Adopted Levels, Gammas

| | History | | | |
|-----------------|--|--------------------|------------------------|--|
| Туре | Author | Citation | Literature Cutoff Date | |
| Full Evaluation | Balraj Singh, M. S. Basunia, Jun Chen et al. | NDS 192,315 (2023) | 25-Sep-2023 | |

 $Q(\beta^{-}) = -6 8$; S(n) = 6171 6; S(p) = 7700 14; $Q(\alpha) = 5590.4 3 2021$ Wal6

S(2n)=10382.7 19, S(2p)=13469 18 (2021Wa16).

- Dataset by Balraj Singh, S. Basunia, and IAEA-ICTP workshop participants: B.M.S. Amro, S. Basu, S. Das, A. Karmakar, and S.S. Nayak.
- ²²²Rn is a naturally occuring radioactive isotope, emitted from the α decay of ²²⁶Ra, a long-lived activity produced in the decay chain of ²³⁸U, first identified by 1899Cu01, just three years after the discovery of radioactivity, followed by the first measurement of half-life of ²²²Rn decay by 1902Cu01.

Mass measurement: 2010Li02: Schottky mass spectrometry.

Theoretical nuclear structure calculations:

2021Va08: calculated levels, J^{π} , yrast positive- and negative-parity states, B(E1), B(E2), B(E3), B(M1), magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole moments using the *spdf*-IBM-2 interacting boson model.

2020Ca18: calculated deformation parameters β_2 , β_3 , octupole deformation energies, proton quadrupole Q_{20} and octupole Q_{30} moments for octupole-deformed nuclei using Skyrme energy density functional, and covariant energy density functional models.

2019Zh50: calculated empirical proton-neutron interaction, B(E2), B(E3), binding energy, total energy in (β_2 , β_3) plane, neutron and proton single-particle levels using the covariant density functional theory and the quadrupole-octupole collective Hamiltonian.

2018Yo12: calculated E(first 4⁺)/E(first 2⁺) ratio, energy of the first 3⁻ state using shell model with one-octupole-phonon representing collective octupole vibration across the magic core.

2017Xi15: calculated levels, J^{π} , B(E1), B(E2), B(E3), electric dipole moment, deformation energy surface in (β_2 , β_3) plane, reflection-asymmetric states using microscopic quadrupole-octupole collective Hamiltonian (QOCH), based on based on relativistic energy density functional.

2014De43, 2013De12: calculated energy levels, J^{π} , deformation parameters, B(E2), $T_{1/2}$ using coherent state model (CSM).

2013Ro30: calculated level energies of 1⁻ states, B(E1), B(E3) using two-dimensional generator coordinate method (GCM) for quadrupole-octupole coupling with Gogny forces.

2005Za02, 2001Za04: calculated levels, J^{π} , transition rates, octupole excitations using interacting boson model.

1998Ra05: calculated high-spin levels, J^{π} , $K^{\pi}=0^{-}$ band using phenomenological model.

1994Li05: calculated total energy surface vs α_{20} , α_{32} deformations, fourfold degenerate levels using the results of realistic total nuclear energy calculations.

1987Ro08: calculated single-particle states, pairing energies, octupole deformation, dipole vs octupole moments, B(E1)/B(E2) using constrained HF plus BCS method.

1983Ro14: calculated potential equilibrium deformation, deformation energies, static quadrupole and hexadecapole moments using density-dependent shell correction method.

1982Le19: calculated potential energy minima, octupole separation energy, and intrinsic reflection symmetry breaking using deformed shell-model.

1981Gy03: calculated potential energy, quadrupole and octupole equilibrium deformations using macroscopic-microscopic method. 1981Pe09: analyzed levels, J^{π} , strong Coriolis coupling effects for rotational bands based on one-phonon octupole vibrational states. 1980Sh07: analyzed levels, J^{π} , inverse moments of inertia; deduced structural relation of $K^{\pi}=0^+$ and $K^{\pi}=0^-$ bands.

Other theoretical calculations: 14 primary references for structure, and 76 primary references for decay characteristics are in the NSR database, and listed in this dataset as 'document' records.

Additional information 1.

²²²Rn Levels

The $K^{\pi}=0^+$ g.s. band and the $K^{\pi}=0^-$ band at 600.66 keV have been interpreted as octupole parity-doublet bands. However, 2022Sp01 and 2020Bu20 in their Coulomb excitation study do not support stable octupole deformation in the ground state of 222 Rn.

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

A 226 Ra α decay (1603 y) B 232 Th(136 Xe,X γ)

Coulomb excitation

C

| E(level) [†] | $J^{\pi \ddagger}$ | $T_{1/2}^{\#}$ | XREF | Comments | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------|---|--|
| 0.0 | 0+ | 3.8222 d 9 | ABC | % <i>α</i> =100 With Q(<i>β</i> [−])=−6 8 (2021Wa16), no <i>β</i> [−] decay is expected. Evaluated rms charge radius <r<sup>2>^{1/2}=5.692 fm 20 (2013An02). Evaluated <i>δ</i><r<sup>2>(²²²Rn⁻²¹²Rn)=+1.1236 fm² 4 (2013An02). Additional information 2. T_{1/2}: weighted average of 3.82146 d 85 (2015Be07, from decay curve for integral <i>γ</i>-ray spectrum from 6 keV onwards, weighted average of four measurements: 3.82157 d 32 for 1301 h, 3.82134 d 30 for 1462 h, 3.82169 32 for 1185 h, and 3.82124 d 35 for 1357 h; statistical uncertainty of 0.000 d and systematic uncertainty of 0.0004 d in 2015Be07 combined in quadrature, and total uncertainty increased to 0.00085, to have a maximum relative weight of 50%); 3.8195 d 30 (2004Sc04, ionization chamber, reanalysis of 2004Sc04 data by 2018Po01 gave 3.825 d 5); 3.8224 d 18 (1995Co34, 4π αβ liquid scintillation counter, average of six measurements) 3.82351 d 170 (1972Bu33, decay curve for integral <i>γ</i>-ray spectrum measure over 40 half-lives, average of two measurements, quoted uncertainty of 0.00034 increased to 0.00170 as in 1990Ho28 evaluation); 3.83 d 3 (1958Sh69, calorimetry); 3.8229 d 170 (1956Ma64, ionization chamber, average of three measurements, quoted uncertainty of 0.000170 as in 1990Ho28); 3.825 d 5 (1956Ro31, calorimetry, quoted uncertainty of 0.004 increased to 0.005 as in 1990Ho28); 3.825 d 6 (1955To07,1951To25, ionization chamber, average of two measurements, quoted uncertainty of 0.002 increased to 0.003 as in 1990Ho28); 3.825 d 4 (1923Bo01, ionization chamber, average of four measurements, quoted uncertainty of 0.002 increased to 0.003 as in 1990Ho28); 3.825 d 4 (1923Bo01, ionization chamber, average of four measurements). Other: 3.81474 d 14 from 1994Se21 (indirect T_{1/2} deduced in the measurement of efficiency of Lucas scintillation cell by depositing a known quantity of ²²²R and following the decay and ingrowth of Rn and its daughters for a total oô 7014 data points, and fitting these data points using several parameters; T_{1/2} value is quoted very precisely, but disagrees b</r<sup></r<sup> | |
| 186.211 [@] 13 | 2+ | 0.32 ns 2 | ABC | $\mu =+0.92 \ 14 \ (1970 \text{Or} 02,2020 \text{StZV})$ $Q=-1.4 + 5-6$ $\mu: \text{ measurement of } g=0.45 \ 7 \text{ by } \alpha \gamma(\theta, \text{H}) \ (1970 \text{Or} 02), \text{ integral perturbed}$ angular correlation method. $Q: \text{ deduced by evaluators from diagonal E2 matrix element } (186,2^+ \rightarrow 186,2^+)=-1.8 + 6-9 \text{ in Coulomb excitation } (2022 \text{Sp}01).$ $J^{\pi}: \text{ E2 } \gamma \text{ to } 0^+.$ $T_{1,0}: \alpha \gamma(1) \ (1960 \text{Re} 25). \text{ Other measurement: } 0.31 \text{ ns } (1961 \text{Fo}08).$ | |
| 448.48 [@] 6 | 4+ | 52.5 ps +44-23 | ABC | $(\alpha)(262\gamma)(\theta)$ data of 1989Po03 rule out J of 0, 1, 2 and 3; population of natural-parity state in α decay from 0 ⁺ parent. | |
| 600.74 ^{&} 4 | 1- | 0.7 ps +11-5 | ABC | J^{π} : γ to g.s.; the $(\alpha)(601\gamma)(\theta)$ and $(\alpha)(415\gamma)(\theta)$ data rule out 2; population of natural-parity state in α decay from 0 ⁺ parent. | |
| 635.57 ^{&} 9 | 3- | ≈ 0.4 ns | ABC | $(\alpha)(449\gamma)(\theta)$ data of 1989Po03 rules out 0, 1, 2 and 4; population of natural-parity state in α decay from 0 ⁺ parent. | |
| 768.08 [@] 21 | (6 ⁺) | 15.9 ps +18-11 | BC | J^{π} : γ to 4 ⁺ ; level is Coulomb excited as g.s. band member. | |
| 797.4 ^{&} 5 | (5 ⁻) | | BC | J^{π} : gamma to 4 ⁺ ; possible γ to 3 ⁻ ; band member. | |
| 867.0 7 867.1 ^a 7 | (0^+) (2^+) | | C C | J^{n} : gammas to 2 ⁺ and 1 ⁻ ; possible bandhead of β band (2022Sp01). J^{π} : γ to 0 ⁺ ; possible bandhead of γ band. | |

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

²²²Rn Levels (continued)

| E(level) [†] | $J^{\pi \ddagger}$ | T _{1/2} # | XREF | Comments | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------|---|--|--|
| 959.2 ^a 10 | (3 ⁺) | | С | J^{π} : gamma to 2 ⁺ ; possible band member. | | |
| 1048.7 <mark>&</mark> 5 | (7^{-}) | | BC | J^{π} : gamma to (6 ⁺); possible γ to (5 ⁻); band member. | | |
| 1111.5 ^a 10 | (4^{+}) | | С | J^{π} : γ to 4 ⁺ ; possible band member. | | |
| 1127.7 [@] 3 | (8+) | 7.3 ps +11-16 | BC | J^{π} : γ to (6 ⁺); band member. | | |
| 1356.5 ^{&} 5 | (9 ⁻) | 7 ps +9–5 | BC | J^{π} : gammas to (7 ⁻) and (8 ⁺); band member. T _{1/2} : 67 ps +126-57 deduced from B(E2) value in Coulomb excitation. D ₀ /Q ₀ =0.00191 b _{1/2} 35 (1999Co02). Average D ₀ =0.010 eb ^{1/2} 2 (1999Co02) for J=9 and 11 states. | | |
| 1512.5 [@] 4 | (10 ⁺) | 7.8 ps +51-12 | BC | J^{π} : γ to (8 ⁺); band member. | | |
| 1707.8 ^{&} 5 | (11 ⁻) | | В | J^{π} : gammas to (9 ⁻) and (10 ⁺); band member. D ₀ /Q ₀ =0.00273 b _{1/2} 63 (1999Co02). Average D ₀ =0.010 eb ^{1/2} 2 (1999Co02) for J=9 and 11 states. | | |
| 1912.9? [@] 6 | (12^{+}) | | В | J^{π} : possible γ to (10 ⁺); band member. | | |
| 2088.7 <mark>&</mark> 7 | (13 ⁻) | | В | J^{π} : gammas to (11 ⁻) and (12 ⁺); band member. | | |
| 2316.7? [@] 8 | (14^{+}) | | В | J^{π} : possible γ to (12 ⁺); band member. | | |
| 2485.0? ^{&} 9 | (15 ⁻) | | В | J^{π} : possible γ to (13 ⁻); band member. | | |
| 2727.2? [@] 10 | (16^{+}) | | В | J^{π} : possible γ to (14 ⁺); band member. | | |
| 2881.6? ^{&} 10 | (17-) | | В | J^{π} : possible γ to (15 ⁻); band member. | | |
| 3285.6? ^{&} 12 | (19 ⁻) | | В | J^{π} : possible γ to (17 ⁻); band member. | | |
| 3695.8? ^{&} 13 | (21 ⁻) | | В | J^{π} : possible γ to (19 ⁻); band member. | | |

[†] From least-squares fit to Eγ data.
[‡] From band assignments in ²³²Th(¹³⁶Xe,Xγ) for levels above 635 keV.
[#] For levels above 186 keV, half-lives deduced by evaluators from E2 matrix elements measured (2022Sp01) in Coulomb excitation.

[@] Band(A): $K^{\pi}=0^+$ g.s. band.

& Band(B): $K^{\pi}=0^{-}$ octupole vibrational band.

^{*a*} Band(C): Possible γ band.

$\gamma(^{222}\mathrm{Rn})$

B(E2)(W.u.) and B(E1)(W.u.) values are from Coulomb excitation, deduced by evaluators from measured transition matrix elements, with exceptions noted.

| E _i (level) | \mathbf{J}_i^{π} | E_{γ}^{\dagger} | I_{γ}^{\dagger} | E_f | \mathbf{J}_f^{π} | Mult. | α^{\ddagger} | $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ | Comments |
|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---|
| 186.211 | 2+ | 186.211 13 | 100 | 0.0 | 0^{+} | E2 | 0.677 9 | | B(E2)(W.u.) = 58.4 |
| 448.48 | 4+ | 262.27 5 | 100 | 186.211 | 2+ | [E2] | 0.2087 30 | | B(E2)(W.u.)=90 + 4 - 7 |
| 600.74 | 1- | 414.60 5 | 60 | 186.211 | 2^{+} | [E1] | 0.01628 23 | | B(E1)(W.u.)=0.0014 + 23 - 9 |
| | | | | | | | | | B(E1)(W.u.) from $T_{1/2}$ and γ branching, 20% uncertainty assumed in the γ branching ratio |
| | | 600 66 5 | 100 | 0.0 | 0^{+} | [E1] | 0.00762.11 | | $B(E1)(W_{III}) = 7 \times 10^{-4} + 21 - 4$ |
| 635.57 | 3- | (34.81 16) | ≈0.032 | 600.74 | 1- | [E1] [E2] | $1.30 \times 10^3 4$ | ≈42 | B(E2)(W.u.)=80+32-27 |
| 000107 | 5 | $187 10^{@} 20$ | 0.002 | 118 18 | 1 ⁺ | [E 1] | 0 1011 14 | | |
| | | 107.10 20 140 37 10 | ~100 | 186 211 | + 2+ | [E1] | 0.0137 2 | ~100 | $B(E1)(W_{H}) \sim 4 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| | | ++9.57 10 | ~100 | 100.211 | 2 | | 0.0137 2 | ~100 | $B(E1)(W_{11}) \sim 4\times10^{-10}$ B(E1)(W_{11}) from T _{1/2} |
| 768.08 | (6^{+}) | 319.6 2 | 100 | 448.48 | 4+ | [E2] | 0.1144 16 | | B(E2)(W.u.)=120 + 9 - 12 |
| 797 4 | (5^{-}) | $163.0^{@}5$ | | 635 57 | 3- | [=_] [F2] | 1 116 27 | | B(F2)(Wu) = 4.6 + 12 - 17 |
| 171.4 | (5) | 348.9.5 | | 448.48 | 4 ⁺ | | 1.110 21 | | D(E2)(W.u.) = 7.0 + 12 - 17 |
| 867.0 | (0^{+}) | 266 2 | | 600.74 | 1- | [E1] | 0.0438 10 | | $B(E1)(W.u.) = 1.4 \times 10^{-3} + 11 - 8$ |
| | | 681 [#] | | 186.211 | 2^{+} | [E2] | 0.0176.3 | | $B(E2)(W_{11}) = 13.4$ |
| | | 001 | | 1001211 | - | [] | | | $I\gamma(681\gamma)/I\gamma(266\gamma)=1.9 + 38-12$, deduced by evaluators from B(E2)(W.u.)/B(E1)(W.u.) ratio. |
| 867.1 | (2^{+}) | 681 [#] | | 186.211 | 2+ | [E2+M1] | 0.042 25 | | B(E2)(W.u.)=6.8 42 |
| | | 867 | | 0.0 | 0^{+} | [E2] | 0.0107 2 | | B(E2)(W.u.)=1.5 + 4-5 |
| | | | | | | | | | $I\gamma(867\gamma)/I\gamma(681\gamma)=0.7 + 6 - 4$, deduced by evaluators |
| | (a.t.) | | | | a + | | | | from B(E2)(W.u.) ratio, assuming pure E2 for 681. |
| 959.2 | (3^{+}) | 773 | | 186.211 | 2* | [E2+M1] | 0.031 17 | | B(E2)(W.u.)=26 + 14 - 17 |
| 1048.7 | (7^{-}) | 251.4 ^w 5 | | 797.4 | (5 ⁻) | [E2] | 0.240 4 | | $B(E2)(W.u.)=26\times10^{1}+12-10$ |
| | (4 ±) | 280.6 5 | 100 28 | 768.08 | (6^+) | [E1] | 0.0387 6 | | |
| 1111.5 | (4^+) | 663 | 100 | 448.48 | 4' | [E2+M1] | 0.04 3 | | B(E2)(W.u.) = 11.5 + 39 - 45 D(E2)(W.u.) = 140 + 42 - 10 |
| 1127.7 | (0^{-}) | 559.0 Z | 74 42 | 1127.7 | (0^{+}) | [E2] [E1] | 0.0819 12 | | B(E2)(w.u.)=149+42-19 |
| 1550.5 | (9) | 220.8 5 | 100 42 | 1048 7 | (0) (7^{-}) | [E1] [E2] | 0.0024 9 0.1270 10 | | $B(E2)(W_{11}) = 20 \times 10^{1} \pm 10^{-8}$ |
| 1512.5 | (10^{+}) | 384 9 2 | 100 42 | 1127 7 | (7) (8^+) | [E2] | 0.1279 19 | | $B(E2)(Wu) = 20 \times 10^{-179-8}$ $B(F2)(Wu) = 100 \pm 26-39$ |
| 1707.8 | (10^{-}) | 195.4.5 | 48 31 | 1512.5 | (10^+) | [E2] | 0.0000 10 | | D(L2)(W.u.) = 100 + 20 - 39 |
| 1,0,10 | () | 351.2 5 | 100 31 | 1356.5 | (9 ⁻) | [E2] | 0.0874 13 | | |
| 1912.9? | (12^{+}) | $400.4^{@}$ 5 | | 1512.5 | (10^{+}) | r1 | | | |
| 2088 7 | (12^{-}) | $175.6^{@}5$ | | 1012.02 | (10^{+}) | | | | |
| 2000.7 | (15) | 380.9.5 | 100.53 | 1707.8 | (12) (11^{-}) | [F2] | 0 0700 10 | | |
| | | 500.7 5 | 100 55 | 1/0/.0 | (11) | | 0.0700 10 | | |

4

γ (²²²Rn) (continued)

| E _i (level) | \mathbf{J}_i^{π} | E_{γ}^{\dagger} | E_f | \mathbf{J}_{f}^{π} |
|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|
| 2316.7? | (14 ⁺) | 403.8 [@] 5 | 1912.9? | (12 ⁺) |
| 2485.0? | (15 ⁻) | 396.3 [@] 5 | 2088.7 | (13 ⁻) |
| 2727.2? | (16^{+}) | 410.5 [@] 5 | 2316.7? | (14^{+}) |
| 2881.6? | (17 ⁻) | 396.6 [@] 5 | 2485.0? | (15 ⁻) |
| 3285.6? | (19 ⁻) | 404.0 [@] 5 | 2881.6? | (17 ⁻) |
| 3695.8? | (21 ⁻) | 410.2 [@] 5 | 3285.6? | (19 ⁻) |

[†] From ²²⁶Ra α decay for levels up to 636 keV. For higher levels, values are from ²³²Th(¹³⁶Xe,X γ). [‡] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

[#] Multiply placed.[@] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.



 $^{222}_{86}Rn_{136}$

Adopted Levels, Gammas



 $^{222}_{86}Rn_{136}$