

²³⁵Np α decay (396.1 d) 1973Br12

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Jagdish K. Tuli, and Edgardo Browne		NDS 185,560 (2022)	31-Aug-2022

Parent: ²³⁵Np: E=0; J ^{π} =5/2⁺; T_{1/2}=396.1 d 12; Q(α)=5193.8 15; % α decay=0.00260 13

²³⁵Np-J ^{π} , T_{1/2}: From ²³⁵Np Adopted Levels in the ENSDF database (Feb 2014 update). No new experimental references for half-life after this update.

²³⁵Np-Q(α): From 2021Wa16.

²³⁵Np-% α decay: % α =0.00260 13 (1986AgZV, measurement of x-rays from ²³⁵Np and ²³⁶Np ϵ decay, and alpha particles from ²³⁷Np α decay). Others: % α =0.0035 4 (1956Ho46, I α /K x ray+L x ray, it is not clear whether correction for ϵ M+ had been applied); 0.0012 1 (1957Th37); 0.00159 (1958Gi05, I α /K x ray+L x ray, with correction for ϵ M+/ ϵ L=0.46); \approx 0.002 (1984Wh02, K x ray/I α).

1973Br12 (also 1970BrZX): ²³⁵Np produced in ²³⁵U(d,2n),E(d)=12 MeV using an enriched target, followed by chemical separation. Measured E α , I α , E γ , I γ , $\alpha\gamma$ -coin using semiconductor detector for α particles with FWHM=14.5 keV, and Ge(Li) detector for γ rays. A magnetic field to the source was applied to reduce spurious peaks in the singles α spectrum, caused by the true coincidences between conversion electrons and α particles.

1986AgZV: measured % α branching ratio from the decay of ²³⁵Np.

Others:

1987Ha07: ²³⁵Np produced in ²³⁸U(p,4n),E(p)=50 MeV reaction. Measured E α , I α , x rays.

1984Wh02: ²³⁵Np produced in ²³⁵U(p,n),E(p)=50 MeV reaction. Measured x ray and α spectra, the latter with peaks at 4.88 and 4.96 MeV. Deduced % α branching ratio from ²³⁵Np decay.

1958Gi05, 1957Th37, 1956Ho46: measured % α branching ratio from ²³⁵Np decay.

Evaluators' note: the decay scheme seems fairly complete, except for spectral information about low-energy γ transitions of 9.18 and 17.19 keV.

²³¹Pa Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^{π} [‡]	T _{1/2} [‡]	Comments
0.0 [#]	3/2 ⁻	32570 y 130	
9.2 [#] 10	1/2 ⁻		
58.570 [#] 3	7/2 ⁻	274 ps 10	
84.2152 [@] 20	5/2 ⁺	45.1 ns 13	T _{1/2} : other: 37 ns 4 (1956Ho46, delayed coincidence). Proposed configuration= π 3/2[651] (1973Br12).
101.409 [@] 4	7/2 ⁺	0.7 ns 2	
102.2687 [@] 21	3/2 ⁺	0.7 ns 2	
111.647 [@] 13	(9/2 ⁺)		
169.4 4	11/2 ⁻		
174? 1	(5/2 ⁻)		
183.4956 ^{&} 25	5/2 ⁺	\leq 0.19 ns	
247.317 6	7/2 ⁺		
303? ^{&} 7	(9/2 ⁺)		

[†] From least-squares fit to E γ data.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

[#] Proposed member of configuration= π 1/2[530] (1973Br12).

[@] Proposed member of configuration= π 3/2[651] (1973Br12).

[&] Proposed member of configuration= π 5/2[642] (1973Br12).

^{235}Np α decay (396.1 d) **1973Br12** (continued) α radiations

$E\alpha^{\dagger\#}$	E(level)	$I\alpha^{\textcircled{a}}$	HF ‡	Comments
4809 $\&$ 7	303?	≈ 0.1	≈ 52	$E\alpha=4806.7$ (1973Br12).
4862 3	247.317	0.7 1	18 3	$E\alpha=4859.3$ (1973Br12). $I\alpha=0.8.3$, deduced by evaluators from γ -ray transition intensity balance.
4925 2	183.4956	11.5 5	2.88 20	$E\alpha=4873$ keV 5, $I\alpha=0.8$ (1960Gi03). $E\alpha=4922.2$ (1973Br12). $I\alpha=20.1.11$, deduced by evaluators from γ -ray transition intensity balance. HF: Low alpha hindrance factor is consistent with $5/2^+$, $5/2[642]$ favored state assignment.
4934 $\&$	174?	< 0.1	> 360	$E\alpha=4934$ keV 5, $I\alpha=11.8$ (1960Gi03).
4940 6	169.4	≈ 0.6	≈ 68	$I\alpha$: upper limit from $I\gamma$ values from 174 level. $E\alpha=4937.6$ (1973Br12). $I\alpha=0.8.3$, deduced by evaluators from γ -ray transition intensity balance.
4997 4	111.647	≈ 6	≈ 16	$E\alpha=4994.4$ (1973Br12).
$\approx 5007\&$	102.2687	≤ 0.5	≥ 210	$I\alpha=-2.7.22$ from transition intensity balance,
5008 4	101.409	24 8	4.7 16	$E\alpha=5004.4$ (1973Br12). $I\alpha=33.10$, deduced by evaluators from γ -ray transition intensity balance.
5025 2	84.2152	53 8	2.8 5	$E\alpha=5022.2$ (1973Br12). $I\alpha=48.11$, deduced by evaluators from γ -ray transition intensity balance. $E\alpha=5024$ keV 5, $I\alpha=83.6$ (1960Gi03). HF: Low alpha hindrance factor is due to strong mixing of this $5/2^+$, $3/2[651]$ state with the $5/2^+$, $5/2[642]$ favored state at 183.5 keV through the Coriolis interaction.
5051 2	58.570	1.8 3	119 21	$E\alpha=5048.2$ (1973Br12).
5100 3	9.2	≈ 0.2	≈ 2200	$E\alpha=5097.3$ (1973Br12).
5108 3	0.0	1.5 2	348 51	$E\alpha=5105.3$ (1973Br12). $E\alpha=5104$ keV 5, $I\alpha=3.8$ (1960Gi03).

† From 1973Br12, recalibrated by 1991Ry01. Recalibrated values given here are ≈ 2 keV higher than those deduced from $Q(\alpha)$ (2021Wa16).

‡ The nuclear radius parameter $r_0(^{231}\text{Pa})=1.51623.36$ is deduced from interpolation (or unweighted average) of radius parameters of the adjacent even-even nuclides, as given in 2020Si16, and in the data file of the ALPHAD_RadD code.

$\#$ Evaluators have adjusted by 9 keV $E\alpha$ values from 1960Gi03 given in comments. Original $E\alpha$ are relative to $^{237}\text{Np}(86\alpha)=4781$ keV. Values given here are relative to $^{237}\text{Np}(86\alpha)=4789.8$ (1991Ry01).

\textcircled{a} For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 2.60×10^{-5} 13.

$\&$ Existence of this branch is questionable.

²³⁵Np α decay (396.1 d) **1973Br12** (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$

I γ normalization: The γ -ray intensities in **1973Br12** are per 100 α decays, deduced from their $\alpha\gamma$ -coin results.

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{#b}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ^a	α^c	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ ^b	Comments
(9.183) (17.195 21)		9.2 101.409	1/2 ⁻ 7/2 ⁺	0.0 84.2152	3/2 ⁻ 5/2 ⁺	(M1)		166 3	39& 10	E_γ : from the Adopted Gammas. $\alpha(\text{L})=1.4$; $\alpha(\text{M})=122.6$ 18; $\alpha(\text{N})=32.7$ 5; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.75$ 12; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.505$ 22; $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.144$ 2
(18.055 18)		102.2687	3/2 ⁺	84.2152	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.040 +18-0	218 18	10& 2	$\alpha(\text{L})=45$ 15; $\alpha(\text{M})=128$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=34$ 2; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.1$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=1.55$ 5; $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.124$ 1
(25.65 2)	14.3 [@] 7	84.2152	5/2 ⁺	58.570	7/2 ⁻	E1		4.37 6		%I γ =0.000372 26 $\alpha(\text{L})=3.26$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.843$ 12 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.219$ 4; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0471$ 7; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.00673$ 10; $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.000196$ 3
(53.2 8)	≈ 3.7	111.647	(9/2 ⁺)	58.570	7/2 ⁻	[E1]		0.64 3	≈ 6	%I $\gamma \approx 9.5 \times 10^{-5}$ $\alpha(\text{L})=0.483$ 21; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.119$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0313$ 14; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0070$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.00114$ 5; $\alpha(\text{Q})=4.53 \times 10^{-5}$ 16 I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: from I α value, if 53.2 γ is the only decaying transition.
58.5700 24	0.50 3	58.570	7/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	E2		155.5 22		I γ : from I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$ and α . %I $\gamma=1.30 \times 10^{-5}$ 10 $\alpha(\text{L})=113.6$ 16; $\alpha(\text{M})=31.3$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=8.43$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.90$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.306$ 5; $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.000818$ 12 E_γ : 58.5 4 (1973Br12). I $\gamma=0.6$ 2 (1973Br12) is imprecise. I $\gamma=0.50$ 3 deduced by evaluators from I $\gamma(25.6)=14.3$ 7, I $\alpha(58)=1.8$ 3, and intensity balance at 58-keV level.
(63.86 3)	0.007 [@] 4	247.317	7/2 ⁺	183.4956	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.6 3	39 16		%I $\gamma=1.8 \times 10^{-7}$ 10 $\alpha(\text{L})=28$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=7.5$ 33 $\alpha(\text{N})=2.03$ 88; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.47$ 20; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.079$ 31; $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.0023$ 5
81.2280 21	1.5 1	183.4956	5/2 ⁺	102.2687	3/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.00 8	7.66 20		%I $\gamma=3.90 \times 10^{-5}$ 33 $\alpha(\text{L})=5.78$ 14; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.40$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.374$ 11; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0898$ 24; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.0172$ 4; $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.001422$ 22
(82.0870 22)	0.70 [@] 5	183.4956	5/2 ⁺	101.409	7/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.04 6	7.47 23		E_γ : 81.2 2 (1973Br12). %I $\gamma=1.82 \times 10^{-5}$ 16

²³⁵Np α decay (396.1 d) **1973Br12** (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$ (continued)

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{#b}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ^a	α^c	Comments
84.2140 22	6.9 4	84.2152	5/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁻	E1		2.17 21	$\alpha(\text{L})=5.63$ 17; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.36$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.365$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.088$ 3; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.0167$ 5; $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.001377$ 22 $\%I_\gamma=0.000179$ 14 E_γ : 84.2 1 (1973Br12). Mult.: Anomalous E1 γ ray. Total conversion coefficient from ²³¹ Th β^- decay.
89.95 ^{‡d} 2	<0.07	174?	(5/2 ⁻)	84.2152	5/2 ⁺	(E1)		0.1598 23	$\%I_\gamma < 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1205$ 17; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0294$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00777$ 11; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.001782$ 25; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000304$ 5; $\alpha(\text{Q})=1.467 \times 10^{-5}$ 21
93.02 ^d 4	0.10 5	102.2687	3/2 ⁺	9.2	1/2 ⁻	(E1)		0.1463 21	$\%I_\gamma=2.6 \times 10^{-6}$ 13 $\alpha(\text{L})=0.1103$ 16; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0269$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00711$ 10; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.001633$ 23; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000279$ 4; $\alpha(\text{Q})=1.363 \times 10^{-5}$ 20 E_γ : 92.2 4 (1973Br12, uncertain γ).
(99.2780 36)	0.22 [@] 2	183.4956	5/2 ⁺	84.2152	5/2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.35 7	5.2 4	$\%I_\gamma=5.7 \times 10^{-6}$ 6 $\alpha(\text{L})=3.9$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.97$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.261$ 20; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.062$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.0113$ 7; $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.00072$ 3
102.2700 24	0.30 6	102.2687	3/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁻	(E1)		0.1141 16	$\%I_\gamma=7.8 \times 10^{-6}$ 16 $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0860$ 12; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0210$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00554$ 8; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.001276$ 18; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000220$ 3; $\alpha(\text{Q})=1.107 \times 10^{-5}$ 16 E_γ : 102.2 4 (1973Br12).
110.8 4	0.09 3	169.4	11/2 ⁻	58.570	7/2 ⁻	(E2)		7.60 11	$\%I_\gamma=2.3 \times 10^{-6}$ 8 $\alpha(\text{L})=5.55$ 13; $\alpha(\text{M})=1.53$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.414$ 9; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0936$ 21; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.0152$ 4; $\alpha(\text{Q})=6.79 \times 10^{-5}$ 13 E_γ : from 1973Br12.
124.927 18	0.07 3	183.4956	5/2 ⁺	58.570	7/2 ⁻	(E1)		0.294 4	$\%I_\gamma=1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ 8 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.226$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0510$ 13; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0124$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00328$ 9; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000759$ 19; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.000133$ 4; $\alpha(\text{Q})=7.11 \times 10^{-6}$ 17 E_γ : 125 1 (1973Br12).
^x 126 2	0.3 2								$\%I_\gamma=8 \times 10^{-6}$ 5 E_γ : from 1973Br12. This γ seems different from the 125 γ from 247 level.
(135.670 11)	0.026 [@] 16	247.317	7/2 ⁺	111.647	(9/2 ⁺)	M1(+E2)	0.1 +4-1	8.5 10	$\%I_\gamma=7.E-7$ 4 $\alpha(\text{K})=6.7$ 13; $\alpha(\text{L})=1.32$ 17; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.32$ 6

²³⁵Np α decay (396.1 d) **1973Br12** (continued)

$\gamma(^{231}\text{Pa})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^\#b$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	δ^a	α^c	Comments
163.1010 54	0.05 3	247.317	7/2 ⁺	84.2152	5/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.0 3	5.1 3	$\alpha(\text{N})=0.086\ 15$; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.021\ 4$; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.0039\ 5$; $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.00032\ 6$ $\%I_\gamma=1.3\times 10^{-6}\ 8$ $\alpha(\text{K})=4.0\ 4$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.776\ 19$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.187\ 7$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0502\ 19$; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0120\ 4$; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.00230\ 5$; $\alpha(\text{Q})=0.000190\ 15$ $E_\gamma: 165\ 1$ (1973Br12).
174.15 ^{‡d} 2	<0.007	174?	(5/2 ⁻)	0.0	3/2 ⁻	[M1+E2]		2.7 15	$\%I_\gamma\leq 1.8\times 10^{-7}$ $\alpha(\text{K})=1.8\ 16$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.68\ 4$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.177\ 22$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.048\ 6$; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.0111\ 11$; $\alpha(\text{P})=0.00196\ 6$; $\alpha(\text{Q})=8.7\times 10^{-5}\ 71$
183.489 20	0.04 3	183.4956	5/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁻	(E1)		0.1181 17	$\%I_\gamma=1.0\times 10^{-6}\ 8$ $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0928\ 13$; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0191\ 3$; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00463\ 7$ $\alpha(\text{N})=0.001228\ 18$; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000287\ 4$; $\alpha(\text{P})=5.13\times 10^{-5}\ 8$; $\alpha(\text{Q})=3.05\times 10^{-6}\ 5$ $E_\gamma: 185\ 1$ (1973Br12).

[†] From the Adopted Gammas, which are mostly from ²³¹Th β^- decay, as these are most precisely known. Exceptions are noted.

[‡] γ not seen in **1973Br12**, only an upper limit is given.

[#] From **1973Br12** per 100 decays of α particles, unless otherwise specified.

[@] From γ -branching ratios in the Adopted Gammas, which are mostly from ²³¹Th β^- decay.

[&] From γ -ray transition intensity balance at 84-, 101-, and 102-keV levels, and γ -ray data from ²³¹Th β^- decay.

^a From the Adopted Levels, Gammas dataset.

^b For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by $2.60\times 10^{-5}\ 13$.

^c Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (**2008Ki07**) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^d Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

5

^{235}Np α decay (396.1 d) 1973Br12

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- - -→ γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence
- Coincidence (Uncertain)

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

