

$^{224}\text{Ac } \varepsilon \text{ decay (2.78 h)}$ **1976MiZR**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, Sukheet Singh		ENSDF	08-Mar-2022

Parent: ^{224}Ac : E=0; $J^\pi=(0^-)$; $T_{1/2}=2.78$ h 17; $Q(\varepsilon)=1408$ 4; % $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay=90.9 17

$^{224}\text{Ac}-J^\pi, T_{1/2}$: From ^{224}Ac Adopted Levels.

$^{224}\text{Ac}-Q(\varepsilon)$: From 2021Wa16.

1976MiZR (a short conference report): measured $E\gamma$, ε feedings. Authors present $E\gamma$ values of three γ rays and ε feeding mainly to 216 level.

Other: 1958Hi78 report $\varepsilon(217 \text{ level})/\sum\varepsilon \geq 80\%$ from $I(K \text{ x ray})/I\gamma$.

 ^{224}Ra Levels

E(level)	J^π †	$T_{1/2}$ †
0	0^+	3.6319 d 23
84.4	2^+	
215.75	1^-	
251	4^+	
290	3^-	

† From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\varepsilon$ ‡	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ †§	Comments
(1118& 4)	290	≤ 0.6	≥ 8.0	$\leq 0.6^{\#}$	$\varepsilon K=0.7718; \varepsilon L=0.1696; \varepsilon M+=0.05864$
(1157& 4)	251	≤ 0.6	≥ 8.0	$\leq 0.6^{\#}$	$\varepsilon K=0.7728; \varepsilon L=0.1688; \varepsilon M+=0.05833$
(1192 4)	215.75	90	5.9	90	$\varepsilon K=0.7737; \varepsilon L=0.1682; \varepsilon M+=0.05807$
(1324& 4)	84.4	≤ 0.7	≥ 8.1	$\leq 0.7^{\ddagger}$	$\varepsilon K=0.7765; \varepsilon L=0.1662; \varepsilon M+=0.05723$
(1408& 4)	0	≤ 0.7	≥ 8.1	$\leq 0.7^{\ddagger}$	$\varepsilon K=0.7780; \varepsilon L=0.1651; \varepsilon M+=0.05678$

† From 1976MiZR, per 100 ^{224}Ac decays. Estimated upper limits of ε branchings to 84, 251 and 290 levels are presumably based on $I(K \text{ x ray})/I\gamma$ data. In the absence of a detailed study of this decay, and with no information available in the excitation energy region between 290 and 1400 keV, evaluators consider the decay scheme to be incomplete. The ε feeding to 216 level and associated log ft should be considered as approximate.

‡ Combined feeding of g.s. and 84 level is $\leq 0.7\%$.

Combined feeding of 251 and 290 levels is $\leq 0.6\%$.

@ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

& Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{224}\text{Ra})$

E_γ †	I_γ ‡&	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^a	Comments
(74.4)	≤ 0.006	290	3^-	215.75	1^-	[E2]	38.5	$\alpha(L)=28.3$ 4; $\alpha(M)=7.70$ 11 $\alpha(N)=2.03$ 3; $\alpha(O)=0.431$ 6; $\alpha(P)=0.0621$ 9; $\alpha(Q)=0.0001645$ 23
84.4	1.52 6	84.4	2^+	0	0^+	E2	21.2	$\alpha(L)=15.55$ 22; $\alpha(M)=4.23$ 6 $\alpha(N)=1.117$ 16; $\alpha(O)=0.237$ 4; $\alpha(P)=0.0342$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=0.0001014$ 15
131.35#	26.9@ 6	215.75	1^-	84.4	2^+	E1	0.248	$\alpha(K)=0.195$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.0409$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00982$ 14

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{224}Ac ε decay (2.78 h) 1976MiZR (continued) **$\gamma(^{224}\text{Ra})$ (continued)**

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^a	Comments
(166.4)	≤ 0.27	251	4^+	84.4	2^+	E2	1.164	$\alpha(N)=0.00256$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.000562$ 8; $\alpha(P)=8.97\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(Q)=4.82\times 10^{-6}$ 7 $\alpha(K)=0.225$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.691$ 10; $\alpha(M)=0.187$ 3
(205.9)	≤ 0.32	290	3^-	84.4	2^+	E1	0.0842	$\alpha(N)=0.0495$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.01057$ 15; $\alpha(P)=0.001554$ 22; $\alpha(Q)=1.200\times 10^{-5}$ 17 $\alpha(K)=0.0671$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.01293$ 19; $\alpha(M)=0.00309$ 5
215.75 [#]	52.3 [@] 12	215.75	1^-	0	0^+	E1	0.0754	$\alpha(N)=0.000808$ 12; $\alpha(O)=0.000179$ 3; $\alpha(P)=2.94\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(Q)=1.763\times 10^{-6}$ 25 $\alpha(K)=0.0602$ 9; $\alpha(L)=0.01151$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.00275$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.000719$ 10; $\alpha(O)=0.0001598$ 23; $\alpha(P)=2.63\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(Q)=1.591\times 10^{-6}$ 23

[†] From level-energy differences unless otherwise stated.

[‡] Not given by authors. Values are deduced by the evaluator from the authors' ε branches and known γ branching and conversion coefficients (see Adopted Gammas).

[#] From 1976MiZR.

[@] 1958Hi78 report $I\gamma(216\gamma)/I\gamma(131\gamma)=2.24$ compared to 1.94 4 from Adopted Gammas.

& Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

^a Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

$^{224}\text{Ac } \epsilon$ decay (2.78 h) 1976MiZR