

²⁶Mg(p,αγ) 1972Li02,1966Po06

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. S. Basunia [#] , A. Chakraborty ^{##}		NDS 171,1 (2021)	1-Jun-2020

Other references: 1967Ri04, 1971Ph01, 1974Pr12, 1987Do06.

1972LI02: ²⁶Mg(p,αγ) E=14.25 MeV. Measured αγ(θ), pγ(θ), I_γ, multigap magnetic spectrometer, NaI(Tl). Also limited data for ²³Na(α,αγ).

1966Po06: 95% enriched ²⁶Mg metallic target, E_p=9.3-10.5 MeV; α particle were detected by annular surface barrier and γ by NaI detectors. Measured α-γ coincidence, angular correlations, deduced excited level spin, multipole mixing ratio of γ transitions.

All data from 1972Li02, except otherwise noted.

²³Na Levels

E(level)	J ^π †	Comments
0	3/2	
440 2	5/2	
2077 2	7/2	
2391 2	1/2	
2640 2	1/2	
2703 2	9/2	
2981 2	3/2,(5/2)	
3679 3	3/2	
3851 4	5/2	J ^π : From transition strength analysis (1972Li02).
3912 4	5/2	
4430 6		
4775 6	5/2,7/2	
5380 6	3/2,5/2	
5538 9	11/2	
5740 7	5/2,3/2	
5926 6		
5967 5		
6043 5		
6124 10		
6200 7		
6238 7	(13/2,9/2)	
6311 5	1/2	
6356 9		
6584 5	9/2,5/2	

† From γ ray angular correlation studies (1972Li02), except where otherwise noted.

γ(²³Na)

E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _γ [†]	I _γ	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.‡	δ	Comments
440	5/2	440	100	0	3/2	D+Q	+0.09 1	A ₂ =-0.21 2; A ₄ =+0.06 3 A ₂ =-0.229 15; A ₄ =+0.004 3 (1966Po06) δ: Other: +0.08 2 (1966Po06).
2077	7/2	1637	90 2	440	5/2	D+Q	+0.22 2	A ₂ =+0.10 2; A ₄ =+0.02 3 A ₂ =+0.06 4; A ₄ =+0.03 7 (1966Po06) I _γ : Other: 91 2 (1966Po06). δ: Other: +0.20 3 for 7/2 and -0.14 8 for (3/2) (1966Po06).
		2077	10 2	0	3/2	Q+O	-0.14 11	A ₂ =-0.27 8; A ₄ =-0.51 12 I _γ : Other: 9 2 (1966Po06).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{26}\text{Mg}(p,\alpha\gamma)$ **1972Li02,1966Po06 (continued)** $\gamma(^{23}\text{Na})$ (continued)

$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ^\dagger	I_γ	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	δ	Comments
2391	1/2	1951	37 3	440	5/2			$A_2=-0.06$ 6; $A_4=-0.04$ 9 (1966Po06) I_γ : Wt. ave. of 39 3 (1972Li02) and 33 4 (1966Po06).
		2391	63 3	0	3/2			$A_2=+0.08$ 6; $A_4=-0.03$ 6 (1966Po06) I_γ : Wt. ave. of 61 3 (1972Li02) and 67 4 (1966Po06).
2640	1/2	2640	100	0	3/2			$A_2=+0.03$ 2; $A_4=+0.01$ 2 (1972Li02) $A_2=+0.00$ 3; $A_4=-0.02$ 5 (1966Po06)
2703	9/2	626	35 2	2077	7/2	D+Q	+0.10 2	$A_2=-0.14$ 4; $A_4=+0.10$ 6 $A_2=-0.09$ 9; $A_4=-0.02$ 2 (1966Po06) I_γ : Wt. ave. of 37 2 (1972Li02) and 32 2 (1966Po06).
		2263	65 2	440	5/2	Q(+O)	-0.04 7	δ : Other: +0.12 4 (1966Po06) for 9/2. $A_2=+0.53$ 10; $A_4=-0.32$ 17 $A_2=+0.41$ 9; $A_4=-0.34$ 13 (1966Po06) I_γ : Wt. ave. of 63 2 (1972Li02) and 68 2 (1966Po06).
								δ : Wt. ave. of -0.02 13 (1972Li02) and -0.05 7 (1966Po06) for 9/2.
2981	3/2,(5/2)	2541	45 2	440	5/2	D+Q	-0.05 5	$A_2=+0.06$ 4; $A_4=-0.11$ 7 $A_2=+0.22$ 20; $A_4=+0.33$ 30 (1966Po06) I_γ : Other: 40 5 (1966Po06).
		2981	55 2	0	3/2	D(+Q)	-0.01 2	δ : Others: -0.3 3 or -3 2 for 3/2 (1966Po06). $A_2=+0.35$ 2; $A_4=-0.06$ 4 $A_2=+0.52$ 6; $A_4=-0.01$ 10 (1966Po06) I_γ : Other: 60 5 (1966Po06).
								δ : Others: +0.11 5 or +2.7 4 for 3/2 and +0.54 11 for (5/2) (1966Po06). The other higher value of -4.1 7 in 1972Li02 can be rejected on the basis of unlikely high quadrupole transition strength.
3679	3/2	1039	14 2	2640	1/2	D+Q	-0.22 10	$A_2=-0.77$ 5; $A_4=-0.06$ 8
		1288	2 1	2391	1/2			
		3239	81 2	440	5/2	D(+Q)	-0.02 6	$A_2=-0.07$ 2; $A_4=-0.10$ 3
		3679	3 2	0	3/2			
3851	5/2	1211	7 2	2640	1/2			
		1774	53 6	2077	7/2	D(+Q)	-0.02 5	$A_2=-0.13$ 4; $A_4=+0.08$ 7
		3411	17 5	440	5/2	D+Q	-0.21 14	$A_2=-0.05$ 4; $A_4=-0.11$ 7
		3851	23 5	0	3/2			
3912	5/2	931		2981	3/2,(5/2)			
		1835		2077	7/2			
		3472		440	5/2			
		3912		0	3/2			
4430		4430	100	0	3/2			
4775	5/2,7/2	2072	15 2	2703	9/2			
		2698	25 2	2077	7/2	D(+Q)	-0.01 16	$A_2=+0.44$ 9; $A_4=-0.24$ 14 δ : -0.01 16 or +1.2 4 for 7/2, and -0.06 3 for 5/2 (1972Li02). Larger value +1.2 4 rejected by the evaluators for RUL using data in the adopted dataset.
		4335	54 2	440	5/2	D+Q	+0.19 3	$A_2=+0.02$ 3; $A_4=+0.03$ 4 δ : +0.19 3 for 7/2, -0.37 3 for 5/2 (1972Li02).
		4774	6 2	0	3/2			
5380	3/2,5/2	3303	25 2	2077	7/2	D+Q	+0.15 8	$A_2=-0.12$ 6; $A_4=-0.08$ 9 δ : +0.15 8 for 5/2, and -0.10 5 or -2.00 3 for 3/2 (1972Li02).
		4939	63 2	440	5/2	D+Q	-0.27 5	$A_2=+0.14$ 3; $A_4=-0.05$ 5

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{26}\text{Mg}(\text{p},\alpha\gamma)$ **1972Li02,1966Po06 (continued)** $\gamma(^{23}\text{Na})$ (continued)

$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_γ^\dagger	I_γ	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	δ	Comments
								δ : -0.27 15 for 5/2, and -0.23 6 or -2.1 3 for 3/2 (1972Li02).
5380	3/2,5/2	5379	12 2	0	3/2	D+Q	-0.05 5	$A_2=-0.54$ 12; $A_4=+0.23$ 18
								δ : -0.05 15 for 5/2, and $0.6 \leq \delta \leq 3.2$ for 3/2 (1972Li02).
5538	11/2	2835	76 6	2703	9/2	D+Q	$+0.17$ 3	$A_2=+0.6$ 4; $A_4=-0.04$ 6
		3461	24 5	2077	7/2	Q(+O)	-0.06 20	$A_2=-0.45$ 13; $A_4=-0.45$ 31
5740	5/2,3/2	5299	37 2	440	5/2	D+Q	-0.7 9	$A_2=+0.23$ 7; $A_4=-0.21$ 11
								δ : -0.7 9 or $3.0 \leq \delta \leq 5.9$ for 5/2, and -0.38 20 or -1.7 6 for 3/2 (1972Li02).
		5739	63 2	0	3/2	D+Q	$+0.21$ 3	$A_2=+0.02$ 5; $A_4=+0.11$ 7
5967		3327	100	2640	1/2			δ : $+0.21$ 3 for 5/2, and -0.25 5 for 3/2 (1972Li02).
6043		2192	49 8	3851	5/2			I_γ : (100) in 1972Li02.
		2364	17 10	3679	3/2			I_γ : (8±3) in 1972Li02.
		3340	8 3	2703	9/2			
		5602	26 4	440	5/2			
6238	(13/2,9/2)	3535	100	2703	9/2	D+Q		$A_2=+0.20$ 8; $A_4=-0.38$ 13
								I_γ : (100) in 1972Li02.
6311	1/2	3920	100	2391	1/2			δ : $\delta=-0.15$ 14 for 13/2 or $+1.6$ 5 for 9/2 (1972Li02).
								$A_2=-0.02$ 5; $A_4=+0.05$ 8
6584	9/2,5/2	3881	18 3	2703	9/2			I_γ : (100) in 1972Li02.
		4507	36 3	2077	7/2			
		6143	45 4	440	5/2			$A_2=-0.59$ 9; $A_4=-0.29$ 13
								δ : $\delta=+0.44$ 14 for 5/2 or $+0.13$ 7 for 9/2.
								$A_2=+0.30$ 7; $A_4=-0.34$ 9
								δ : $\delta=+2.6$ 4 for D+Q from 5/2 or -0.10 4 for Q+O from 9/2.

† From level energy difference. Recoil energy subtracted and rounded to nearest keV.

‡ Based on angular distribution coefficients (1972Li02) – assigned by evaluators.

$^{26}\text{Mg}(\text{p},\alpha\gamma)$ 1972LJ02,1966P006

Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level

