

$^{230}\text{Th}(\text{d,t}) \quad \textbf{2008Bu14}$

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. Browne, J. K. Tuli		NDS 109, 2657 (2008)	1-Jun-2008

Additional information 1.

E(d)=17 MeV. Measured triton spectra with an Enge split-pole magnetic spectrograph at 19 angles from 5° to 80° . FWHM ≈ 7 keV, 8-10 keV at some angles. DWBA analysis and Nilsson configurations. Coriolis mixing calculations. Other: [1971Er09](#).

 ^{229}Th Levels**Additional information 2.**

E(level) [†]	J ^π #	L	S [‡]	Comments
0.0 [@]	5/2 ⁺	2	0.025 1	E(level): measured value=0.0 1. This group is possibly a doublet: g.s. + 7.6 eV. “Fingerprint” method of cross sections gives some evidence of presence of doublet within a few keV of g.s. $d\sigma/d\Omega=32 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 1 for g.s.+7.6 eV level. L,S: combined for 0+0.0076 levels.
0.0076 ^{&} 5	3/2 ⁺	2	0.025 1	E(level): Possibly forms a doublet with the g.s. 2007Be16 measured a value of 7.6 eV 5. L,S: combined for 0+0.0076 levels.
29.2 ^{&}	5/2 ⁺	2	0.235 6	E(level): energy (rounded value from Adopted Levels) used for calibration purpose. $d\sigma/d\Omega=280 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 9.
42.5 [@] 5	7/2 ⁺	4	0.082 6	$d\sigma/d\Omega=21 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 3.
72.0 ^{&} 2	7/2 ⁺	(4)	≤ 0.023	$d\sigma/d\Omega=7 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 2. L: uncertain assignment due to poor L=4 DWBA fit of $\sigma(\theta)$ distribution, which shows larger cross sections at forward angles than predicted.
97.2 [@] 4	9/2 ⁺	4	0.052 4	$d\sigma/d\Omega=21 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 3.
125.4 ^{&} 1	9/2 ⁺	4	1.00 3	$d\sigma/d\Omega=304 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 10.
164.0 [@] 3	11/2 ⁺ &3/2 ⁻	6+1	0.91 9	E(level): doublet, L=1 component is very weak. S: for L=6. s=0.0030 4 for L=1. $d\sigma/d\Omega=34 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 3.
202.4 ^a 2	11/2 ⁻	5	0.45 2	$d\sigma/d\Omega=76 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 6.
261.9 ^b 3	1/2 ⁺	0	0.054 2	$d\sigma/d\Omega=119 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 6.
275 ^a 1	(15/2 ⁻)	[7]	1.4 5	E(level): 276 1 in section 4.2 of 2008Bu14 . $d\sigma/d\Omega=18 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 5.
288.4 ^b 3	3/2 ⁺	2	0.129 4	$d\sigma/d\Omega=138 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 7.
317.2 ^b 5	5/2 ⁺	2	0.063 2	E(level),J ^π : doublet, mixed configurations. $d\sigma/d\Omega=108 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 5.
359.6 ^b 5	(7/2 ⁺)	[4]	0.063 4	E(level): the 7/2 ⁺ member of 5/2[622] configuration may be at 365 keV. L: $\sigma(\theta)$ distribution is shown, but expected L=4 is not conclusive from the DWBA fit. $d\sigma/d\Omega=15 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 2. $d\sigma/d\Omega=6 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 1.
381.4 4				
424.1 4	1/2 ⁻ ,3/2 ⁻	1	0.285 7	E(level): 1/2[501] and/or 3/2[501] bands. There are several levels known near this energy. The association of most of these levels with 424.1 from (d,t) can be ruled out based on the L assignment in (d,t) data, imcluding possible interpretation of octupole vibration built on 3/2[631] configuration. $d\sigma/d\Omega=446 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 14.
449.6 ^f 5	1/2 ⁺	0	0.040 1	$d\sigma/d\Omega=71 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 4.
479.1 ^f 5	3/2 ^{+,5/2⁺}	2	0.254 7	$d\sigma/d\Omega=292 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 9.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{230}\text{Th}(\text{d},\text{t})$ 2008Bu14 (continued) **^{229}Th Levels (continued)**

E(level) [†]	J ^π #	L	S [‡]	Comments
535.6 ^c 5	1/2 ⁻	1	0.636 16	$d\sigma/d\Omega=1.060 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 20.
571.2 ^c 6	(3/2 ⁻)	1	0.107 4	E(level): the 3/2 ⁻ member of 1/2[501] band is either 571.2 or 586.3. $d\sigma/d\Omega=178 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 7.
586.3 ^c 6	5/2 ⁻ & (3/2 ⁻)	1(+3)	0.034 4	E(level),J ^π : doublet. The 3/2 ⁻ member of 1/2[501] band is either 571.2 or 586.3. S: for L=1. S=0.14 2 for L=3. $d\sigma/d\Omega=125 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 8.
638.3 6		1	0.116 3	$d\sigma/d\Omega=172 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 7.
654.2 7		1	0.085 3	$d\sigma/d\Omega=130 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 13.
664.1 9		1	0.040 2	$d\sigma/d\Omega=62 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 12.
688.9 7		3	0.233 8	$d\sigma/d\Omega=111 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 6.
714.5 10				$d\sigma/d\Omega=174 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 2.
743.4 12				$d\sigma/d\Omega=20 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 3.
778.8 ^e 8	(5/2 ⁻)	3	0.685 20	$d\sigma/d\Omega=372 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 10.
815.1 ^d 8	(3/2 ⁻)	1	0.328 9	$d\sigma/d\Omega=494 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 15.
825.1 10		3	0.293 16	$d\sigma/d\Omega=120 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 20.
843.4 ^d 13	(5/2 ⁻)	3	0.043 4	Additional information 3. $d\sigma/d\Omega=16 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 5.
895.2 9		1	0.116 4	$d\sigma/d\Omega=178 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 7.
929.1 10				$d\sigma/d\Omega=20 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 2.
972.6 12				$d\sigma/d\Omega=23 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 3.
982.6 11		0(+?)	0.015 1	$d\sigma/d\Omega=50 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 5.
1019.0 11				$d\sigma/d\Omega=26 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 3.
1051.7 13				$d\sigma/d\Omega=37 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 5.
1061.8 13				$d\sigma/d\Omega=34 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 5.
1093.8 11		1	0.372 10	$d\sigma/d\Omega=493 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 15.
1104.1 11		1	0.062 3	$d\sigma/d\Omega=73 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 7.
1121.3 11		1	0.032 2	$d\sigma/d\Omega=50 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 6.
1135.5 13				$d\sigma/d\Omega=22 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 3.
1192.6 15				$d\sigma/d\Omega=14 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 2.
1207.3 13		1	0.030 1	$d\sigma/d\Omega=36 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 4.
1241.6 13		2	0.034 2	$d\sigma/d\Omega=30 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 3.
1266.4 14				$d\sigma/d\Omega=33 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 3.
1302.5 14				$d\sigma/d\Omega=29 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 3.
1336.0 14		3	0.235 9	$d\sigma/d\Omega=66 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 5.
1382.8 15				$d\sigma/d\Omega=33 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 3.
1406.9 15		(3)	0.11 1	$d\sigma/d\Omega=50 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 10.
1463.8 15				$d\sigma/d\Omega=41 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 4.
1500.9 15		1	0.051 2	$d\sigma/d\Omega=60 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 5.
1513.0 15		1	0.065 3	S: for L=1. S=0.013 5 for L=2. $d\sigma/d\Omega=83 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 5.
1538.5 16		1	0.140 4	Additional information 4. $d\sigma/d\Omega=147 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 7.
1575.8 17				$d\sigma/d\Omega=47 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 6.
1628.3 16				$d\sigma/d\Omega=42 \mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ 4.

[†] The energy given by 2008Bu14 represents the average of values obtained from spectra at all the angles where the peak was observed. The quoted uncertainty includes the estimated calibration uncertainty. The 29.2-keV peak was used for normalization purposes since this state is more strongly populated than the ground state.

[‡] Spectroscopic strength. Additional uncertainty from choice of optical parameters is 20%. It is defined as S(L)=[(dσ/dΩ)exp]/[N(dσ/dΩ)(DW)], where N=3.33. This definition of S(L) is twice that for the commonly used ‘Nuclear structure factor’, and it differs by a factor of (2j+1) from ‘spectroscopic factors’ used in other studies, where j=total angular momentum of the transferred particle.

 $^{230}\text{Th}(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{t})$ 2008Bu14 (continued)

 ^{229}Th Levels (continued)

“Fingerprint” method (comparison of experimental spectroscopic strengths or cross sections of members of a rotational band with values predicted considering or not the Coriolis interaction) has been used by [2008Bu14](#) to assign levels to various bands based on single-particle Nilsson configurations.

@ Band(A): 5/2[633].

& Band(B): 3/2[631].

^a Band(C): 5/2[752].

^b Band(D): 1/2[631].

^c Band(E): 1/2[501].

^d Band(F): 3/2[501].

^e Band(G): 5/2[503].

^f Band(H): K π =1/2 $^+$.

$^{230}\text{Th(d,t)}$ 2008Bu14

Band(F): 3/2[501]

(5/2⁻) 843.4(3/2⁻) 815.1

Band(E): 1/2[501]

<u>5/2⁻ & (3/2⁻)</u>	<u>586.3</u>
<u>(3/2⁻)</u>	<u>571.2</u>

1/2⁻ 535.6

Band(D): 1/2[631]

(7/2⁺) 359.65/2⁺ 317.2

Band(C): 5/2[752]	3/2 ⁺ 288.4
<u>(15/2⁻)</u>	<u>275</u>
	<u>1/2⁺</u> <u>261.9</u>

11/2⁻ 202.4

Band(A): 5/2[633]

11/2⁺ & 3/2⁻ 164.0

Band(B): 3/2[631]

9/2⁺ 125.49/2⁺ 97.27/2⁺ 72.07/2⁺ 42.55/2⁺ 29.25/2⁺ 0.0 3/2⁺ 0.0076

 $^{230}\text{Th}(\text{d,t}) \quad \textbf{2008Bu14 (continued)}$ **Band(G): $5/2[503]$** ($5/2^-$) 778.8**Band(H): $K^\pi=1/2^+$** $3/2^+, 5/2^+$ 479.1 $1/2^+$ 449.6 $^{229}_{90}\text{Th}_{139}$