

Adopted Levels

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. S. Basunia <sup>#</sup> , A. Chakraborty <sup>##</sup>		NDS 171, 1 (2021)	1-Jun-2020

S(n)=17712 SY; S(p)=1785 SY; Q( $\alpha$ )=-10556 SY [2017Wa10](#)

$\Delta S(n)=711$  (syst),  $\Delta S(p)=503$  (syst),  $\Delta Q\alpha=505$  (syst) ([2017Wa10](#)). Q( $\epsilon p$ )=16810 500 syst ([2017Wa10](#)); Q( $\epsilon 2p$ )=1.13 $\times 10^4$  – deduced by evaluators using mass data in [2017Wa10](#).

S(2p)=1790 500 syst ([2017Wa10](#)).

Particle stability established in nickel +  $^{40}\text{Ca}$  reactions ([1986La17](#)).

 $^{23}\text{Si}$  LevelsCross Reference (XREF) Flags

A  $^9\text{Be}(^{24}\text{Si}, ^{23}\text{Si})$

E(level)	J $^\pi$	T $_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments
0.0	(5/2) <sup>+</sup>	42.3 ms 4	A	<p><math>\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 100</math>; <math>\% \epsilon p \approx 88</math>; <math>\% \epsilon 2p = 3.6</math> 4  <math>\% \epsilon p, \% \epsilon 2p</math>: From <a href="#">1997B104</a>. <math>\% \epsilon p</math> from <math>\approx 92\%</math> (<math>\epsilon p + \epsilon 2p</math>) – 3.6. Other values: <math>\% \epsilon p = 73</math> 6 and <math>\% \epsilon 2p = 1.5</math> 11 (<a href="#">2018Wa05</a>) – obtained by evaluators from data in Table 1. Peaks 10 and 11 were identified by <a href="#">2018Wa05</a> as <math>\beta 2p</math> branch. Note that the sum 75 6, from listed <math>\% \epsilon p</math> and <math>\% \epsilon 2p</math> in Table 1 (<a href="#">2018Wa05</a>) is in good agreement with the sum 75 3, in Fig. 5 and Table 1 (<a href="#">1997B104</a>). However, <a href="#">1997B104</a> recommend <math>\sim 92\%</math> (<math>\epsilon p + \epsilon 2p</math>) considering peaks in Fig. 1 and peaks not listed in their Table 1. <a href="#">1997B104</a> mention their recommended value of <math>\sim 92\%</math> is in agreement with the predicted value of 94% (Ref. 27 (private communication)). <a href="#">2018Wa05</a> did not comment on total <math>\% (\epsilon p + \epsilon 2p)</math> branch. The evaluators recommend the value of <a href="#">1997B104</a>.</p> <p>J<math>^\pi</math>: L=2 in (<math>^{24}\text{Si}, ^{23}\text{Si}</math>). 5/2<sup>+</sup> from shell model (<a href="#">1990Br26</a>).</p> <p>T<math>_{1/2}</math>: From <a href="#">1997Cz02, 1997B104</a>. Other value: 40.17 ms 186 (<a href="#">2018Wa05</a>). <a href="#">1997B104</a> also present measured values from decay-time characteristics for different event groups as 46.8 ms 20, 40.9 ms 10, and 37.4 ms 99. The adopted half-life of 42.3 ms 4 by <a href="#">1997B104</a> was measured considering all events. Note that the value 40.7 ms 4 (<a href="#">1997B104</a>) in the abstract and on page 250 is a misprint, confirmed by first author B. Blank (private communication with B. Singh, dated Nov. 29, 2018).</p>