#### <sup>226</sup>Th α decay (30.72 min) 1976Ku08,1995Ko54,2012Ma30

	History		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, M. S. Basunia, Jun Chen et al.	NDS 192,315 (2023)	25-Sep-2023

Parent: <sup>226</sup>Th: E=0.0;  $J^{\pi}=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=30.72 \text{ min } 5$ ;  $Q(\alpha)=6452.5 \ 10$ ; % $\alpha \text{ decay}=100$ 

<sup>226</sup>Th-T<sub>1/2</sub>: NRM-weighted average with reduced  $\chi^2$ =3.06 of 30.70 min 3 (2012Po13, analysis of seven  $\alpha$ -decay curves), 30.83 min 1 (1995Ko54,  $\gamma$ -decay curves for four sources, each counted for five half-lives, uncertainty of 0.01 min gets inflated to 0.06 min in the NRM procedure), 30.57 min 10 (1987Mi10,  $\alpha$ -decay curves, weighted average of 39 measurements). Weighted average is 30.82 3, with reduced  $\chi^2$ =11.5. LWM-weighted average is 30.76 5, with reduced  $\chi^2$ =6.8. Unweighted average is 30.70 8 min. Other: 30.9 min (1948St42).

<sup>226</sup>Th-Q( $\alpha$ ): From 2021Wa16.

<sup>226</sup>Th- $\%\alpha$  decay:  $\%\alpha$ =100 for <sup>226</sup>Th decay. 2001Bo11 measured  $\%^{18}$ O cluster decay of  $<3.2\times10^{-14}$ .

Dataset by Balraj Singh, Jun Chen, and IAEA-ICTP-workshop participants: A. Rathi and P.S. Rawat.

1976Ku08: <sup>230</sup>U activity was from decay of <sup>230</sup>Pa produced by natural Thorium target with 100 MeV proton beam at Dubna. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma(x ray)$ -coin, ce using two Ge(Li) detectors with volumes of 0.17cm<sup>3</sup> and 32cm<sup>3</sup> for low and high energy  $\gamma$ -rays and Si(Li) detector for ce measurement. Deduced levels, J,  $\pi$ ,  $\alpha$ -decay branching ratios, hindrance factors.

1995Ko54: <sup>230</sup>U activity was from decay of <sup>230</sup>Pa produced via <sup>232</sup>Th(p,3n)<sup>230</sup>Pa reaction at 30 MeV. Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$  using HPGe coaxial detector and LEPS detector. Deduced levels, J,  $\pi$ , T<sub>1/2</sub> of <sup>226</sup>Th and <sup>222</sup>Ra decay,  $\alpha$ -decay branching ratios, hindrance factors.

2012Ma30: source of 18.6 mm diameter prepared from electro-deposition of  $^{230}$ U solution with activity at starting of order of 1kBq.  $\alpha$ -particles were detected using ion-implanted planar silicon detector. Measured E $\alpha$ , I $\alpha$ .

Other measurements or analyses:

2012Po13: source prepared by capturing recoil atoms from open  $^{230}$ U; measured T<sub>1/2</sub> of  $^{226}$ Th and  $^{222}$ Ra decays;  $\alpha$  detection by ion-implanted silicon detector.

2009Mo37: Measured cross sections and thick-target yields; Deuteron irradiations of <sup>231</sup>Pa targets; comparison with EMPIRE 3 code; No  $E\alpha$ , I $\alpha$  data for <sup>226</sup>Th decay.

1991Ry01: Evaluated  $E\alpha$  and  $I\alpha$  for the two most intense  $\alpha$  lines of 6338- and 6229-keV.

1991Ga28:  $\alpha$  spectrum contained peaks assigned to <sup>226</sup>Th decay; no E $\alpha$ , I $\alpha$  data; ON-Line Gas chemistry apparatus used.

1988Hu08:  $\alpha$  spectrum contained peaks assigned to <sup>226</sup>Th decay; no E $\alpha$ , I $\alpha$  data; measured mass-separated yields using ion-guide separation technique; used natural <sup>232</sup>Th and radioactive <sup>230</sup>Th targets with 55 MeV proton beam.

1987Mi10:  $T_{1/2}$  of <sup>226</sup>Th decay; <sup>232</sup>Th irradiated with bremsstrahlung beams; solid state detector used for  $\alpha$  detection.

1975VaZD: Measured E $\alpha$ , I $\alpha$ ; deduced hindrance factors; JINR magnetic  $\alpha$  spectrograph; sources mass-separated from Th.

1974Va28: Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ .

1971He19: <sup>226</sup>Th source prepared by capturing recoil atoms from <sup>230</sup>U on Al foil;  $\alpha\gamma(\theta)$ , geometrical factor corrections; Ge(Li) and surface barrier detector.

1969Br10: Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , ce,  $\alpha\gamma$ -,  $\alpha$ (ce)-coin, Ge(Li) Detector.

1969Pe17: <sup>226</sup>Th source from successive disintegration of <sup>230</sup>U extracted chemically from Th(p,3n)<sup>230</sup>Pa reaction; measured  $\alpha\gamma$ -coin, I $\gamma$  for 111 $\gamma$ , I $\alpha$  for 6229 $\alpha$ , ce; Si detector.

1967LoZZ (thesis): Source produced by proton irradiation of natural Th; measured ce for  $^{222}$ Ra using double focusing spectrometer; E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$  using Ge(Li) detector.

1963Le17 (thesis): Sources are produced by irradiation method;  $E\alpha$ ,  $I\alpha$ ,  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ , ce,  $I_{ce}$ ,  $\alpha\gamma$ -,  $\alpha$ (ce)-,  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coin; silicon detectors, anthracene and NaI scintillators.

1961Ru06 (thesis): Source by bombarding He ion beam at <sup>232</sup>Th;  $E\alpha$ ,  $I\alpha$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\alpha\gamma$ -,  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coin; ionization chamber and scintillator.

1956As38: Source by collecting recoils from <sup>230</sup>U  $\alpha$ -decay; E $\alpha$ , I $\alpha$ , E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin; electromagnetic spectrograph and NaI scintillator.

1956Sm88: <sup>230</sup>U separated from Th(p,3n)<sup>230</sup>Pa reaction, measured E $\gamma$  using ce for <sup>230</sup>U decay series; beta-ray spectrographs. 1954St02: E $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\alpha\gamma(\theta)$ , NaI(Tl) detector for  $\gamma$  and thin NaI crystal for  $\alpha$ .

1948St42: Deuteron and He ion bombardment of Th;  $T_{1/2}$  of <sup>226</sup>Th using  $\alpha$ -activity from recoils of parent;  $E\alpha$  using pulse analyzer.

#### $^{226}{\rm Th}~\alpha$ decay (30.72 min) 1976Ku08,1995Ko54,2012Ma30 (continued)

## <sup>222</sup>Ra Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	Jπ‡	T <sub>1/2</sub> ‡	Comments
0.0#	0+	33.6 s 4	
111.134 <sup>#</sup> 9	$2^{+}$	0.52 ns 4	$T_{1/2}$ : adopted value from (6234 $\alpha$ )(ce 111 $\gamma$ )(t) (1960Be25).
242.157 <sup>@</sup> 9	1-	9.5 ps +21-16	$T_{1/2}$ : other: <1.2 ns (( $\alpha$ )(240 $\gamma$ )(t)) (1956St23).
301.445 <sup>#</sup> <i>13</i>	4+	135 ps +17-14	$T_{1/2}$ : other: <1.4 ns ( $\alpha(190\gamma)(t)$ ) (1956St23).
317.385 <sup>@</sup> 13	(3)-	4.7 ps +26-14	
473.74 <sup>@</sup> 20	(5 <sup>-</sup> )	24 ps +5-9	
914.174 <sup>&amp;</sup> 22	$(0^{+})$		
1024.96 <sup>&amp;</sup> 6	(2 <sup>+</sup> )		$J^{\pi}$ : gammas to $0^+$ and $4^+$ levels; possible member of band based on $(0^+)$ .

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to E $\gamma$  data. Reduced  $\chi^2$ =2.1 is within the 95% confidence limit. <sup>‡</sup> From the Adopted Levels.

# Band(A): g.s. band.
@ Band(B): Octupole band, based on 1<sup>-</sup>.

<sup>&</sup> Band(C): Possible band based on  $(0^+)$ .

## $\alpha$ radiations

## Additional information 1.

$\mathrm{E} \alpha^{\dagger}$	E(level)	$I\alpha^{\dagger @}$	$\mathrm{HF}^{\ddagger}$	Comments
(5331.4 <sup>#</sup> 10)	1024.96	$1.50 \times 10^{-4}$ 23	4.6 8	
(5440.2 <sup>#</sup> 10)	914.174	3.3×10 <sup>-4#</sup> 4	8.5 9	
(5872.8 <sup>#</sup> 10)	473.74	$2.28 \times 10^{-4}$ # 23	$2.26 \times 10^3 23$	
6027.1 10	317.385	0.230 5	12.42 31	E $\alpha$ : 2012Ma30 give 0.9 keV lower than 6028 5 quoted as from 1991Ry01, which however does have this E $\alpha$ . Other: 6024.5 50 (1975VaZD), 6029 (1956As38); 6026.6 10 from Q( $\alpha$ )-level energy, de-corrected for recoil. I $\alpha$ : others: 0.22.2 (1975VaZD): 0.207.7 from $\alpha$ +ce intensity balance.
6042.5 10	301.445	0.181 4	18.7 5	E $\alpha$ : 2012Ma30 give 2.5 keV higher than 6040 5 in 1975VaZD. Other: 6042.2 10 from Q( $\alpha$ )-level energy, de-corrected for recoil. I $\alpha$ : others: 0.20.2 (1975VaZD): 0.189.7 from $\alpha$ +ce intensity balance.
6100.2 <i>10</i>	242.157	1.266 7	5.02 7	E $\alpha$ : 2012Ma30 give 1.2 keV higher than 6099 5 quoted as from 1991Ry01, which however does have this E $\alpha$ . Others: 6099.5 50 (1975VaZD), 6095 (1956As38); 6100.5 10 from Q( $\alpha$ )-level energy, de-corrected for recoil. I $\alpha$ : other: 1.70 15 (1956As38), 1.2 4 (1963Le17), 1.3 2 (1975VaZD); 1.25 4 from $\gamma$ +ce intensity balance.
6229.1 10	111.134	22.93 9	1.076 <i>13</i>	Eα: 2012Ma30 give 4.9 keV lower than 6234 5 quoted in 2012Ma30 as from 1991Ry01 based on measured values of 6234 5 (1975VaZD), 6220 3 (1956As38), but the actual value from 1991Ry01 is 6230.7 30. Other: 6229.2 10 from Q(α)-level energy, de-corrected for recoil. Iα: others: 22.8 2 (1969Pe17), 19.0 15 (1956As38), 20 (1961Ru06), 23.0 23 (1975VaZD): 21.4 12 from γ+ce intensity balance.
6338.2 10	0.0	75.39 10	1.0	<ul> <li>Eα: 2012Ma30 give 1.4 keV higher than 6336.8 <i>10</i> recommended by 1991Ry01, based on measured values of 6337.5 <i>50</i> (1975VaZD) and 6330 <i>10</i> (1956As38). Other: 6338.3 <i>10</i> from Q(α), de-corrected for recoil; 6300 (1948St42, also mentioned Eα=6300 25 from re-analysis by A. H. Joffey).</li> <li>Iα: others: 79 (1956As38), 78 (1961Ru06), 75 8 (1975VaZD); 76.9 <i>12</i> from 100-ΣI(γ+ce to g.s.).</li> </ul>

#### <sup>226</sup>Th $\alpha$ decay (30.72 min) 1976Ku08,1995Ko54,2012Ma30 (continued)

## $\alpha$ radiations (continued)

- <sup>†</sup> From 2012Ma30, unless otherwise noted. Uncertainty for  $E\alpha$  is not given in 2012Ma30, but estimated as 1 keV in priv. comm. by the evaluator (B. Singh) with S. Pomme in March 2021. <sup>‡</sup> The nuclear radius parameter  $r_0(^{222}Ra)=1.53762$  45 is deduced from assumed HF=1.0 for the ground-state to ground-state alpha
- decay branch.
- <sup>#</sup>  $\alpha$  not observed;  $E\alpha$  from Q( $\alpha$ )-level energy, de-corrected for recoil; I $\alpha$  from  $\gamma$ +ce intensity balance at each level.
- <sup>@</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

# $\gamma(^{222}\text{Ra})$

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger \ddagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	α <b>#</b>	Comments
(75.13 2)	0.000033 9	317.385	(3)-	242.157	1-	[E2]	36.8 5	%Iγ=0.000033 9 $\alpha$ (L)=27.0 4; $\alpha$ (M)=7.35 10 $\alpha$ (N)=1.940 27; $\alpha$ (O)=0.412 6; $\alpha$ (P)=0.0593 8; $\alpha$ (Q)=0.0001583 22 E <sub>γ</sub> : from 1992Ru01 in <sup>222</sup> Fr β <sup>-</sup> . The E <sub>γ</sub> value not used in the least-squares fitting procedure. Fitted E <sub>γ</sub> =75.228 13. I <sub>γ</sub> : from I <sub>γ</sub> (75 <sub>γ</sub> )/I <sub>γ</sub> (206 <sub>γ</sub> )=0.017 4/100 10 (1992Ru01. <sup>222</sup> Fr β <sup>-</sup> decay).
111.15 <i>I</i>	3.11 15	111.134	2+	0.0	0+	E2	6.12 9	(1)) 2(40), 1(β) 4(24)). %[γ=3.11 15 $\alpha(K)=0.293 4; \alpha(L)=4.28 6; \alpha(M)=1.166 16$ $\alpha(N)=0.308 4; \alpha(O)=0.0655 9; \alpha(P)=0.00951$ 13; $\alpha(Q)=3.90\times10^{-5} 5$ E <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 111.15 1 (1995Ko54), 111.12 3 (1976Ku08). Others: 111.1 3 (1956Sm88, from L2, L3, M2, M3, N and O conversion lines using β-ray spectrometer), 112 3 (1956As38), 111 2 (1967LoZZ), 111.3 (1969Pe17), 111 (1969Br10). E <sub>γ</sub> : uncertainty multiplied by a factor of 2 in the fitting; level-energy difference=111.135. I <sub>γ</sub> : weighted average of 2.908 145 (1995Ko54), 3.290 200 (1976Ku08), 3.3 2 (1969Pe17). Others: 3.8 4 (1961Ru06, author mentioned that intensities are not determined experimentally so limits on error are to be used from previous work 1956As38), 4.8 4 (1956As38). Relative I <sub>γ</sub> =100 (1969Br10). Mult.: L12:L3:M23:N=17.0 22:11.6 19:9.5 17:3.2 7 (1967LoZZ), $\alpha(L2)=2.4 4, \alpha(L)=4.1$ 5 (1974Va28). I(ce) values given here were normalized to Ice(K)(230y of <sup>226</sup> Ac decay)=5.45. For absolute I(ce) values per 100α decays, multiply by 0.269 18. Value of $\alpha(exp)=6.24 25$ was deduced by 1969Pe17 from $\alpha\gamma$ -coin data. Other: L/M+N+=3.12 (1969Br10)
131.04 1	0.270 <i>13</i>	242.157	1-	111.134	2+	(E1)	0.2499 35	% Iy=0.270 13 $\alpha$ (K)=0.1957 27; $\alpha$ (L)=0.0411 6; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00988 14 $\alpha$ (N)=0.00257 4; $\alpha$ (O)=0.000565 8; $\alpha$ (P)=9.02×10 <sup>-5</sup> 13; $\alpha$ (Q)=4.85×10 <sup>-6</sup> 7 E <sub>y</sub> : weighted average: 131.04 1 (1995Ko54),

<sup>226</sup> Th $\alpha$ decay (30.72 min)	1976Ku08,1995Ko54,2012Ma30 (continued)
--	--

#### $\gamma(^{222}\text{Ra})$ (continued) $I_{\gamma}^{\dagger\ddagger}$ α**#** $E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$ E<sub>i</sub>(level) $J_i^{\pi}$ $\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$ Mult. Comments $\mathbf{E}_{f}$ 131.02 5 (1976Ku08). Other: 131 5 (1956As38), 131 (1969Br10). $E_{\nu}$ : uncertainty multiplied by a factor of 2 in the fitting; level-energy difference=131.021. I<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average: 0.262 13 (1995Ko54), 0.278 13 (1976Ku08). Others: 0.4 1 (1956As38), 0.3 1 (1961Ru06, author mentioned that intensities are not determined experimentally so limits on error are to be used from previous work 1956As38). Relative $I\gamma = 11$ (1969Br10). Mult.: from intensity balance. No ce lines were observed (1969Br10). 2.02×10<sup>-4</sup> 20 172.3 2 473.74 $(5^{-})$ 301.445 4+ [E1] 0.1289 18 %Iy=0.000202 20 *α*(K)=0.1022 *15*; *α*(L)=0.02028 *29*; a(M)=0.00486 7 $\alpha(N)=0.001268 \ 18; \ \alpha(O)=0.000280 \ 4;$ $\alpha(P)=4.55\times10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(Q)=2.62\times10^{-6}$ 4 E<sub>γ</sub>: weighted average: 172.3 2 (1995Ko54), 172.3 3 (1976Ku08). $I_{\gamma}$ : weighted average: 0.00030 15 (1995Ko54), 0.00020 2 (1976Ku08, γ observed only in $\gamma\gamma$ -coin in this work). 190.31 *1* 0.111 4 301.445 $4^{+}$ 111.134 2+ E2 0.701 10 $%I\gamma = 0.111 4$ $\alpha(K)=0.1776\ 25;\ \alpha(L)=0.385\ 5;\ \alpha(M)=0.1041$ 15 $\alpha(N)=0.0275$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.00589$ 8; $\alpha(P)=0.000871$ 12; $\alpha(Q)=8.45\times10^{-6}$ 12 $E_{\gamma}$ : weighted average: 190.31 *l* (1995Ko54), 190.30 5 (1976Ku08). Other: 197 10 (1956As38), 188 (1969Br10). $I_{\gamma}$ : weighted average: 0.112 4 (1995Ko54), 0.109 6 (1976Ku08). Others: 0.40 5 (1956As38), 0.30 5 (1961Ru06, author mentioned that $I\gamma$ are not determined experimentally so limits on error are to be used from previous work 1956As38). Relative $I\gamma = 3.3$ (1969Br10). Mult.: from ce data of 1976Ku08 (measured ce intensities were not given). Only E2 multipolarity yields an intensity balance at the 301.42-keV level. Other: L/M+N+=1.8 (1969Br10), I(ce)=0.4 (1956As38). 206.25 1 0.191 6 317.385 111.134 2+ E1 0.0838 12 %Iy=0.191 6 $(3)^{-}$ α(K)=0.0669 9; α(L)=0.01288 18; a(M)=0.00308 4 *α*(N)=0.000804 *11*; *α*(O)=0.0001786 *25*; $\alpha(P)=2.93\times10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(Q)=1.757\times10^{-6}$ 25 $E_{\gamma}$ : weighted average: 206.25 *l* (1995Ko54), 206.23 5 (1976Ku08). Other: 207 (1969Br10). I<sub> $\gamma$ </sub>: weighted average: 0.192 6 (1995Ko54), 0.189 8 (1976Ku08). Relative $I_{\gamma}=5.5$ (1969Br10). Mult.: from ce data of 1976Ku08 (measured ce intensities were not given). Only E1

		<sup>226</sup> Th	α <b>decay</b>	(30.72 m	in)	1976Ku08,1995Ko54,2012Ma30 (continued)			
			ontinued)						
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger \ddagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathrm{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	α <b>#</b>	Comments	
242.14 <i>I</i>	0.866 26	242.157	1-	0.0	0+	E1	0.0575 8	multipolarity is consistent with the intensity balance at the 317.35 level. Other: I(ce)/I $\gamma \le 0.04$ (1969Br10). %I $\gamma = 0.866\ 26$ $\alpha(K) = 0.0461\ 6;\ \alpha(L) = 0.00866\ 12;$ $\alpha(M) = 0.002068\ 29$ $\alpha(N) = 0.000541\ 8;\ \alpha(O) = 0.0001204\ 17;$ $\alpha(P) = 1.990 \times 10^{-5}\ 28;\ \alpha(Q) = 1.236 \times 10^{-6}\ 17$	
672.02 2	0.00029 2	914.174	(0+)	242.157	1-	[E1]	0.00661 <i>9</i>	$E_{\gamma}: weighted average: 242.14 1(1995Ko54), 242.12 5 (1976Ku08).Other: 242 3 (1956As38), 242(1969Br10).Iγ: weighted average: 0.866 26(1995Ko54), 0.866 40 (1976Ku08).Others: 1.2 1 (1956As38), 1.2 4(1963Le17), 0.9 1 (1961Ru06, authormentioned that intensities are notdetermined experimentally so limits onerror are to be used from previous work1956As38).Relative Iγ=29 (1969Br10),Mult.: from α(K)exp≈0.06 (estimated bythe evaluator from the (α)(ce) spectrumshown by 1969Br10).%Iγ=0.00029 2α(K)=0.00542 8; α(L)=0.000904 13;α(M)=0.0002132 30$	
707.52 9	0.00005 1	1024.96	(2+)	317.385	(3)-	[E1]	0.00600 8	$\alpha$ (N)=5.59×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8; $\alpha$ (O)=1.264×10 <sup>-5</sup> 18; $\alpha$ (P)=2.166×10 <sup>-6</sup> 30; $\alpha$ (Q)=1.588×10 <sup>-7</sup> 22 E <sub>y</sub> : weighted average: 672.02 2 (1995Ko54), 671.9 3 (1976Ku08). I <sub>y</sub> : weighted average: 0.00029 2 (1995Ko54), 0.00028 3 (1976Ku08). %I <sub>y</sub> =0.00005 1 $\alpha$ (K)=0.00492 7; $\alpha$ (L)=0.000817 11; $\alpha$ (M)=0.0001926 27	
722.9 4	7×10 <sup>-6</sup> 3	1024.96	(2+)	301.445	4+	[E2]	0.01714 24	$\alpha(N)=5.05\times10^{-5} 7; \ \alpha(O)=1.143\times10^{-5} 16; \alpha(P)=1.960\times10^{-6} 27; \ \alpha(Q)=1.446\times10^{-7} 20 E_{\gamma}: weighted average: 707.52 9 (1995Ko54), 707.5 5 (1976Ku08). I_{\gamma}: weighted average: 0.00005 1 (1995Ko54), 0.00006 2 (1976Ku08). \%I_{\gamma}=0.000007 3 \alpha(K)=0.01255 18; \ \alpha(L)=0.00345 5; \alpha(M)=0.0002271 32; \ \alpha(O)=5.05\times10^{-5} 7; \alpha(P)=8.31\times10^{-6} 12; \ \alpha(Q)=4.40\times10^{-7} 6 E_{\gamma}, I_{\gamma}: from 1995Ko54. WI = 0.00026 12 A = 0.00005 1 C = 0.00005 1 C = 12 $	
183.0 3	J.0×10 ° 12	1024.90	(2.)	242.137	1	[E]	0.00490 /	$\alpha(K) = 0.000036 \ 12$ $\alpha(K) = 0.00408 \ 6; \ \alpha(L) = 0.000672 \ 9;$ $\alpha(M) = 0.0001582 \ 22$ $\alpha(N) = 4.15 \times 10^{-5} \ 6; \ \alpha(O) = 9.40 \times 10^{-6} \ 13;$	

		<sup>226</sup> Th $\alpha$ decay (30.72 min)				1976Ku08,1995Ko54,2012Ma30 (continued)			
	$\gamma$ <sup>(222</sup> Ra) (continued)								
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger \ddagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult.	a#	Comments	
								$\begin{array}{c} \alpha(\mathrm{P}) = 1.615 \times 10^{-6} \ 23; \ \alpha(\mathrm{Q}) = 1.205 \times 10^{-7} \\ 17 \\ \mathrm{E}_{\gamma}: \ \text{weighted average: } 782.9 \ 5 \ (1995\mathrm{Ko54}), \\ 783.0 \ 5 \ (1976\mathrm{Ku08}). \\ \mathrm{I}_{\gamma}: \ \text{weighted average: } 0.000052 \ 10 \\ (1995\mathrm{Ko54}), \ 0.00009 \ 3 \ (1976\mathrm{Ku08}). \end{array}$	
802.7 1	3.7×10 <sup>-5</sup> 24	914.174	(0+)	111.134	2+	[E2]	0.01385 19	%I $\gamma$ =0.000037 24 $\alpha$ (K)=0.01035 14; $\alpha$ (L)=0.00263 4; $\alpha$ (M)=0.000651 9 $\alpha$ (N)=0.0001717 24; $\alpha$ (O)=3.83×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; $\alpha$ (P)=6.35×10 <sup>-6</sup> 9; $\alpha$ (Q)=3.59×10 <sup>-7</sup> 5 E $_{\gamma}$ : poor fit in the level scheme, level-energy difference=803.036; uncertainty multiplied by a factor of 2 in the fitting procedure. E $_{\gamma}$ : weighted average: 802.7 1 (1995Ko54), 802.7 5 (1976Ku08). E $_{\gamma}$ : uncertainty multiplied by a factor of 2 in the fitting; level-energy difference=803.037. I $_{\gamma}$ : unweighted average: 0.000013 4 (1995Ko54), 0.00006 2 (1976Ku08)	
913.9 <i>4</i> ×929.5 2	3.3×10 <sup>-5</sup> 16 0.020 6	1024.96	(2+)	111.134	2+			(1995K054), 0.00000 2 (1976K008). %Iγ=0.000033 <i>16</i> E <sub>γ</sub> ,I <sub>γ</sub> : from 1995Ko54. %Iγ=0.020 <i>6</i> E <sub>γ</sub> ,I <sub>γ</sub> : from 1995Ko54. In <sup>222</sup> Fr β <sup>-</sup> decay, Eγ=929.47 8 is reported in 1992Ru01 from an 1171.6 level, but there are several other $\gamma$ rays of comparable intensities in 1992Ru01, which are not reported in 1995Ko54.	
(1025.02 8)	3.6×10 <sup>-6</sup> 8	1024.96	(2+)	0.0	0+	[E2]	0.00859 12	% Iy=0.0000036 8 $\alpha(K)=0.00666 9; \alpha(L)=0.001456 20; \alpha(M)=0.000356 5$ $\alpha(N)=9.36\times10^{-5} 13; \alpha(O)=2.104\times10^{-5} 29; \alpha(P)=3.55\times10^{-6} 5; \alpha(Q)=2.256\times10^{-7} 32$ Ey: from 1992Ru01 in <sup>222</sup> Fr $\beta^-$ decay. Iy: Iy(1025y)/Iy(707y+783y)=0.060 $10/1.76 9$ (1992Ru01, <sup>222</sup> Fr $\beta^-$ ) gives Iy(1025y)=0.0000036 8, which is adopted here.	

<sup>†</sup> Weighted or unweighted average of the listed values, as specified in comments. Measured values are mainly from 1995Ko54 and 1976Ku08.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>#</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

 $x \gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

6





 $^{222}_{88}$ Ra<sub>134</sub>

# <sup>226</sup>Th α decay (30.72 min) 1976Ku08,1995Ko54,2012Ma30



