

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Khalifeh Abusaleem	NDS 116, 163 (2014)	31-Dec-2012

$Q(\beta^-)=2124$  3;  $S(n)=5026.0$  25;  $S(p)=5572.0$  24;  $Q(\alpha)=4676$  13 [2012Wa38](#)  
 $Q(\beta^-)=2124$  3;  $S(n)=5026$  3;  $S(p)=5572$  2;  $Q(\alpha)=4810$  50 [2003Au03](#).

Calculations, compilations, systematics:

$\alpha$ -decay half life: [2012Po01](#).

Level structure in odd-odd actinides: [1994So16](#).

Octupole deformation in odd-odd nuclei: [1988Sh01](#).

Spontaneous emission of heavy ions: [1986Po06](#).

Discussion of level configurations: [1995So11](#).

Allowed beta transitions in heavy nuclei: [2009So02](#), [2006Xu10](#).

[1969Lu12](#) assign a 4.27 MeV  $\alpha$  with  $\% \alpha = 5.5 \times 10^{-6}$  22 to this nucleus. However, such  $\alpha$  decay gives an  $\alpha$  HF =  $3.9 \times 10^{-4}$ , an extremely unreasonable value. It appears that the  $\alpha$  has been misassigned.

[2006Xu10](#): Observed  $\beta^-$ -delayed fission of  $^{228}\text{Ac}$ .  $^{228}\text{Ac}$  source was chemically prepared from Thorium solution, then exposed to mica foils ( $\alpha$ -detector) and HPGe ( $\gamma$ -detector) for 720 days. 17  $\alpha$ -events were observed. These were interpreted from  $^{228}\text{Ac}$  fission based on analysis of  $\beta^-$  decay energy and fission systematics. Also, several  $\gamma$ -rays were observed and interpreted from the  $\beta^-$  decay of  $^{228}\text{Ac}$ . These  $\gamma$ -rays are presented in figure 2 of [2006Xu10](#). No  $\gamma$ -uncertainty, intensity, or level energies are given. Probability of  $\beta^-$ -delayed fission ( $N_{\beta\text{DF}}/N_{\beta}$ ) was found to be  $5 \times 10^{-12}$  2.

 $^{228}\text{Ac}$  LevelsCross Reference (XREF) Flags**A**  $^{228}\text{Ra}$   $\beta^-$  decay

E(level)	$J^{\pi}$	$T_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments
0	$3^+$	6.15 h 2	<b>A</b>	$\% \beta^- = 100$ $J^{\pi}$ : J from $\beta\gamma(\theta)$ and $\beta(\text{CP } \gamma)(\theta)$ ( <a href="#">1967Bh01</a> ); $\pi$ from $\log ft = 9.74$ ( $\log f^{1u}t = 10.7$ ) in $\beta^-$ decay to $1^-$ level in $^{228}\text{Th}$ . Configuration = $((\pi 3/2[651])(\nu 3/2[631]))$ , K=3. $T_{1/2}$ : from <a href="#">1985Sk02</a> . Other: 6.13 h ( <a href="#">1931Cu01</a> ).
6.28 3	$1^-$		<b>A</b>	$J^{\pi}$ : $\log ft \approx 7.1$ from $0^+$ ; ce data consistent with multipolarity(6.28 $\gamma$ ) = M2, not consistent with E2, E3, or M3. Configuration = $((\pi 3/2[532])(\nu 3/2[631]))$ , K=0.
6.670 20	$1^+$		<b>A</b>	$J^{\pi}$ : $\log ft \approx 6.3$ from $0^+$ ; E2 $\gamma$ to $3^+$ g.s. Configuration = $((\pi 3/2[651])(\nu 3/2[631]))$ , K=0.
20.19 3	$1^-$		<b>A</b>	$J^{\pi}$ : $\log ft = 6.20$ from $0^+$ ; E1 $\gamma$ to $1^+$ level. Configuration = $((\pi 3/2[532])(\nu 5/2[633]))$ , K=1.
33.07 11	$1^+$		<b>A</b>	$J^{\pi}$ : $\log ft = 5.12$ from $0^+$ ; M1+E2 $\gamma$ to $1^+$ level. Configuration = $((\pi 3/2[651])(\nu 5/2[633]))$ , K=1.

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued) $\gamma(^{228}\text{Ac})$ 

$\gamma$  data are from  $^{228}\text{Ra}$   $\beta^-$  decay.

$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_\gamma$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha^\ddagger$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^\dagger$
6.28	$1^-$	6.28	0	$3^+$	(M2)	$6.68 \times 10^6$	19
6.670	$1^+$	6.67	0	$3^+$	E2	$1.56 \times 10^6$	4
20.19	$1^-$	13.52	6.670	$1^+$	E1	5.86	9
33.07	$1^+$	12.75 <sup>#</sup>	20.19	$1^-$	[E1+M2]		$\approx 100$
		26.4	6.670	$1^+$	M1+E2		$\approx 100$

<sup>†</sup> Relative  $I(\gamma+ce)$  from level.

<sup>‡</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>#</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Legend

Level Scheme-----▶  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)