.30 5 (1973Br12), 99.33 5 (1973Te06). I $\gamma$ : weighted average: 2.1 2 (1973Br12), 2.2 2 (1973Te06), 1.85 11 (1975Ho14), 2.0 1 (1999Ch12), 1.97 11 (2017Le03). Other: 1.56 4 (1977Ba72). Measured %I $\gamma$ =0.137 7 (2017Le03).

$^{231}\text{Th} \beta^-$  decay (25.57 h) 1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12 (continued) $\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ <sup>#a</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>@</sup>	$\alpha$ <sup>b</sup>	Comments
102.2700 <sup>‡</sup> 24	6.6 2	102.2685	$3/2^+$	0.0	$3/2^-$	(E1) &	0.1141 16	%I $\gamma$ =0.449 14 $\alpha(L)=0.17$ (1965Ho13,1967Le24,1973Br12) $\alpha(L)=0.0860$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.0210$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.00554$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.001276$ 18; $\alpha(P)=0.000220$ 3; $\alpha(Q)=1.107\times 10^{-5}$ 16 $E_\gamma$ : statistical uncertainty=0.0013 keV (1979Bo30). Others: 102.23 5 (1977Ba72), 102.27 2 (1975Ho14), 102.30 5 (1973Br12), 102.32 4 (1973Te06). I $\gamma$ : weighted average: 6.7 7 (1973Br12), 6.8 4 (1973Te06), 6.3 5 (1975Ho14), 6.6 2 (1999Ch12). Others: 4.62 25 (2017Le03, seems discrepant), 5.42 8 (1977Ba72). Measured %I $\gamma$ =0.322 17 (2017Le03) seems discrepant.
105.79 3	0.118 10	183.4955	$5/2^+$	77.694	$5/2^-$	[E1]	0.1043 15	%I $\gamma$ =0.0080 7 $\alpha(L)=0.0787$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.0192$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.00507$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.001168$ 17; $\alpha(P)=0.000202$ 3; $\alpha(Q)=1.027\times 10^{-5}$ 15 $E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 105.65 10 (1977Ba72), 105.81 3 (1975Ho14), 105.73 10 (1973Br12), 105.74 10 (1973Te06). I $\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.14 2 (1973Br12), 0.13 8 (1973Te06), 0.11 1 (1975Ho14), 0.12 1 (1999Ch12) Other: 0.482 25 for doublet (1977Ba72).
106.61 3	0.267 11	218.244	$(7/2^-)$	111.648	$(9/2^+)$	[E1]	0.1023 14	%I $\gamma$ =0.0182 8 $\alpha(L)=0.0772$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.0188$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.00497$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.001145$ 16; $\alpha(P)=0.000198$ 3; $\alpha(Q)=1.010\times 10^{-5}$ 15 $E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 106.61 3 (1975Ho14), 106.58 10 (1973Br12), 106.66 8 (1973Te06). Other: 106.85 10 (1977Ba72). I $\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.34 4 (1973Br12), 0.33 10 (1973Te06), 0.262 15 (1975Ho14), 0.264 11 (1999Ch12). Other: 0.482 25 for doublet (1977Ba72).
115.63 <sup>†</sup> 3	0.015 4	174.160	$(5/2^-)$	58.570	$7/2^-$	[M1+E2]	9.9 35	%I $\gamma$ =0.00102 27 $\alpha(K)=5.4$ 52; $\alpha(L)=3.3$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.88$ 38 $\alpha(N)=0.24$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.055$ 23; $\alpha(P)=0.0093$ 32; $\alpha(Q)=2.8\times 10^{-4}$ 23 E $\gamma$ : other: 115.5 2 (1973Br12). I $\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.015 3 (1975Ho14), 0.015 4 (1999Ch12). Other: 0.04 1 (1973Br12). In 1977Ba72, I $\gamma$ mixed with sum line.
116.83 2	0.336 20	218.244	$(7/2^-)$	101.408	$7/2^+$	(E1) &	0.342 5	%I $\gamma$ =0.0228 14 $\alpha(K)=0.262$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.0608$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.01478$ 21 $\alpha(N)=0.00391$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.000904$ 13; $\alpha(P)=0.0001574$ 22; $\alpha(Q)=8.26\times 10^{-6}$ 12 E $\gamma$ : weighted average of 116.80 10 (1977Ba72), 116.82 2 (1975Ho14), 116.91 5 (1973Br12).

<sup>231</sup>Th  $\beta^-$  decay (25.57 h)    1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12 (continued)

<u><math>\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})</math></u> (continued)									
$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ <sup>#a</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>@</sup>	$\delta$	$\alpha$ <sup>b</sup>	Comments
124.927 <i>18</i>	0.88 <i>2</i>	183.4955	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	58.570	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	(E1) <sup>&amp;</sup>		0.294 <i>4</i>	$I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.39 <i>4</i> (1973Br12), 0.318 <i>20</i> (1975Ho14), 0.34 <i>2</i> (1999Ch12). Other: 0.367 <i>21</i> (1977Ba72).
134.03 <i>2</i>	0.379 <i>10</i>	218.244	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	84.2153	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(E1) <sup>&amp;</sup>		0.249 <i>4</i>	$E_\gamma$ : NRM weighted average of 124.914 <i>17</i> (1979Bo30, statistical uncertainty 0.017 keV, systematic uncertainty is negligible), 125.00 <i>10</i> (1977Ba72), 124.93 <i>2</i> (1975Ho14), 125.10 <i>5</i> (1973Br12). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.95 <i>9</i> (1973Br12), 0.86 <i>5</i> (1975Ho14), 0.88 <i>2</i> (1999Ch12). Other: 1.01 <i>4</i> (1977Ba72).
135.670 <i>11</i>	1.19 <i>4</i>	247.320	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	111.648	(9/2 <sup>+</sup> )	M1(+E2)	0.1 +4-1	8.5 <i>10</i>	$E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 134.00 <i>5</i> (1977Ba72), 134.03 <i>2</i> (1975Ho14), 134.14 <i>8</i> (1973Br12). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.42 <i>5</i> (1973Br12), 0.37 <i>2</i> (1975Ho14), 0.38 <i>1</i> (1999Ch12). Other: 0.562 <i>21</i> (1977Ba72).
136.75 <sup>†</sup> <i>7</i>	0.066 <i>3</i>	320.211	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	183.4955	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]		0.237 <i>3</i>	$I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 1.3 <i>1</i> (1973Br12), 1.20 <i>8</i> (1975Ho14), 1.17 <i>4</i> (1999Ch12). Other: 1.704 <i>28</i> (1977Ba72). I(ce)/100 decays: I(ce(K)=0.51, I(ce(L)=0.083, I(ce(M)=0.024 (1975Ho14).
140.54 <sup>†</sup> <i>4</i>	0.011 <i>1</i>	218.244	(7/2 <sup>-</sup> )	77.694	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M1+E2]		5.3 <i>25</i>	% $I_\gamma$ =0.00075 <i>7</i>

$^{231}\text{Th} \beta^-$  decay (25.57 h) 1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$ (continued)									
$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ <sup>#a</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>@</sup>	$\delta$	$\alpha$ <sup>b</sup>	Comments
145.06 <sup>†</sup> 4	0.087 6	247.320	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	102.2685	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	(E2)	2.46 4		$\alpha(K)=3.2\ 30; \alpha(L)=1.5\ 4; \alpha(M)=0.40\ 12$ $\alpha(N)=0.108\ 32; \alpha(O)=0.0250\ 66; \alpha(P)=0.0043\ 9;$ $\alpha(Q)=1.6\times 10^{-4}\ 13$ $I_\gamma:$ weighted average: 0.011 1 (1975Ho14), 0.011 1 (1999Ch12). $\%I_\gamma=0.00592\ 41$ $\alpha(K)=0.237\ 4; \alpha(L)=1.627\ 23; \alpha(M)=0.448\ 7$ $\alpha(N)=0.1209\ 17; \alpha(O)=0.0274\ 4; \alpha(P)=0.00448\ 7;$ $\alpha(Q)=2.86\times 10^{-5}\ 4$ $E_\gamma:$ other: 145.15 30 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma:$ weighted average: 0.12 3 (1973Br12), 0.089 6 (1975Ho14), 0.084 6 (1999Ch12). Mult.: 1975Ho14 state that from ce intensity limit, multipolarity cannot be M2, E3 or higher, thus restricted to dipole or E2. $\%I_\gamma=0.0326\ 14$ $\alpha(K)\exp=3.2\ 7; \alpha(L)\exp=0.7\ 3$ $\alpha(K)=3.5\ 8; \alpha(L)=1.26\ 8; \alpha(M)=0.32\ 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.087\ 8; \alpha(O)=0.0204\ 16; \alpha(P)=0.00362\ 19;$ $\alpha(Q)=0.00017\ 4$ $E_\gamma:$ weighted average of 145.90 5 (1977Ba72), 145.94 2 (1975Ho14), 146.00 7 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma:$ weighted average: 0.58 6 (1973Br12), 0.49 3 (1975Ho14), 0.47 2 (1999Ch12). Other: 0.571 25 (1977Ba72). I(ce)/100 decays: Ice(K)=0.115, Ice(L)=0.024 (1975Ho14). $\%I_\gamma=0.158\ 6$ $\alpha(K)\exp=3.6\ 5; \alpha(L)\exp=0.53\ 9; \alpha(M)\exp=0.12\ 5$ $\alpha(K)=4.0\ 4; \alpha(L)=0.776\ 19; \alpha(M)=0.187\ 7$ $\alpha(N)=0.0502\ 19; \alpha(O)=0.0120\ 4; \alpha(P)=0.00230\ 5;$ $\alpha(Q)=0.000190\ 15$ $E_\gamma:$ statistical uncertainty=0.0043 keV (1979Bo30). Others: 163.15 5 (1977Ba72), 163.12 2 (1975Ho14), 163.16 6 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma:$ weighted average: 2.6 3 (1973Br12), 2.38 14 (1975Ho14), 2.30 8 (1999Ch12). Others: 6.46 35 (2017Le03, seems discrepant), 2.75 6 (1977Ba72). Measured $\%I_\gamma=0.452\ 24$ (2017Le03) seems discrepant. I(ce)/100 decays: Ice(K)=0.64, Ice(L)=0.093, Ice(M)=0.022 (1975Ho14). $\%I_\gamma=0.00354\ 15$ $\alpha(K)=0.209\ 3; \alpha(L)=0.917\ 13; \alpha(M)=0.252\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.0681\ 10; \alpha(O)=0.01545\ 22; \alpha(P)=0.00254\ 4;$ $\alpha(Q)=1.96\times 10^{-5}\ 3$ $E_\gamma:$ others: 164.70 10 (1977Ba72), 164.94 10 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma:$ weighted average: 0.06 3 (1973Br12), 0.060 6 (1975Ho14), 0.051 2 (1999Ch12). Other: 0.200 11 (1977Ba72).
12									
165.00 <sup>†</sup> 5	0.052 2	174.160	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	9.21	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E2]	1.464 21		

$^{231}\text{Th } \beta^-$  decay (25.57 h) 1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12 (continued) $\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma$ <sup>#a</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>@</sup>	$\alpha$ <sup>b</sup>	Comments
169.65 3	0.020 1	247.320	$7/2^+$	77.694	$5/2^-$	[E1]	0.1421 20	% $I\gamma=0.00136$ 7 $\alpha(K)=0.1113$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.0233$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00564$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.001497$ 21; $\alpha(O)=0.000349$ 5; $\alpha(P)=6.22\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(Q)=3.63\times 10^{-6}$ 5 $E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 169.66 3 (1975Ho14) and 169.58 10 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.03 1 (1973Br12), 0.0185 15 (1975Ho14), 0.021 1 (1999Ch12).
174.15 <sup>†</sup> 2	0.268 10	174.160	( $5/2^-$ )	0.0	$3/2^-$	[M1+E2]	2.7 15	% $I\gamma=0.0182$ 7 $\alpha(K)=1.8$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.68$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.177$ 22 $\alpha(N)=0.048$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.0111$ 11; $\alpha(P)=0.00196$ 6; $\alpha(Q)=8.7\times 10^{-5}$ 71 $E_\gamma$ : others: 174.1 10 (1977Ba72), 174.19 8 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.31 3 (1973Br12), 0.278 17 (1975Ho14), 0.26 1 (1999Ch12). Other: 0.704 21 for doublet (1977Ba72).
x177.66	0.00095 20					[D,E2]	2.0 18	% $I\gamma=6.5\times 10^{-5}$ 14 $E_\gamma$ : $\gamma$ reported by 1999Ch12 only.
183.489 20	0.502 20	183.4955	$5/2^+$	0.0	$3/2^-$	(E1) <sup>&amp;</sup>	0.1181 17	% $I\gamma=0.0340$ 14 $\alpha(K)=0.0928$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.0191$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.00463$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.001228$ 18; $\alpha(O)=0.000287$ 4; $\alpha(P)=5.13\times 10^{-5}$ 8; $\alpha(Q)=3.05\times 10^{-6}$ 5 $E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 183.480 25 (1979Bo30, statistical uncertainty of 0.025 keV, systematic uncertainty is negligible), 183.4 1 (1977Ba72), 183.50 2 (1975Ho14), 183.47 7 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.57 6 (1973Br12), 0.506 20 (1975Ho14), 0.49 2 (1999Ch12). Other: 1.005 26 (1977Ba72).
188.76 <sup>†</sup> 2	0.049 2	247.320	$7/2^+$	58.570	$7/2^-$	[E1]	0.1105 16	% $I\gamma=0.00333$ 14 $\alpha(K)=0.0869$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.01782$ 25; $\alpha(M)=0.00431$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.001144$ 16; $\alpha(O)=0.000267$ 4; $\alpha(P)=4.79\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(Q)=2.87\times 10^{-6}$ 4 $E_\gamma$ : others: 188.7 1 (1977Ba72), 188.77 20 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.08 1 (1973Br12), 0.049 3 (1975Ho14), 0.049 1 (1999Ch12). Other: 0.084 8 (1977Ba72).
217.94 <sup>†</sup> 3	0.60 1	320.211	$3/2^-$	102.2685	$3/2^+$	E1	0.0789 11	% $I\gamma=0.0408$ 9 $\alpha(K)\exp\leq 0.11$ ; $\alpha(L)\exp\leq 0.08$ $\alpha(K)=0.0624$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.01248$ 18; $\alpha(M)=0.00301$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.000801$ 12; $\alpha(O)=0.000187$ 3; $\alpha(P)=3.38\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=2.10\times 10^{-6}$ 3 $E_\gamma$ : others: 217.977 106 (1979Bo30), 218.00 5 (1977Ba72, not fully resolved), 218.00 7 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.67 7 (1973Br12), 0.62 5 (1975Ho14), 0.60 1 (1999Ch12). Other: 0.96 3 for triplet (1977Ba72). I(ce)/100 decays: I <sub>ce</sub> (K)≤0.005, I <sub>ce</sub> (L)≤0.0037 (1975Ho14).
236.04 6	0.140 6	320.211	$3/2^-$	84.2153	$5/2^+$	[E1]	0.0657 9	% $I\gamma=0.0095$ 4

$^{231}\text{Th} \beta^-$  decay (25.57 h) 1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12 (continued) $\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\#a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>@</sup>	$a^b$	Comments
240.27 <sup>†</sup> 5	0.0045 5	317.95	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	77.694	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E1]	0.0630 9	$\alpha(K)=0.0521\ 8; \alpha(L)=0.01028\ 15; \alpha(M)=0.00248\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.000659\ 10; \alpha(O)=0.0001545\ 22; \alpha(P)=2.80\times 10^{-5}\ 4;$ $\alpha(Q)=1.770\times 10^{-6}\ 25$ $E_\gamma:$ weighted average of 236.01 3 (1975Ho14) and 236.17 7 (1973Br12). Others: 236.1 1 (1977Ba72, not fully resolved). $I_\gamma:$ weighted average: 0.18 2 (1973Br12), 0.14 1 (1975Ho14), 0.138 5 (1999Ch12). Other: 1.47 3 for doublet (1977Ba72).
242.51 4	0.0126 6	320.211	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	77.694	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M1+E2]	1.01 66	$\%I_\gamma=3.06\times 10^{-4}\ 34$ $\alpha(K)=0.0500\ 7; \alpha(L)=0.00984\ 14; \alpha(M)=0.00237\ 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.000631\ 9; \alpha(O)=0.0001480\ 21; \alpha(P)=2.68\times 10^{-5}\ 4;$ $\alpha(Q)=1.704\times 10^{-6}\ 24$ $E_\gamma:$ other: 240.4 2 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma:$ weighted average: 0.0050 5 (1973Br12), 0.0043 15 (1975Ho14), 0.0040 5 (1999Ch12).
249.60 <sup>†</sup> 7	0.012 1	351.86	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	102.2685	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	0.0578 8	$\%I_\gamma=0.00082\ 7$ $\alpha(K)=0.0459\ 7; \alpha(L)=0.00898\ 13; \alpha(M)=0.00216\ 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.000575\ 8; \alpha(O)=0.0001351\ 19; \alpha(P)=2.45\times 10^{-5}\ 4;$ $\alpha(Q)=1.571\times 10^{-6}\ 22$ $E_\gamma:$ others: 249.8 (1977Ba72), 249.8 3 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma:$ weighted average: 0.010 2 (1973Br12), 0.012 1 (1975Ho14), 0.012 1 (1999Ch12).
250.45 <sup>†</sup> 7	0.010 1	351.86	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	101.408	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	0.0573 8	$\%I_\gamma=0.00068\ 7$ $\alpha(K)=0.0455\ 7; \alpha(L)=0.00891\ 13; \alpha(M)=0.00215\ 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.000571\ 8; \alpha(O)=0.0001340\ 19; \alpha(P)=2.43\times 10^{-5}\ 4;$ $\alpha(Q)=1.559\times 10^{-6}\ 22$ $E_\gamma:$ other: 250.5 3 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma:$ weighted average: 0.011 2 (1973Br12), 0.010 1 (1975Ho14), 0.010 1 (1999Ch12).
267.72 9	0.0217 14	351.86	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	84.2153	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	0.0493 7	$\%I_\gamma=0.00148\ 10$ $\alpha(K)=0.0393\ 6; \alpha(L)=0.00760\ 11; \alpha(M)=0.00183\ 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.000487\ 7; \alpha(O)=0.0001144\ 16; \alpha(P)=2.08\times 10^{-5}\ 3;$ $\alpha(Q)=1.355\times 10^{-6}\ 19$ $E_\gamma:$ weighted average of 267.62 8 (1975Ho14), 267.80 7 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma:$ weighted average: 0.0230 6 (1973Br12), 0.018 2 (1975Ho14), 0.019 1 (1999Ch12).
274.10 <sup>†</sup> 10	0.00051 15	351.86	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	77.694	5/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M1+E2]	0.71 48	$\%I_\gamma=3.5\times 10^{-5}\ 10$

$^{231}\text{Th} \beta^-$  decay (25.57 h) 1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12 (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$ (continued)									
$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^{\#a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>@</sup>	$\delta$	$a^b$	Comments
308.78 <sup>†</sup> 7	0.0064 8	317.95	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	9.21	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E1]	0.0358 5		$\alpha(K)=0.52\ 43; \alpha(L)=0.14\ 4; \alpha(M)=0.036\ 7$ $\alpha(N)=0.0098\ 19; \alpha(O)=0.0023\ 5; \alpha(P)=4.2\times 10^{-4}\ 12; \alpha(Q)=2.5\times 10^{-5}\ 20$ $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.00046 15 (1975Ho14), 0.0006 2 (1999Ch12). $\%I_\gamma=0.00044\ 6$ $\alpha(K)=0.0287\ 4; \alpha(L)=0.00544\ 8; \alpha(M)=0.001306\ 19$ $\alpha(N)=0.000348\ 5; \alpha(O)=8.19\times 10^{-5}\ 12; \alpha(P)=1.500\times 10^{-5}\ 21;$ $\alpha(Q)=1.005\times 10^{-6}\ 14$ $E_\gamma$ : other: 308.9 3 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma$ : unweighted average: 0.008 1 (1973Br12), 0.0060 6 (1975Ho14), 0.0053 2 (1999Ch12).
311.00 <sup>†</sup> 5	0.047 2	320.211	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	9.21	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	M1(+E2)	0.7 9	0.61 27	$\%I_\gamma=0.00320\ 14$ $\alpha(L)\exp=0.09\ 3; \alpha(M)\exp=0.03\ 1; \alpha(N)\exp=0.009\ 3$ $\alpha(K)=0.47\ 24; \alpha(L)=0.107\ 24; \alpha(M)=0.026\ 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.0071\ 14; \alpha(O)=0.0017\ 4; \alpha(P)=0.00031\ 8; \alpha(Q)=2.2\times 10^{-5}\ 11$ $E_\gamma$ : other: 311.0 1 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.054 5 (1973Br12), 0.045 3 (1975Ho14), 0.046 2 (1999Ch12). $I(\text{ce})/100$ decays: $I(\text{ce},L)=0.00032$ , $I(\text{ce},M)=0.00011$ , $I(\text{ce},N)=0.00003$ (1975Ho14).
317.87 <sup>†</sup> 8	0.00151 25	317.95	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	[E1]	0.0336 5		$\%I_\gamma=10.2\times 10^{-5}\ 17$ $\alpha(K)=0.0269\ 4; \alpha(L)=0.00508\ 8; \alpha(M)=0.001221\ 18$ $\alpha(N)=0.000325\ 5; \alpha(O)=7.66\times 10^{-5}\ 11; \alpha(P)=1.404\times 10^{-5}\ 20;$ $\alpha(Q)=9.47\times 10^{-7}\ 14$ $E_\gamma$ : other: 318.0 4 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma$ : unweighted average: 0.0020 2 (1973Br12), 0.00123 15 (1975Ho14), 0.0013 2 (1999Ch12).
320.15 <sup>†</sup> 8	0.0024 6	320.211	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M1+E2]	0.46 32		$\%I_\gamma=0.00016\ 4$ $\alpha(K)=0.34\ 28; \alpha(L)=0.088\ 29; \alpha(M)=0.0221\ 61$ $\alpha(N)=0.0059\ 17; \alpha(O)=0.00140\ 41; \alpha(P)=2.57\times 10^{-4}\ 89;$ $\alpha(Q)=1.6\times 10^{-5}\ 13$ $E_\gamma$ : other: 320.2 3 (1973Br12). $I_\gamma$ : unweighted average: 0.0035 3 (1973Br12), 0.0017 2 (1975Ho14), 0.0020 2 (1999Ch12).
351.80 <sup>†</sup> 10	0.0010 2	351.86	(5/2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	[M1+E2]	0.35 25		$\%I_\gamma=6.8\times 10^{-5}\ 14$ $\alpha(K)=0.26\ 21; \alpha(L)=0.066\ 25; \alpha(M)=0.0165\ 53$ $\alpha(N)=0.0044\ 14; \alpha(O)=0.00105\ 35; \alpha(P)=1.93\times 10^{-4}\ 74;$ $\alpha(Q)=1.25\times 10^{-5}\ 96$ $I_\gamma$ : weighted average: 0.011 2 (1975Ho14), 0.010 2 (1999Ch12).

<sup>231</sup><sub>91</sub>Th β<sup>-</sup> decay (25.57 h)    [1999Ch12](#), [1975Ho14](#), [1973Br12](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$  (continued)<sup>†</sup> From [1975Ho14](#).<sup>‡</sup> From [1979Bo30](#) (curved crystal spectrometer), systematic uncertainty of  $2 \times 10^{-5} \times E\gamma$ , relative to an absolute standard, as specified by the authors, is included in quadrature by evaluators.<sup>#</sup> Weighted average of values from [2017Le03](#), [1999Ch12](#), [1975Ho14](#), [1973Te06](#), and [1973Br12](#), unless otherwise specified. Values from [1977Ba72](#) are not used in averaging as there are large differences between their values and several other consistent data in the references which have been used in the averaging procedure.<sup>@</sup> From ce data in [1975Ho14](#), where  $\alpha(\text{exp})$  were determined using  $\alpha(\text{L3})(\text{theory})=50.9$  for  $58.6\gamma$  (mult=E2), and  $\%I\gamma(58.6\gamma)=0.48$ . Evaluators have adjusted the conversion coefficients listed in [1975Ho14](#) using  $\alpha(\text{L3})(\text{theory})=47.0$  (from BrIcc code) and adopted  $\%I\gamma(58.6\gamma)=0.471$ .<sup>&</sup> E1 assigned by [1975Ho14](#) from ce intensity or intensity limits at  $2\sigma$  level. As no numerical data are provided in this work for transition, evaluators consider the assignment as tentative.<sup>a</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0680 *10*.<sup>b</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

**$^{231}\text{Th} \beta^-$  decay (25.57 h) 1999Ch12,1975Ho14,1973Br12**

