

${}^4\text{He}({}^{22}\text{O}, {}^{23}\text{F}\gamma)$  2006Mi16

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. S. Basunia <sup>#</sup> , A. Chakraborty <sup>##</sup>		NDS 171, 1 (2021)	1-Jun-2020

Others: 2005Sh46, 2005Mi32, 2007Mi25 – All from the same research group and 2006Mi16 supersedes all the other papers.

Based on XUNDL: Compiled by B. Singh and J. Roediger (McMaster), May 16, 2005.

Includes  ${}^4\text{He}$ -induced reactions in inverse kinematics:  ${}^4\text{He}({}^{22}\text{O}, {}^{23}\text{F}\gamma)$ ,  ${}^4\text{He}({}^{23}\text{F}, {}^{23}\text{F}\gamma)$ ,  ${}^4\text{He}({}^{24}\text{F}, {}^{23}\text{F}\gamma)$ , and  ${}^4\text{He}({}^{25}\text{Ne}, {}^{23}\text{F}\gamma)$ .

Beams of  ${}^{22}\text{O}$ ,  ${}^{23}\text{F}$ ,  ${}^{24}\text{F}$ , and  ${}^{25}\text{Ne}$  were produced as secondary beams from 63 MeV/nucleon  ${}^{40}\text{Ar}$  primary beam impinging on a  ${}^9\text{Be}$  target. Fragments were analyzed by RIPS separator at RIKEN facility. The secondary beam particles were identified event-by-event according to the energy loss signals from a silicon detector and the time of flight between two plastic scintillators at 5 meters apart along the beamline. The secondary beams were allowed to bombard a liquid helium target. The reaction products detected by a  $\Delta\text{E}$ -E telescope. The identification of the reaction products were carried out using time-of-flight (TOF), energy loss ( $\Delta\text{E}$ ), and energy (E). The  $\Delta\text{E}$ -E telescope consisted of 9 silicon (for  $\Delta\text{E}$  measurement) and 36 NaI(Tl) (for E measurement) detectors. The gamma rays from the reaction products were detected with an array (DALI2) of 150 NaI(Tl) detectors. Measured  $E_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$  and angular distribution of the outgoing  ${}^{23}\text{F}$  particles.

Relative cross sections of population of levels are given by 2006Mi16 in a bar chart.

 ${}^{23}\text{F}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>a</sup>	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup>	L	Comments
0.0	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )		J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : From Adopted Levels.
2268 <sup>†‡@</sup> 21	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(0)	(2J+1)C <sup>2</sup> S=0.73 +21-33, C <sup>2</sup> =isospin Clebsch-Gordan coefficient. L: from observed population strength of the state in $\alpha$ inelastic scattering, proton transfer in ( ${}^{22}\text{O}, {}^{23}\text{F}$ ), DWBA comparison.
2920 <sup>‡#@</sup> 22			
3.38×10 <sup>3</sup> & 3			
3833& 25			
3.86×10 <sup>3</sup> & 4			
3.96×10 <sup>3</sup> # 4			
4.06×10 <sup>3</sup> † 4	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(2)	(2J+1)C <sup>2</sup> S=0.95 +29-35, C <sup>2</sup> =isospin Clebsch-Gordan coefficient. L: from observed population strength of the state in $\alpha$ inelastic scattering, proton transfer in ( ${}^{22}\text{O}, {}^{23}\text{F}$ ), DWBA comparison. Configuration: $\pi d_{3/2}$ (2006Mi16).
4.62×10 <sup>3</sup> †@ 4			
4.73×10 <sup>3</sup> & 7			
4.92×10 <sup>3</sup> †‡# 3			
5.54×10 <sup>3</sup> #@ 3			
5.56×10 <sup>3</sup> † 6			
6.37×10 <sup>3</sup> † 7			
6.63×10 <sup>3</sup> @ 4			
6.91×10 <sup>3</sup> †‡ 6			

† Populated in ( ${}^{22}\text{O}, {}^{23}\text{F}\gamma$ ).

‡ Populated in ( ${}^{23}\text{F}, {}^{23}\text{F}\gamma$ ).

# Populated in ( ${}^{24}\text{F}, {}^{23}\text{F}\gamma$ ).

@ Populated in ( ${}^{25}\text{Ne}, {}^{23}\text{F}\gamma$ ).

& Populated in all four reactions.

<sup>a</sup> From  $E_\gamma$  and recoil correction.

${}^4\text{He}({}^{22}\text{O}, {}^{23}\text{F}\gamma)$  2006Mi16 (continued) $\gamma({}^{23}\text{F})$ 

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
913 10	3833		2920		$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 7 (stat) 7 (syst).
1240 15	$4.62 \times 10^3$		$3.38 \times 10^3$		$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 12 (stat) 9 (syst).
1696 28	$3.96 \times 10^3$		2268	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> )	$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 25 (stat) 13 (syst).
1706 25	$6.63 \times 10^3$		$4.92 \times 10^3$		$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 21 (stat) 13 (syst).
1711 15	$5.54 \times 10^3$		3833		$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 8 (stat) 13 (syst).
2003 19	$4.92 \times 10^3$		2920		$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 12 (stat) 15 (syst).
2268 21	2268	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 12 (stat) 17 (syst).
2644 53	$5.56 \times 10^3$		2920		$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 49 (stat) 20 (syst).
2920 22	2920		0.0	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 3 (stat) 22 (syst).
3378 28	$3.38 \times 10^3$		0.0	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 11 (stat) 26 (syst).
3445 60	$6.37 \times 10^3$		2920		$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 54 (stat) 26 (syst).
3858 38	$3.86 \times 10^3$		0.0	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 24 (stat) 29 (syst).
3985 51	$6.91 \times 10^3$		2920		$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 41 (stat) 30 (syst).
4059 33	$4.06 \times 10^3$	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0.0	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 11 (stat) 31 (syst).
4732 69	$4.73 \times 10^3$		0.0	(5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	$E_\gamma$ : Uncertainty from 59 (stat) 36 (syst).

<sup>†</sup> Statistical and systematic uncertainties in quadrature (systematic uncertainty 0.76% of  $E_\gamma$  – Fig. 3. caption – 2006Mi16).

${}^4\text{He}({}^{22}\text{O}, {}^{23}\text{F}\gamma)$  2006Mi16

## Level Scheme

