

$^{227}\text{Ra } \beta^- \text{ decay (42.2 min)}$ **1971Lo15**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Ictp-2014 Workshop Group		NDS 132, 257 (2016)	15-Jan-2016

Parent: ^{227}Ra : E=0.0; $J^\pi=3/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=42.2$ min 5; $Q(\beta^-)=1328.4$ 23; % β^- decay=100.0

$^{227}\text{Ra-}J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From ^{227}Ra Adopted Levels.

$^{227}\text{Ra-Q}(\beta^-)$: From 2012Wa38.

1971Lo15: measured $T_{1/2}$, $E\beta$, $I\beta$, $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin; deduced Q value, log ft values, levels, J, π . Sources were produced in neutron irradiation of ^{226}Ra and of about 30 μCi strength were used.

Others:

1953Bu63: measured $E\beta$, $E\gamma$. Three γ rays were reported.

1982Ba56: precise measurements of ^{227}Ac KL_3 x-ray energy a Dumond-type curved crystal spectrometer. Value=90.895 keV 12.

 $^{227}\text{Ac Levels}$

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]
0.0	$3/2^-$	21.772 y 3	428.39? 18	
27.372 19	$3/2^+$	38.3 ns 3	435.37 8	$1/2^+$
29.95 4	$5/2^-$		501.27 8	($1/2^-$, $3/2$, $5/2^-$)
46.40 4	$5/2^+$		514.37 9	($3/2$, $5/2$) ⁺
74.29 9	$7/2^-$		537.01 11	($3/2^+$)
84.61 8	$7/2^+$		562.81 14	($3/2^+$, $5/2^+$)
273.13 5	($5/2$) ⁻		639.09 15	$1/2^+$
304.73 7	($5/2^+$)		698.59 15	($3/2$) ⁺
330.09 5	$3/2^-$		790.11 17	($1/2^-$, $3/2$, $5/2$)
354.59 15	$1/2^-$		863.64 22	($1/2$, $3/2$, $5/2$)
425.75 8	$5/2^+$		874.69 18	($1/2^+$, $3/2$, $5/2$)

[†] Deduced by evaluators from a least-squares fit to γ -ray energies, reduced $\chi^2=1.1$.

[‡] From Adopted Levels. See also Adopted Levels for band structures, Nilsson assignments and parity doublet bands.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ ^{†#}	Log ft [‡]	Comments
(453.7 23)	874.69	0.13	7.4	av $E\beta=131.78$ 75
(464.8 23)	863.64	0.22	7.2	av $E\beta=135.40$ 78
(538.3 23)	790.11	0.25	7.4	av $E\beta=159.63$ 77
				$E\beta=550$ keV 80, $I\beta(\text{rel})=10$ 5, semi.
(629.8 23)	698.59	0.34	7.4	av $E\beta=190.66$ 80
(689.3 23)	639.09	1.3	7.0	av $E\beta=211.26$ 81
(765.6 23)	562.81	1.9	7.0	av $E\beta=238.15$ 82
				$E\beta=745$ keV 50, $I\beta(\text{rel})=20$ 10, semi.
(791.4 23)	537.01	0.38	7.7	av $E\beta=247.36$ 83
(814.0 23)	514.37	2.4	7.0	av $E\beta=255.49$ 83
(827.1 23)	501.27	1.5	7.2	av $E\beta=260.21$ 83
(893.0 23)	435.37	2.9	7.0	av $E\beta=284.15$ 85
(900.0 23)	428.39?	0.15	8.3	av $E\beta=286.70$ 85
(902.7 23)	425.75	0.79	7.6	av $E\beta=287.67$ 85
(973.8 23)	354.59	1.0	7.6	av $E\beta=313.87$ 86
(998.3 23)	330.09	19.0	6.4	av $E\beta=322.98$ 86
(1023.7 23)	304.73	4.0	7.1	av $E\beta=332.44$ 86
				$E\beta=1026$ keV 20, $I\beta(\text{rel})=45$ 5, semi.
(1055.3 23)	273.13	2.0	7.5	av $E\beta=344.29$ 87
(1254.1 @ 23)	74.29	<9	>7.7 ^{1u}	av $E\beta=406.61$ 83

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 ^{227}Ra β^- decay (42.2 min) 1971Lo15 (continued) β^- radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	I β^- ^{+#}	Log f β^- [‡]	Comments
(1282.0 23)	46.40	25.2	6.7	av E β =430.92 90
(1301.0 23)	27.372	37.2	6.5	av E β =438.30 90 E β =1300 keV 15, I β (rel)=100, semi.

[†] The β^- feedings to the individual levels were deduced by the evaluators from γ -ray transition intensity balances. Such feedings should be considered approximate because the uncertainties in the γ -ray intensities are unknown.

[‡] Values considered as approximate since β feedings are approximate.

Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

@ Existence of this branch is questionable.

²²⁷Ra β^- decay (42.2 min) 1971Lo15 (continued) $\gamma(^{227}\text{Ac})$

I γ normalization: 1971Lo15 presented γ -ray intensities on an absolute scale, but the normalization method was not given. The normalization shown here assumes no β^- feeding to the g.s. and thus $\Sigma(I\gamma + ce)$ (γ rays to g.s.)=100%. The corresponding absolute γ -ray intensities are \approx 15% lower than those reported by 1971Lo15.

E $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\dagger a}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $_{i}^{\pi}$	E $_f$	J $_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult. ‡	δ^{\ddagger}	$\alpha^{\&}$	Comments
16.5 1	0.26	46.40	5/2 $^{+}$	29.95	5/2 $^{-}$	[E1]		8.58 12	E $_{\gamma}$, I $_{\gamma}$: from Adopted Gammas. $\alpha(L)=2.34$ 4; $\alpha(M)=82.5$ 12; $\alpha(N)=21.9$ 3; $\alpha(O)=5.09$ 8; $\alpha(P)=0.942$ 14; $\alpha(Q)=0.0840$ 12
19.6	0.32	46.40	5/2 $^{+}$	27.372	3/2 $^{+}$	[M1]		112.8	
24.9 ^c 1	\approx 0.038	354.59	1/2 $^{-}$	330.09	3/2 $^{-}$	[M1]		203 4	E $_{\gamma}$, I $_{\gamma}$: from Adopted Gammas. $\alpha(L)=153$ 3; $\alpha(M)=37.0$ 7 $\alpha(N)=9.81$ 18; $\alpha(O)=2.28$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.422$ 8; $\alpha(Q)=0.0376$ 7
27.37 2	17.4	27.372	3/2 $^{+}$	0.0	3/2 $^{-}$	E1+(M2)	<0.0020	4.5 4	I $_{\gamma}$: from Adopted Gammas. I $_{\gamma}$ \approx 0.3 (1971Lo15).
29.95 4	0.036	29.95	5/2 $^{-}$	0.0	3/2 $^{-}$	M1+E2	0.22 3	2.7×10^2 5	$\alpha(L)=2.0 \times 10^2$ 4; $\alpha(M)=52$ 9 $\alpha(N)=13.8$ 23; $\alpha(O)=3.1$ 5; $\alpha(P)=0.52$ 8; $\alpha(Q)=0.0213$ 4
(38.2 [#])	<0.02	84.61	7/2 $^{+}$	46.40	5/2 $^{+}$	M1+E2	0.19 9	92 38	$\alpha(L)=69$ 28; $\alpha(M)=17.4$ 76 $\alpha(N)=4.6$ 20; $\alpha(O)=1.04$ 44; $\alpha(P)=0.180$ 67; $\alpha(Q)=0.0104$ 3
(44.2 [#])	<0.27	74.29	7/2 $^{-}$	29.95	5/2 $^{-}$	[M1]		37.3	$\alpha(L)=28.2$ 4; $\alpha(M)=6.77$ 10 $\alpha(N)=1.80$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.418$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.0774$ 11; $\alpha(Q)=0.00689$ 10
46.38 4	0.19	46.40	5/2 $^{+}$	0.0	3/2 $^{-}$	(E1)		0.878	$\alpha(L)=0.662$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.1631$ 24 $\alpha(N)=0.0423$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.00912$ 13; $\alpha(P)=0.001415$ 20; $\alpha(Q)=5.88 \times 10^{-5}$ 9
54.6 ^c	<0.01	84.61	7/2 $^{+}$	29.95	5/2 $^{-}$	[E1]		0.569	$\alpha(L)=0.429$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.1052$ 15 $\alpha(N)=0.0273$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.00594$ 9; $\alpha(P)=0.000940$ 14; $\alpha(Q)=4.17 \times 10^{-5}$ 6
57.1 ^{b@} 1	<0.004 ^b	84.61	7/2 $^{+}$	27.372	3/2 $^{+}$	(E2)		149.1 25	$\alpha(L)=109.4$ 18; $\alpha(M)=29.8$ 5 $\alpha(N)=7.93$ 13; $\alpha(O)=1.72$ 3; $\alpha(P)=0.268$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=0.000693$ 11
57.1 ^{b@} 1	0.024 ^b	330.09	3/2 $^{-}$	273.13	(5/2) $^{-}$	[M1+E2]		83 66	I $_{\gamma}$: from Adopted Gammas. E $_{\gamma}$: placement from the 330 level is considered as questionable since not confirmed in ²³¹ Pa α decay.
74.2 2	0.016	74.29	7/2 $^{-}$	0.0	3/2 $^{-}$	[E2]		42.4 8	$\alpha(L)=31.1$ 6; $\alpha(M)=8.49$ 17 $\alpha(N)=2.26$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.491$ 10; $\alpha(P)=0.0766$ 15; $\alpha(Q)=0.000233$ 5
146.9 ^c 5	<0.3	501.27	(1/2 $^{-}$,3/2,5/2 $^{-}$)	354.59	1/2 $^{-}$				I $_{\gamma}$: from I($\gamma+ce$)=0.7 and $\alpha(E2)=42.4$.
198.9 2	0.03	273.13	(5/2) $^{-}$	74.29	7/2 $^{-}$	[M1+E2]		1.5 9	

From ENSDF

²²⁷Ra β^- decay (42.2 min) 1971Lo15 (continued) $\gamma^{(227\text{Ac})}$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^\dagger a$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	δ^\ddagger	$a^&$	Comments
209.6 2	0.11	514.37	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	304.73	(5/2 ⁺)				
^x 218.19 10	0.21								
219.90 15	0.21	304.73	(5/2 ⁺)	84.61	7/2 ⁺	[M1+E2]	1.1 7		$\alpha(K)=0.0548~8; \alpha(L)=0.01057~15; \alpha(M)=0.00253~4$
226.6 1	0.03	273.13	(5/2) ⁻	46.40	5/2 ⁺	[E1]	0.0688		$\alpha(N)=0.000666~10; \alpha(O)=0.0001511~22; \alpha(P)=2.65\times10^{-5}~4; \alpha(Q)=1.81\times10^{-6}~3$
228.00 10	0.42	501.27	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2,5/2 ⁻)	273.13	(5/2) ⁻				
232.20 10	0.30	537.01	(3/2 ⁺)	304.73	(5/2 ⁺)	[M1+E2]	0.97 61		
^x 242.1 2	0.03								
243.15 10	0.54	273.13	(5/2) ⁻	29.95	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	1.1 3	0.80 17	$\alpha(K)=0.56~16; \alpha(L)=0.176~10; \alpha(M)=0.0445~16$ $\alpha(N)=0.0118~5; \alpha(O)=0.00268~12; \alpha(P)=0.00046~3;$ $\alpha(Q)=2.60\times10^{-5}~70$
245.9 1	0.03	273.13	(5/2) ⁻	27.372	3/2 ⁺	[E1]		0.0569	$\alpha(K)=0.0455~7; \alpha(L)=0.00865~13; \alpha(M)=0.00207~3$ $\alpha(N)=0.000545~8; \alpha(O)=0.0001239~18; \alpha(P)=2.18\times10^{-5}~3; \alpha(Q)=1.517\times10^{-6}~22$
255.76 10	0.20	330.09	3/2 ⁻	74.29	7/2 ⁻	E2		0.265	$\alpha(K)=0.0993~14; \alpha(L)=0.1219~18; \alpha(M)=0.0328~5$ $\alpha(N)=0.00872~13; \alpha(O)=0.00192~3; \alpha(P)=0.000311~5;$ $\alpha(Q)=5.37\times10^{-6}~8$
⁺									
258.30 10	2.0	304.73	(5/2 ⁺)	46.40	5/2 ⁺	[M1+E2]		0.7 5	
^x 259.7 2	0.03								
273.15 10	0.96	273.13	(5/2) ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	0.7 3	0.74 15	$\alpha(K)=0.57~14; \alpha(L)=0.131~11; \alpha(M)=0.0323~21$ $\alpha(N)=0.0086~6; \alpha(O)=0.00197~15; \alpha(P)=0.00035~4;$ $\alpha(Q)=2.6\times10^{-5}~6$
277.39 10	2.9	304.73	(5/2 ⁺)	27.372	3/2 ⁺	[M1+E2]		0.6 4	
283.68 10	3.4	330.09	3/2 ⁻	46.40	5/2 ⁺	E1		0.0410	$\alpha(K)=0.0329~5; \alpha(L)=0.00614~9; \alpha(M)=0.001468~21$ $\alpha(N)=0.000386~6; \alpha(O)=8.81\times10^{-5}~13;$ $\alpha(P)=1.561\times10^{-5}~22; \alpha(Q)=1.117\times10^{-6}~16$
300.09 10	5.1	330.09	3/2 ⁻	29.95	5/2 ⁻	M1+E2	-0.12 7	0.764 17	$\alpha(K)=0.613~15; \alpha(L)=0.1145~20; \alpha(M)=0.0274~5$ $\alpha(N)=0.00728~12; \alpha(O)=0.00169~3; \alpha(P)=0.000313~6;$ $\alpha(Q)=2.75\times10^{-5}~7$
302.68 10	4.8	330.09	3/2 ⁻	27.372	3/2 ⁺	E1		0.0355	$\alpha(K)=0.0285~4; \alpha(L)=0.00527~8; \alpha(M)=0.001260~18$ $\alpha(N)=0.000331~5; \alpha(O)=7.56\times10^{-5}~11;$ $\alpha(P)=1.344\times10^{-5}~19; \alpha(Q)=9.74\times10^{-7}~14$
327.2 2	0.30	354.59	1/2 ⁻	27.372	3/2 ⁺	(E1)		0.0298	$\alpha(K)=0.0240~4; \alpha(L)=0.00440~7; \alpha(M)=0.001050~15$ $\alpha(N)=0.000276~4; \alpha(O)=6.31\times10^{-5}~9; \alpha(P)=1.125\times10^{-5}~16; \alpha(Q)=8.28\times10^{-7}~12$
330.08 10	3.0	330.09	3/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	+0.36 7	0.540 21	$\alpha(K)=0.430~19; \alpha(L)=0.0836~22; \alpha(M)=0.0202~5$ $\alpha(N)=0.00535~13; \alpha(O)=0.00124~3; \alpha(P)=0.000228~6;$ $\alpha(Q)=1.93\times10^{-5}~8$
341.1 1	0.22	425.75	5/2 ⁺	84.61	7/2 ⁺	[M1+E2]		0.33 22	$E_\gamma:$ this γ is not confirmed in ²³¹ Pa α decay.
354.6 2	0.75	354.59	1/2 ⁻	0.0	3/2 ⁻	M1+E2	2.8 +14-6	0.142 24	$\alpha(K)=0.090~21; \alpha(L)=0.0391~24; \alpha(M)=0.0101~5$ $\alpha(N)=0.00269~14; \alpha(O)=0.00060~4; \alpha(P)=0.000102~7;$ $\alpha(Q)=4.2\times10^{-6}~9$

²²⁷Ra β^- decay (42.2 min) 1971Lo15 (continued) $\gamma(^{227}\text{Ac})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger a}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	δ^\ddagger	$\alpha^&$	Comments
379.4 1	0.47	425.75	5/2 ⁺	46.40	5/2 ⁺	M1(+E2)	0.5 6	0.34 I2	$\alpha(K)=0.270\ 99; \alpha(L)=0.054\ 12; \alpha(M)=0.013\ 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.0034\ 7; \alpha(O)=0.00080\ 17; \alpha(P)=0.00015\ 4;$ $\alpha(Q)=1.21\times 10^{-5}\ 44$
390.4 ^c 6	0.078	435.37	1/2 ⁺	46.40	5/2 ⁺				$E_\gamma: \Delta E=0.06\text{ keV given by }1971\text{Lo15 is too low to be realistic}$ and is probably a typographical error.
398.4 ^b 4	0.089 ^b	425.75	5/2 ⁺	27.372	3/2 ⁺				$I_\gamma:$ from ²³¹ Pa α decay relative to $I(379.4\gamma)$.
398.4 ^{bc} 4	0.09 ^b	428.39?		29.95	5/2 ⁻				$I_\gamma:$ from experimental $I_\gamma(398\gamma$ doublet) minus $I_\gamma(398.4\gamma)$ from 425 level.
407.97 10	2.4	435.37	1/2 ⁺	27.372	3/2 ⁺	M1		0.334	$\alpha(K)=0.269\ 4; \alpha(L)=0.0496\ 7; \alpha(M)=0.01186\ 17$ $\alpha(N)=0.00314\ 5; \alpha(O)=0.000731\ 11; \alpha(P)=0.0001353\ 19;$ $\alpha(Q)=1.199\times 10^{-5}\ 17$
428.4 ^c 2	0.093	428.39?		0.0	3/2 ⁻				
435.4 1	0.25	435.37	1/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁻				
468.5 5	0.27	514.37	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	46.40	5/2 ⁺				
471.3 5	0.27	501.27	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2,5/2 ⁻)	29.95	5/2 ⁻				
478.4 4	0.09	562.81	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	84.61	7/2 ⁺				
486.98 10	2.5	514.37	(3/2,5/2) ⁺	27.372	3/2 ⁺				
490.5 5	0.15	537.01	(3/2 ⁺)	46.40	5/2 ⁺				
501.4 1	1.05	501.27	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2,5/2 ⁻)	0.0	3/2 ⁻				
510.0 2		537.01	(3/2 ⁺)	27.372	3/2 ⁺				
516.2 2	1.5	562.81	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	46.40	5/2 ⁺				
535.6 2	0.66	562.81	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	27.372	3/2 ⁺				
^x 543.1 1	0.27								
611.4 2	1.3	639.09	1/2 ⁺	27.372	3/2 ⁺				
639.4 2	0.24	639.09	1/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁻				
652.2 2	0.24	698.59	(3/2) ⁺	46.40	5/2 ⁺				
671.2 2	0.16	698.59	(3/2) ⁺	27.372	3/2 ⁺				
760.3 2	0.13	790.11	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2,5/2)	29.95	5/2 ⁻				
789.8 3	0.16	790.11	(1/2 ⁻ ,3/2,5/2)	0.0	3/2 ⁻				
828.9 3	0.03	874.69	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2,5/2)	46.40	5/2 ⁺				
836.4 3	0.10	863.64	(1/2,3/2,5/2)	27.372	3/2 ⁺				
846.7 3	<0.06	874.69	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2,5/2)	27.372	3/2 ⁺				
863.5 3	0.16	863.64	(1/2,3/2,5/2)	0.0	3/2 ⁻				
874.7 3	0.09	874.69	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2,5/2)	0.0	3/2 ⁻				

[†] From 1971Lo15.[‡] From Adopted Gammas.[#] γ ray not observed. I_γ is an upper limit.[@] Doublet.

$^{227}\text{Ra} \beta^-$ decay (42.2 min) 1971Lo15 (continued)

$\gamma(^{227}\text{Ac})$ (continued)

^a From BrIcc v2.3b (16-Dec-2014) 2008Ki07, “Frozen Orbitals” appr.

^a For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.81.

^b Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

^c Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

Ra β^- decay (42.2 min) 1971Lo15

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
 @ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

Decay Scheme

Legend

- $\gamma\gamma$ (Blue arrow)
- γZ (Red arrow)
- $\gamma\gamma < 25\% \times I_{max}$
- $I_Y < 10\% \times I_{Ymax}$
- $I_Y > 10\% \times I_{Ymax}$
- γ Decay (Uncertain)

