### $^{230}{\rm Th}~\alpha$ decay

History								
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date					
Full Evaluation	Y. A. Akovali	NDS 77,433 (1996)	1-Feb-1996					

Parent: <sup>230</sup>Th: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=7.538\times10^4$  y 30;  $Q(\alpha)=4770.0$  15; % $\alpha$  decay=100.0

### <sup>226</sup>Ra Levels

E(level)	$\mathbf{J}^{\pi}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>
0.0 <sup>†</sup>	$0^{+}$	
67.67 <sup>†</sup> 1	$2^{+}$	0.63 ns 2
211.54 <sup>†</sup> 2	$4^+$	≈0.17 ns
253.73 <sup>‡</sup> 1	$1^{-}$	
321.54 <sup>‡</sup> 6	3-	
416.5 <sup>†</sup> 3	$6^{+}$	
446.3 <sup>‡</sup> 2	$5^{-}$	
824.6 <sup>#</sup> 1	$0^+$	
873.7 <sup>#</sup> 1	$2^{+}$	

<sup>†</sup> Band(A): K=0 g.s. band.

<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup> Band(B): K=0<sup>-</sup> octupole vibrational band.

# Band(C): K=0 band.

#### $\alpha$ radiations

$\mathrm{E} \alpha^{\dagger}$	E(level)	$\mathrm{I}\alpha^{@a}$	HF		Comments
(3829.4 <sup>#</sup> 17)	873.7	$\approx 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$	≈5.2		
(3877.8 <sup>#</sup> 16)	824.6	$\approx 3.4 \times 10^{-6}$	≈6.2		
(4248.5 <sup>#</sup> 16)	446.3	1.03×10 <sup>-5</sup> 22	4100		
(4278.3 <sup>#</sup> <i>17</i> )	416.5	8.0×10 <sup>-6</sup> <b>&amp;</b> 20	8000		
(4371.8 <sup>#</sup> 16)	321.54	9.7×10 <sup>-4</sup> <b>&amp;</b> 13	360		
4438.4 16	253.73	0.030 15	38	I $\alpha$ : 0.0214 15 from level scheme.	
4479.8 16	211.54	≈0.12	≈20	Ia: 0.151 12 from level scheme.	
4620.5 <sup>‡</sup> 15	67.67	23.4 1	1.1		
4687.0 <sup>‡</sup> 15	0.0	76.3 <i>3</i>	1.0		

<sup>†</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, energies have been calculated by the evaluator from level energies and  $E\alpha(g.s.)=4687.0$  *15*. 1954Ro12 measured energies relative to  $E\alpha(g.s.)$  and gave  $\Delta Q(\alpha)$ 's. Additional  $\alpha$ 's seen by 1954Ro12 at  $\Delta Q(\alpha)=142$ -, 328-, 399-, and 485-keV with I $\alpha$ =0.07, 0.08, 0.07, and 0.06%, respectively, are not listed here. Other measurements: 1956Hu96, 1957Cl17, 1964Ca24, 1994Va40.

<sup>‡</sup> From 1966Ba14. The original energies of 1966Ba14 have been increased by 3.0 keV, as recommended by 1991Ry01, because of changes in calibration energies.

#  $\alpha$  was not observed.

<sup>@</sup> From 1954Ro12, 1953Va01 unless otherwise noted.

& Deduced from intensity balance. I $\alpha$ 's for the 3877.8-keV and the 3829.4-keV  $\alpha$ 's are given as approximate values because of expected but unobserved transitions to the g.s. band.

<sup>*a*</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

### $^{230}{\rm Th}\,\alpha$ decay (continued)

# $\gamma(^{226}\text{Ra})$

I $\gamma$  normalization: Intensity balances at the 67.67-keV level and at the g.s. yield normalization factor of 1.00 6 and 1.01 6, respectively, to convert photon intensities to absolute intensities per 100  $\alpha$  decays.

,	γγ: 1978	Ku08, 1957	7St92	, 1956B	085,	1953Ra1	3.			
	Ag(t): ( $\alpha$ )(ce 68 $\gamma$ )( ( $\alpha$ )(68 $\gamma$ )(t)	(t) T <sub>1/2</sub> (67	.67 1	evel)=0 =0 =0 =0	.63 .63 .49 .62	Ns 2 Ns 7 Ns 9 Ns 7	1960Be25 1958Va02 1960Un02 1961Fo08			
	(a)(142 $\gamma$ )(t) T <sub>1/2</sub> (211.54 level) $\approx$ 0.17 Ns 1961Fo08									
Ag( $\theta$ ): $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ :	see 1968Gr28, see 1955St16.	1963Mu04,	1955	Va06, 1	955F	a24, 195	3 <b>Te19</b> , 15	3Ro14.		
x-rays:	see 1973De5	50, 1956Bo8	85, 1	953Bo45	, 19	49Cu37.				
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger a}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\alpha^{\boldsymbol{b}}$	Comments		
67.672 2	0.373 21	67.67	2+	0.0	0+	E2	61.9	$\begin{aligned} &\alpha(L)=45.2; \ \alpha(M)=12.2; \ \alpha(N+)=4.40 \\ &I\gamma=0.463\% \ 12 \ was measured by 1990Ko40. \\ &This is 23\% \ higher than the intensity \\ &adopted here based on the intensity balance. \\ &Note that the I\gamma's of 1990Ko40 for other \\ &nuclei are also consistently higher than the \\ &other available measurements. The intensity \\ &of the 53.2\gamma \ in ^{234}U \ \alpha \ decay, for example, \\ &measured by 1990Ko40 is higher than the \\ &other measured I\gamma's \ and 27\% \ higher than the \\ &other measured I\gamma's \ and 27\% \ higher than the \\ &other measured I\gamma's \ and 27\% \ higher than the \\ &other measured I\gamma's \ adopted \ intensity (see \\ 1993Ak02). \\ &Ice(L2)=9.1\% \ 14 \ (1971Tr14); \ 9.2\% \ 8 \ from \\ &I\gamma \ and \ \alpha(L2)=24.39. \\ &L2:L3:M:NO=100:95:50:14:3 \ (1954Ro32), \\ &L1:L2:L3=<3:100:80 \ (1957De56), \\ &L2:L3:M:n=91:83:46:14 \ (1971Tr14). \end{aligned}$		
(07.81° 20 110.0 <i>I</i>	$5.9 \times 10^{-5} 5$	321.54 321.54	3 3-	235.75	1 4 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	0.388			
(124.8 <sup>&amp;</sup> 2)	$2.8 \times 10^{-7}$ 14	446.3	5-	321.54	3-	[E2]	3.81	$I_{\gamma}$ : calculated from the branching measured in		
143.872 4	0.0483 22	211.54	4+	67.67	2+	E2	2.11	(HI,Xny). $\alpha$ (K)=0.280; $\alpha$ (L)=1.34; $\alpha$ (M)=0.363; $\alpha$ (N+)=0.132 Ice(L2)=0.038% 15 (1971Tr14); 0.038 <i>3</i> from Iy and $\alpha$ (L2)=0.785. K:L2:L3:M:N=10 <i>4</i> :38 <i>15</i> :23 <i>10</i> :16 <i>7</i> :5 2 (1971Tr14).		
186.053 4	0.0087 4	253.73	1-	67.67	2+	E1	0.108	$\begin{aligned} &\alpha(\mathbf{K}) = 0.0860; \ \alpha(\mathbf{L}) = 0.0169; \ \alpha(\mathbf{M}) = 0.00402; \\ &\alpha(\mathbf{N}+) = 0.00139 \\ &\text{Ice}(\mathbf{K}) = 0.0008\% \ 2 \ (1971\text{Tr}14); \ 0.00075 \ 6 \\ &\text{from } I\gamma \text{ and } \alpha(\mathbf{K}) = 0.0860. \end{aligned}$		
205.1 <sup>@</sup> 5	5.1×10 <sup>-6</sup> 12	416.5	6+	211.54	4+	[E2]	0.545			
235.0 <sup>w</sup> 1 253.729 10	8.3×10 <sup>-6</sup> <i>18</i> 0.0110 <i>5</i>	446.3 253.73	5- 1-	211.54 0.0	4+ 0+	[E1] E1	0.0623 0.0520	$\alpha(K)=0.0417; \ \alpha(L)=0.00779; \ \alpha(M)=0.00186; \ \alpha(N+)=0.00064$ Ice(K)=0.00010% 2 (1971Tr14); 0.00046 4 from Iy and $\alpha(K)=0.0417$ .		
253.8 <sup>@</sup> 1	84×10 <sup>-5</sup> 9	321.54	3-	67.67	$2^{+}$	[E1]	0.0519	• • • • •		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

### $^{230}{\rm Th}\,\alpha$ decay (continued)

### $\gamma(^{226}\text{Ra})$ (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger a}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	α <mark>b</mark>
551.8 <sup>@</sup> 5	5.4×10 <sup>-7</sup> 8	873.7	2+	321.54	3-		
570.5 <sup>@</sup> 3	3.3×10 <sup>-6</sup> 5	824.6	$0^+$	253.73	$1^{-}$		
620.0 <sup>@</sup> 5	7.9×10 <sup>-7</sup> 12	873.7	$2^{+}$	253.73	1-		

<sup>†</sup> From 1978Ku08. See also 1971Tr14. Other measurements: 1949Cu37, 1953Bo45, 1953Ri23, 1954Ro32, 1955St97, 1956Al30, 1956Bo85, 1957St92.

<sup>‡</sup> From 1978Ku08. Others: 1953Bo45, 1955St97, 1956Bo85, 1957St92.

<sup>#</sup> From conversion-electron measurements of 1971Tr14, 1957De56, 1954Ro32. Ice's given by 1971Tr14 were normalized such that  $Ti(67\gamma)=23.4\%$ .

<sup>@</sup>  $\gamma$  observed only in coincidence spectra.

<sup>&</sup> From <sup>226</sup>Ra adopted gammas; this transition was not observed in <sup>230</sup>Th  $\alpha$  decay.

<sup>a</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 1.01 6.

<sup>b</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

## $^{230}$ Th $\alpha$ decay



<sup>226</sup><sub>88</sub>Ra<sub>138</sub>

230 Th  $\alpha$  decay



 $^{226}_{88} Ra_{138}$