		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	C. Morse	NDS 197,259 (2024).	26-Sep-2023

 $(\gamma)(\gamma)(\theta)$  was measured by 1989Ku23.

The level scheme is mainly that of 1989Ku23 which is based on the level scheme constructed in earlier Coulomb-excitation studies by 1984Ge03, except where otherwise noted.

See 1971Fo17, 1971Mc21, 1973Be44, 1977Mi11 for discussions, and for deduced quadrupole and hexadecapole deformation parameters.

1989Ku23: Coulomb excitation of <sup>230</sup>Th by <sup>32</sup>S, <sup>84</sup>Kr, and <sup>142</sup>Nd projectiles at the Munich tandem accelerator using three coaxial Ge(Li) detectors. Scattered projectiles were detected with gas-proportional counters. Measured  $E_{\gamma}$ ,  $I_{\gamma}$ .

## <sup>230</sup>Th Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \dagger}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0‡	$0^{+}$		
53.20 <sup>‡</sup> 2	2+	0.352 ns 5	B(E2)↑=8.06 <i>11</i> (1973Be44) Other measurements: B(E2)=11.1 <i>17</i> ; $\varepsilon$ (L12)B(E2)=4.4, (L1+L2/L3)=1.10 <i>15</i> (1961Re02). T <sub>1/2</sub> : Deduced by evaluator from B(E2)=8.06 <i>11</i> , $\alpha$ =228.
174.10 <sup>‡</sup> 3	4+		-,- •
356.6 <sup>‡</sup> 5	6+		
508.16 <sup>#</sup> 5	1-		
571.77 <sup>#</sup> 10	3-		B(E3)↑=0.64 6 (1974Mc15)
594.1 <sup>‡</sup> 5	8+		
634.9 <sup>@</sup> 1	$0^{+}$		
677.6 <sup>@</sup> 1	$2^{+}$	15 ps 2	B(E2)↑=0.046 6 (1974Mc15)
			T <sub>1/2</sub> : Deduced by evaluator from B(E2)=0.046 <i>6</i> and branching(677 $\gamma$ )=0.35 <i>3</i> (see <sup>230</sup> Th adopted $\gamma$ properties).
686.7 <sup>#</sup>	5-		
769.6 <sup>@</sup>	4+		
781.37 <sup>&amp;</sup> 5	2+	3.3 ps 5	B(E2) $\uparrow$ =0.123 <i>13</i> (1974Mc15) T <sub>1/2</sub> : Deduced by evaluator from B(E2)=0.123 <i>13</i> and branching(781 $\gamma$ )=0.43 <i>3</i> (see <sup>230</sup> Th Adopted Gammas).
825.8 <mark>&amp;</mark> 2	3+		
852.4 <sup>#</sup>	7-		
879.7 <sup>‡</sup>	$10^{+}$		
883.6 <mark>&amp;</mark>	4+		
951.94 <sup>a</sup> 5	1-		
972.69 <sup>d</sup>	2-		
1009.7 <sup><i>p</i></sup> 1	2+	≥0.8 ps	B(E2)↑≤0.084 13 (1974Mc15) 1009- and 1012-keV levels were unresolved in experiment by 1974Mc15. If the excitation of 3-, 1012-keV level is taken as negligible, then B(E2)=0.084 13 (1974Mc15). T <sub>1/2</sub> : Deduced by evaluator from B(E2)≤0.097 and branching(1009 $\gamma$ )=0.29 6 (see <sup>230</sup> Th
$1012.5^{a} 2$ $1040.0^{\&}$ $1052.6^{b}$ $1065.6^{\#}$ $1079.26^{c} 10$ $1108.2^{b}$	3 <sup>-</sup> 6 <sup>+</sup> 3 <sup>+</sup> 9 <sup>-</sup> 2 <sup>-</sup> 4 <sup>+</sup>		Adopted Gammas). $B(E2)=0.084$ 13 yields $1_{1/2}=0.93$ ps 24. B(E3) $\uparrow \le 0.50$ 7 (1974Mc15)

Coulomb excitation	<b>1989Ku23</b> (continued)
--------------------	-----------------------------

						<sup>230</sup> 1	Th Levels (c	ontinued)
E(level) <sup>†</sup> 1109.0 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 1127.85 <sup><i>c</i></sup> 10 1178.6 <sup><i>b</i></sup> 1196.8 <sup><i>c</i></sup> 1207.8 <sup>‡</sup>	$ \begin{array}{c}             J^{\pi \dagger} \\             (5^{-}) \\             3^{-} \\             5^{+} \\             (4^{-}) \\             12^{+} \end{array} $	E(level) <sup>†</sup> 1251.4 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1321.9 <sup>#</sup> 1520.4 <sup>&amp;</sup> 1572.9 <sup>‡</sup> 1617.5 <sup>#</sup>	$\frac{J^{\pi^{\dagger}}}{8^{+}}$ 11 <sup>-</sup> 10 <sup>+</sup> 14 <sup>+</sup> 13 <sup>-</sup>	E(level 1949 <sup>#</sup> 1971.5 2313 <sup>#</sup> 2397.8 2706 <sup>#</sup>	1) <sup>†</sup> ‡ ‡	$     \frac{J^{\pi \dagger}}{15^{-}}     \frac{16^{+}}{17^{-}}     18^{+}     19^{-}   $	E(level) <sup>†</sup> 2850 <sup>‡</sup> 3125? <sup>#</sup> 3325 <sup>‡</sup> 3812? <sup>‡</sup>	$   \begin{array}{c}     \underline{J}^{\pi \dagger} \\     20^{+} \\     (21^{-}) \\     22^{+} \\     (24^{+})   \end{array} $
<ul> <li><sup>†</sup> Adopted</li> <li><sup>‡</sup> Band(A</li> <li><sup>#</sup> Band(B</li> <li><sup>@</sup> Band(C</li> <li><sup>&amp;</sup> Band(C</li> <li><sup>a</sup> Band(E</li> <li><sup>b</sup> Band(F</li> <li><sup>c</sup> Band(G</li> </ul>	d values. (): $K=0^+$ g. (): $K=0^-$ oc (): $K=0^+$ $\beta^-$ (): $K=2^+$ $\gamma^-$ (): $K=1^-$ ba (): $K=2^+$ ba (): $K=2^-$ ba	s. rotational ctupole-vibra vibrational vibrational nd. nd. and.	l band. ational band. band.	band.				
							$\gamma$ ( <sup>230</sup> Th	<u>)</u>
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult.	α <b>&amp;</b>	Comments
53.20 2		53.20	2+	0.0	0+	E2	227.9 32	$ \begin{array}{l} \alpha(L) = 166.8 \ 24; \ \alpha(M) = 45.7 \ 6; \ \alpha(N) = 12.22 \ 17; \\ \alpha(O) = 2.72 \ 4; \ \alpha(P) = 0.448 \ 6; \ \alpha(Q) = 0.001240 \ 17 \\ E_{\gamma}: \ from \ Adopted \ Gammas. \ E_{\gamma} = 53.4 \ was \ measured \ by \\ 1961Re02. \\ Mult.: \ (L1+L2)/L3 = 1.10 \ 5 \ (1961Re02). \ See \ also \ ^{230}Pa \\ \varepsilon \ decay. \end{array} $
<sup>x</sup> 86.5 <sup>x</sup> 89.3 <sup>x</sup> 93.1 <sup>x</sup> 100.6 <sup>x</sup> 105.2 <sup>x</sup> 109.0	171 30 152 21 154 14 22 10 77 11 84 11							
114.9 120.9 <sup>x</sup> 127.5	28 9 1637 20 15 7	686.7 174.10	5- 4+	571.77 53.20	3 <sup>-</sup> 2 <sup>+</sup>			
146.7 <sup>b</sup>		781.37	2+	634.9	0+			Iγ(146.7γ)=13 6 was measured by 1989Ku23 and assigned this γ ray as a transition from the 2 <sup>+</sup> state of the γ-vibrational band to the 0 <sup>+</sup> β-vibrational state (146.7-keV photon is not listed in 1984Ge03). Nonobservation of a 146.6-keV photon in <sup>230</sup> Pa ε decay and in <sup>230</sup> Ac β <sup>-</sup> decay implies that the 146.6-keV transition could be a doublet, and its component deexciting the 781-keV level is possibly weak
<sup>x</sup> 152.8 <sup>x</sup> 157.9	20 7 ≈6							
165.7 182.5 <sup>x</sup> 184.9 <sup>x</sup> 187.5 <sup>x</sup> 203.2	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 \ 9 \\ 4116 \ 77 \\ 91 \ 14 \\ \approx 7 \\ 12 \ 7 \end{array} $	852.4 356.6	7- 6 <sup>+</sup>	686.7 174.10	5- 4 <sup>+</sup>			E=182.8 2 measured by 1983Ha31.
205.2	16 8	883.6	4+	677.6	$2^{+}$			

# $\gamma$ <sup>(230</sup>Th) (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments
213.2 <sup>x</sup> 215.3	30 6 13 6	1065.6	9-	852.4	7-	
237.5 $x^{2}247.4$	1138 <i>14</i> 8 5	594.1	8+	356.6	6+	E=237.2 2 measured by 1983Ha31.
256.3 <sup>#</sup>		1321.9	$11^{-}$	1065.6	9-	
258.3	56 6	852.4	7-	594.1	8+	
<sup>x</sup> 279.6	10 5		101			
285.6 <sup>x</sup> 289.7	150 5 14 4	879.7	10+	594.1	8+	
295.6 <sup>#</sup>		1617.5	13-	1321.9	11-	
x297.2 313.0 x322.5	12 4 12 4 13 4	1520.4	10+	1207.8	12+	
328.1	17 3	1207.8	$12^{+}$	879.7	$10^{+}$	
330.1	80 5	686.7	5-	356.6	6+	
331 <sup>#</sup>		1949	$15^{-}$	1617.5	13-	
<sup>x</sup> 342.1	64					
<sup>x</sup> 359.2	54					
364 <sup>#</sup>		2313	$17^{-}$	1949	$15^{-}$	
365.1 <sup>#</sup>		1572.9	$14^{+}$	1207.8	$12^{+}$	
376 <sup>#b</sup>		1949	15-	1572.9	$14^{+}$	
380.1	≤5	951.94	1-	571.77	3-	I $\gamma$ =2.1 5 from I $\gamma$ (380 $\gamma$ )/I $\gamma$ (951 $\gamma$ )=0.0104 20, measured in <sup>230</sup> Pa $\varepsilon$ decay.
<sup>x</sup> 383.4	≤5					y.
393 <b>#</b>		2706	19-	2313	17-	
397.7	88 6	571.77	3-	174.10	4+	
398.6 <mark>#</mark>		1971.5	16+	1572.9	$14^{+}$	
<sup>x</sup> 404.5	84					
409.7 <sup>#</sup>		1617.5	13-	1207.8	$12^{+}$	
413.0	≤5	769.6	4+	356.6	6+	
<sup>x</sup> 416.9	13 5					
419 <sup>#0</sup>		3125?	$(21^{-})$	2706	19-	
<sup>x</sup> 422.6	21.6					
426.3 <sup>#</sup>	11.5	2397.8	18+	1971.5	16+	
<sup>4</sup> 430.1	11.5	1012 5	2-	571 77	2-	$E_{1} = E_{1} (440, M_{1}(050, 10, 0.25, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10$
440.8		1012.5	3	5/1.//	3	From $1\gamma(440\gamma)/1\gamma(959\gamma)=0.25$ 10, as measured in 250 Pa $\varepsilon$ decay, $1\gamma(440.8\gamma \text{ deexciting } 1012.6 \text{ level}) \le 1.25$ ; $1\gamma(440.8\gamma)=11.5$ is listed in 1989Ku23.
442.2 <sup>#</sup>		1321.9	11-	879.7	$10^{+}$	
443.7	22 5	951.94	1-	508.16	1-	
452 <sup>#</sup>		2850	$20^{+}$	2397.8	$18^{+}$	
455.0	255 10	508.16	1-	53.20	2+	
<sup>x</sup> 460.0 <sup>@</sup>						I $\gamma$ (460.0 $\gamma$ )/I $\gamma$ (182.5 $\gamma$ )=72 10/4116 37 (1984Ge03). This $\gamma$ ray was tentatively placed by 1984Ge03 between the 2 <sup>-</sup> (K=1) state at 972.8 keV and the 1 <sup>-</sup> (K=0) state at 508.2 keV. Its intensity relative to the 919.5 $\gamma$ (transition from the 2 <sup>-</sup> state to the 2 <sup>+</sup> of g.s. band) is stronger than it would be expected for such a transition.
471.5	60 <i>6</i>	1065.6	9-	594.1	8+	
475 <sup>#</sup>		3325	$22^{+}$	2850	$20^{+}$	
487 <sup>#b</sup>		3812?	(24 <sup>+</sup> )	3325	$22^{+}$	
495.8	185 9	852.4	7-	356.6	6+	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

# $\gamma$ <sup>(230</sup>Th) (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments
503.4 508.2 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	31 5 201 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 11	677.6 508.16	2+ 1 <sup>-</sup>	174.10 0.0	4+ 0+	Iγ(508.2γ)=205 10 is listed in 1989Ku23 for the doublet. The deduced Iγ(508γ deexciting 1079-keV level)=4 2, yields Iγ(508.2γ deexciting 508-keV level)/Iγ(455γ)=201 11/255 10=0.79 6 in Coulomb excitation; however, 0.57 6 was deduced in <sup>230</sup> Pa ε decay.
508.2 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 512.6 <sup><i>x</i></sup> 515.8	4 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 2 145 8 27 7	1079.26 686.7	2 <sup>-</sup> 5 <sup>-</sup>	571.77 174.10	3 <sup>-</sup> 4 <sup>+</sup>	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from I $\gamma$ (508 $\gamma$ )/I $\gamma$ (1026 $\gamma$ )=0.15 8, as determined in <sup>230</sup> Pa $\varepsilon$ decay.
518.6 <sup>x</sup> 521.6	122 8 12 5	571.77	3-	53.20	2+	
527.0	17 4	883.6	4+	356.6	$6^{+}$	
537.0	≤5	1109.0	$(5^{-})$	571.77	3-	
<sup>x</sup> 553.1	23 6					
556.0	≤5	1127.85	3-	571.77	3-	
<sup>x</sup> 562.5	95					
<sup>x</sup> 566.0	15 6					
571.1	≈6	1079.26	2-	508.16	1-	From $I\gamma(571\gamma)/I\gamma(1026\gamma)=0.74$ 4, measured in <sup>230</sup> Th decay, $I\gamma(571.1\gamma)$ is expected to be 19 8.
<sup>x</sup> 575.2	28 6					
581.6	105 9	634.9	$0^+$	53.20	2+	
595.5	96	769.6	4'	174.10	4'	
*600.2	15.0		<b>a</b> +		.+	230
607.3	≤5	781.37	2*	174.10	4-	$1\gamma(60/\gamma)/1\gamma(728\gamma)=0.026$ 12 was measured in <sup>250</sup> Pa $\varepsilon$ decay; this ratio is $\leq 0.013$ here.
^608.4	13.6		- 1		- 1	220-
624.3	53 7	677.6	2+	53.20	2+	$I\gamma(624\gamma)/I\gamma(677\gamma)=1.36\ 25$ here; 0.90 30 was measured in <sup>230</sup> Pa $\varepsilon$ decay.
640.7 <sup>x</sup> 648.7	95 135	1520.4	10+	879.7	10+	
651.7	22 6	825.8	3+	174.10	4+	$I\gamma(651\gamma)/I\gamma(772\gamma)=0.41$ 13 in 1989Ku23, 0.28 12 in 1984Ge03, in 0.17 6 from <sup>230</sup> Pa $\varepsilon$ decay.
657.3 <sup>x</sup> 667.7	16 6 15 6	1251.4	8+	594.1	8+	
677.5	39 5	677.6	$2^{+}$	0.0	$0^{+}$	
683.4 <sup>x</sup> 687.2	125 8 18 6	1040.0	6+	356.6	6+	
709.5	268 11	883.6	4+	174.10	4+	
716.4	11 4	769.6	4+	53.20	2+	
728.2 <sup>x</sup> 749.7	408 <i>13</i> 45 7	781.37	2+	53.20	2+	
<sup>x</sup> 753.9 <sup>@</sup>						$I\gamma(753.9\gamma)/I\gamma(182.5\gamma)=44\ 8/4116\ 37\ (1984Ge03)$ . This $\gamma$ ray was placed by 1984Ge03 between the $J^{\pi}=5^-$ , K=1 state at 1109.0 keV and the 6 <sup>+</sup> of the g.s. band. A relative photon intensity of $\leq 4$ would be expected from the Alaga rule: $I\gamma(753\gamma \text{ to } 6^+)/I\gamma(935\gamma \text{ to } 4^+)=0.83$ .
<sup>x</sup> 761.4	13 6					
772.6	54 7	825.8	3+	53.20	$2^{+}$	
<sup>x</sup> 776.6	17 7					
781.4	238 11	781.37	$2^{+}$	0.0	$0^{+}$	
<sup>x</sup> 789.3	10 6					
<sup>x</sup> 798.7	17 6					The 798.7-keV transition was placed by 1989Ku23 between the $2^-$ state at 972.8 keV and the 4 <sup>+</sup> state at 174.1 keV, which would require the 798.7 $\times$ to be an M2 transition
<sup>x</sup> 803 7	19.6					176.17 to be an MZ transition.
x811.3	16.5					

# $\gamma(^{230}\text{Th})$ (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments
822.0	17 5	1178.6	5+	356.6	6+	
*827.3	31 /	0076	4+	52.20	$2^+$	
830.4 836 0	97 0 24 7	000.0 1000.7	4 2+	35.20	∠ ⊿+	$I_{2}(826a)/I_{2}(956a) = 0.052.20$ from $230$ Pa a decay: this ratio is 0.20.8 in
830.2	34 /	1009.7	Z	174.10	4	1989Ku23.
<sup>x</sup> 842.7	34 8					
<sup>x</sup> 851.4	21 10					
*855.4 x861.5	12.9					
865.9	$\leq 3$	1040.0	6+	174 10	⁄1+	
x872 3	15.0	1040.0	0	1/4.10	4	
879.0	27 10	1052.6	3+	174 10	$4^{+}$	
<sup>x</sup> 886 9	30 10	1052.0	5	171.10		
894.8	10 7	1251.4	8+	356.6	6+	
898.7	32 11	951.94	1-	53.20	2+	
<sup>x</sup> 908.4	28 11					
<sup>x</sup> 914.2	26 11					
919.6	≤5	972.69	2-	53.20	$2^{+}$	
926.3	27 11	1520.4	$10^{+}$	594.1	$8^{+}$	
934.0	26 12	1108.2	4+	174.10	4+	
935.0	≤5	1109.0	(5 <sup>-</sup> )	174.10	4+	
<sup>x</sup> 940.5	≈10				0.±	
951.9	203 26	951.94	1-	0.0	$0^+$	
956.6	118 1/	1009.7	2-	53.20	2+	
959.4 X066.6	<u>≤</u> 3 10 16	1012.5	3	53.20	2.	
x070.2	~15					
x975.6	$^{\sim}13$ 57 15					
<sup>x</sup> 984.2	≈11					
<sup>x</sup> 992.0						
1000.0	21 10	1052.6	3+	53.20	$2^{+}$	
1009.8	43 10	1009.7	2+	0.0	$0^{+}$	$I\gamma(1009\gamma)/I\gamma(956\gamma)=0.68$ 15 was measured in <sup>230</sup> Pa $\varepsilon$ decay; this ratio
1000 7	26.10	1106.0	(4-)	174.10	4±	is 0.36 10 in 1989Ku23.
1022.7	26 10	1196.8	$(4^{-})$	174.10	4 <sup>+</sup> 2 <sup>+</sup>	
1026.1	26 10	1079.26	2	53.20	2.	
x1048 1	40.11 24.10					
1048.1	24 10 44 11	1108.2	$\Delta^+$	53 20	$2^{+}$	
x1062.5	31 12	1100.2	7	55.20	2	
<sup>x</sup> 1069.3	19 11					
1074.6	33 14	1127.85	3-	53.20	$2^{+}$	
<sup>x</sup> 1081.2	26 16					
<sup>x</sup> 1089.9	17 14					
<sup>x</sup> 1094.3	≈13					
<sup>x</sup> 1100.5	≈12					
<sup>x</sup> 1111.3	≈11					
×1121.7	≈9					
×1126.8	23 13					
×1150.9	≈0 ~6					
x1160.4	~0					
x1170 A@	11					$I_{2}(1170 A_{2})/I_{2}(182 5_{2}) = 31.7/4116.37 (1084C_{2}02)$
11/0.4 - x1104 4						$I_{Y}(11/0.4Y)/I_{Y}(102.3Y) = 51 / (4110 3/ (19040003)).$
~1184.4 °						$1\gamma(1184.4\gamma)/1\gamma(182.5\gamma)=24\ 0/4110\ 3/\ (1984Ge03).$
^1188.9 <sup>w</sup>						$I\gamma(1188.9\gamma)/I\gamma(182.5\gamma)=30\ 7/4116\ 37\ (1984Ge03).$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

#### $\gamma$ (<sup>230</sup>Th) (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	Comments
<sup>x</sup> 1313.1 <sup>@</sup> <sup>x</sup> 1323.0 <sup>@</sup>		$I_{\gamma}(1313.1\gamma)/I_{\gamma}(182.5\gamma)=16 5/4116 37 (1984Ge03).$ $I_{\gamma}(1323.0\gamma)/I_{\gamma}(182.5\gamma)=39 8/4116 37 (1984Ge03).$

<sup>†</sup> From 1989Ku23, unless noted otherwise.

<sup>‡</sup> Relative experimental photon intensities (1989Ku23) in ( ${}^{32}S, {}^{32}S'\gamma$ ) experiment in coincidence with  ${}^{32}S$  ions scattered into the angle intervals of  $60^{\circ} \le \theta \le 105^{\circ}$  and  $-105^{\circ} \le \theta \le -160^{\circ}$ . The stronger lines were corrected for the angular correlations. The dependence of the  $\gamma$ -ray intensity on the scattering angle (therefore, impact parameter) was utilized for identifying multipolarities. Measured intensities of  $\gamma$  rays coincident with  ${}^{32}S$  scattered into  $50^{\circ} \le \theta \le 150^{\circ}$  and  $162^{\circ} \le \theta \le 172^{\circ}$  were listed in 1984Ge03. For approximate comparison with I $\gamma$ 's in 1989Ku23, the relative intensities of 1984Ge03 have been renormalized to I $\gamma$ (182.5 $\gamma$ )=4116 and given in comments.

<sup>#</sup> Transition is not listed in table of 1989Ku23; it is assumed to be observed in <sup>142</sup>Nd and/or <sup>84</sup>Kr bombardments;  $E\gamma$  is taken from authors' drawing.

<sup>@</sup> From 1984Ge03; transition was not listed in 1989Ku23. See 1984Ge03 for additional  $\gamma$  rays for which no assignments were made.

& Additional information 1.

<sup>*a*</sup> Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

<sup>b</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.



 $^{230}_{90}{\rm Th}_{140}$ 

# $\frac{\text{Level Scheme (continued)}}{\text{Intensities: Relative }I_{\gamma}}$

@ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided



Legend



 $^{230}_{90}{\rm Th}_{140}$ 



 $^{230}_{90}{
m Th}_{140}$ 



 $^{230}_{90}{\rm Th}_{140}$ 

				Band(G): K=2 <sup>-</sup> band			
				(4-)	1196.8		
		Band(F):	K=2 <sup>+</sup> band				
		5+	1178.6				
				3	1127.85		
Band(E):	K=1 <sup>-</sup> band						
(5-)	1109.0	<u>4</u> +	1108.2				
				2-	1079.26		
		<u>3</u> +	1052.6				
3-	1012.5	2+	1009.7				
			•				
2-	972.69						
	•						
	0.51.01						
1-	951.94						

 $^{230}_{90}{\rm Th}_{140}$