

$^{24}\text{Mg}(\text{p,d}),(\text{pol p,d})$ 1986Mi01,1979Mi15,2020Kw01

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. S. Basunia [#] , A. Chakraborty ^{##}		NDS 171, 1 (2021)	1-Jun-2020

Other references: 1994Ku06, 1984Ha02, 1984Al21, 1982Mi10, 1980Ho18, 1980Oh06, 1979Cl03, 1975Ka10, 1968Ko11, 2001Ba17. 1986Mi01: $^{24}\text{Mg}(\text{pol p,d})$ E=49.2, 94.8, 150.3 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$, $A_y(\theta)$. FWHM 200-300 keV. 1986Mi01, 1984Al21, 1982Mi10, and 1979Mi15 same research group. 1979Mi15: $^{24}\text{Mg}(\text{p,d})$ E=94.8 MeV. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$, performed DWBA analysis. FWHM = 80 keV. 2020Kw01: $^{24}\text{Mg}(\text{p,d})$ E=31 MeV. $\Delta E+E$ Si telescope. Measured $\sigma(\theta)$, deduced excited levels, L, spin-parity. DWBA calculations. 99.9% enriched target. Energy resolution to the ground state was about 0.4%. 1994Ku06: $^{24}\text{Mg}(\text{p,d})$ E=34.945 MeV. Magnetic spectrograph. Deduced possible spin-parity.

 ^{23}Mg Levels

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi b}$	L [†]	S^e	Comments
0.0	3/2 ⁺	2	0.11	S: 0.12 (for 94.8 MeV) and 0.13 (for 150.3 MeV) (1986Mi01). $d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}}=1100 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}}=10^\circ$ (1979Mi15).
450 ^c 1	5/2 ⁺	2	1.5	S: 1.8 (for 94.8 MeV) and 1.7 (for 150.3 MeV) (1986Mi01). $d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}}=6840 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}}=11^\circ$ (1979Mi15).
2048 ^c 2				E(level): Other: 2050 (1986Mi01).
2360	1/2 ⁺	0	0.09	E(level): 2359.0 14 (from literature) was used for internal energy calibration (2020Kw01). S: and 0.06 (for 49.2 MeV), 0.12 and 0.08 (for 94.8 MeV), 0.23 and 0.14 (for 150.3 MeV) (1986Mi01). $d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}}=118 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}}=18.5^\circ$ (1979Mi15).
2770	1/2 ⁻	1	1.4	E(level): 2771 1 (from literature) was used for internal energy calibration (2020Kw01). L: In 2020Kw01, $\sigma(\theta)$ fitted well considering L=1 and a constant contribution for DWBA calculations, instead of only L=1. S: 1.8 (for 94.8 MeV) and 1.8 (for 150.3 MeV) (1986Mi01). $d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}}>4760 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}}<6.5^\circ$ (1979Mi15).
2919 ^c 6	(3/2 ⁺)	2	0.09	E(level): Other: 2910 – poorly resolved (1986Mi01). S: 0.095 (for 94.8 MeV) and 0.07 (for 150.3 MeV) (1986Mi01). $d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}}=955 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}}=8^\circ$ (1979Mi15).
3800	3/2 ⁻	1	0.62	S: 0.95 (for 94.8 MeV) and 0.90 (for 150.3 MeV) (1986Mi01). $d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}}>1800 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}}<6.5^\circ$ (1979Mi15).
3810 ^c 4				E(level): Possible doublet of 3793 and 3859 – measured $\sigma(\theta)$ fitted well with considerations of L=1 (83.7%) and L=2 (16.3%) – 2020Kw01 noted. In Adopted Levels the corresponding energies are 3794.1 and 3860.6, respectively.
3974 ^c 3				E(level): Other: 3970 (1979Mi15 – Fig. 1).
4363 ^c 2	1/2 ⁺	0	0.036	E(level): Other: 4360 (1986Mi01). S: and 0.025 (for 49.2 MeV), 0.070 and 0.045 (for 94.8 MeV), 0.070 0.050 (for 150.3 MeV) (1986Mi01). $d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}}=86 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}}=18^\circ$ (1979Mi15).
4686 ^c 7				E(level): Other: 4680 (1979Mi15 – Fig. 1). $d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}}=30 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}}=21.5^\circ$ (1979Mi15).
5290 [#]		2		E(level): 5286 1 (from literature) was used for internal energy calibration (2020Kw01). L: From 1982Mi10. $d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}}=910 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}}=11.5^\circ$ (1979Mi15).
5450 ^{?‡}				$d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}}=4.0 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}}=47^\circ$ – data presented with question a ? mark in 1979Mi15, probably to indicate uncertain data.
5688 ^c 7				E(level): Other: 5690 (1979Mi15 – Fig. 1).
5990 [#]		1		E(level): 5992 1 (from literature) was used for internal energy calibration (2020Kw01). L: From 1982Mi10.
6144 ^c 3	(3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺) ^c	2 ^c		$d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}}>1310 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}}<6.5^\circ$ (1979Mi15). E(level),J ^π : 2020Kw01 compare this level with 6129.3 and discuss the disagreement

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{24}\text{Mg}(\text{p,d}),(\text{pol p,d})$ **1986Mi01,1979Mi15,2020Kw01 (continued)** ^{23}Mg Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi b}$	L^{\dagger}	Comments
			with $J^{\pi}=7/2^{-}$. However, the level energy is also comparable with 6132.3 keV in Adopted Levels.
6246 ^c 4			
6391 ^c 2	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺) ^c	2 ^c	E(level), J^{π} : 2020Kw01 compare this level with 6372.5 and discuss the disagreement with $J^{\pi}=7/2^{+}$. However, the level energy is significantly different – might be considered as a different level.
6537 ^c 3	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺) ^c	2 ^{cd}	E(level): Other: 6540 (1979Mi15 – Fig. 1). L: Implied from the spin and parity as of the text in 2020Kw01.
6802 ^c 2	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺) ^c	2 ^c	E(level): Other: 6810 (1979Mi15 – Fig. 1).
6912 ^c 6	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺) ^c	2 ^{cd}	
7007 ^c 5	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺) ^c	2 ^{cd}	
7144 ^c 4	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺) ^c	2 ^{cd}	
7260 ^c 6	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺) ^c	2 ^c	E(level),L: Assuming the peak arose from a singlet state (2020Kw01). Other excitation energy: 7240 20 (1979Mi15).
7441 ^c 8			E(level): Other: 7420 30 (1979Mi15).
7585 [@] 10			E(level): Other: 7610 30 (1979Mi15).
7625 ^c 9		4	E(level),L: Other: 7624 10 (1994Ku06). L from 1994Ku06.
7643 [@] 10		2	L: From 1994Ku06.
7788 ^c 5	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺) ^c	2 ^{cd}	E(level),L: Others: 7782 10 (1994Ku06), 7790 30 (1979Mi15). L=(1) (1979Mi15).
7857 ^c 10			E(level): Other: 7856 10 (1994Ku06).
8014 [@] 10			
8044 [@] 4			E(level): Others: 8055 10 (1994Ku06), 8060 40 (1979Mi15).
8072 [@] 10			
8141 ^{&} 5			E(level): Others: 8142 at 8° (2001Ba17).
8170 ^{ac} 4			E(level): Others: 8170 5 at 16° and 8168 at 8° angle (2001Ba17).
8197 ^{&a} 5			E(level): Other: 8195 at 8° angle (2001Ba17).
8330 ^c 6	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺) ^c	2 ^{cd}	E(level),L: Assuming the peak arose from a singlet state (2020Kw01).
8436 ^c 7			E(level): Other: 8420 40 (1979Mi15).
8610 [‡] 40			
8770 ^c 8			E(level): Other: 8770 50 (1979Mi15).
8924 ^c 5		1 [‡]	E(level): Other: 8910 20 (1979Mi15). $d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}} > 177 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}} < 8.6^{\circ}$ (1979Mi15).
9020 [‡] 30		1 [‡]	$d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}} > 122 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}} < 8.6^{\circ}$ (1979Mi15).
9123 ^c 7			E(level): Other: 9140 40 (1979Mi15).
9350 ^c 13			
9472 ^c 7		0+1 or 2 [‡]	E(level): Other: 9490 40 (1979Mi15).
9642 ^c 8			E(level): Used for internal energy calibration (2020Kw01).
9670 [‡] 20		1 [‡]	$d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}} > 421 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}} < 8.6^{\circ}$ (1979Mi15).
9750 [‡] 50			
9850 [‡] 30		(2) [‡]	
9970 [‡] 40		(1) [‡]	L: (2 step + 1 = 1).
10120 [‡] 50			L: (2 step) (1979Mi15).
10270 [‡] 30		(1) [‡]	L: (2 step + 1 = 1).
10440 [‡] 50		(1) [‡]	L: (2 step + 1 = 1).
10570 [‡] 20		1 [‡]	$d\sigma/d\Omega_{\text{c.m.}} > 306 \mu\text{b/sr}$ at $\theta_{\text{c.m.}} < 8.6^{\circ}$ (1979Mi15).
10750 [‡] 40		(2) [‡]	L: (2 step + 1 = 2).
10920 70		‡	
11030 60		‡	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{24}\text{Mg}(\text{p,d}),(\text{pol p,d})$ 1986Mi01,1979Mi15,2020Kw01 (continued) ^{23}Mg Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>L[†]</u>	<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>L[†]</u>	<u>E(level)[†]</u>	<u>L[†]</u>
11210 60	‡	11800 40	‡	12690 80	‡
11380 60	‡	11990 50	‡	12940 80	‡
11540 60	‡	12480 80	‡	13280 80	‡

[†] From 1986Mi01, except where otherwise noted.

[‡] From 1979Mi15.

[#] From Fig. 1 in 1979Mi15.

[@] From Fig. 1 in 1994Ku06. Authors noted uncertainty within 10 keV.

[&] From 2001Ba17 (p,d) at 16° angle, measured values at 8° angle listed in comments section. 2001Ba17 used $^{24}\text{Mg}(\text{p,d})$ reaction product as calibration standard. 5-keV uncertainty for 8170 and 8197 keV levels assigned by evaluators based on statement listed for 8141 keV level as “error similar to that observed for the other two states” (2001Ba17).

^a 8180 30 (1979Mi15) appears to be a doublet of 8170 and 8197.

^b From vector analyzing power in 1986Mi01, except where otherwise noted.

^c From 2020Kw01. Spin and parity are based on L – determined from measured $\sigma(\theta)$ and DWBA calculations.

^d Not presented/listed in Fig/Table, implied in the text and proposed spin and parity in Table 1 (2020Kw01).

^e C²S value for 49.2 MeV in column and for 94.8, 150.3 MeV in comments section (1986Mi01).