

Adopted Levels

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, M. S. Basunia, Jun Chen et al. ,		NDS 192,315 (2023)	25-Sep-2023

$Q(\beta^-) = -221 \times 10^1$ 10; $S(n) = 638 \times 10^1$ 11; $S(p) = 2160$ 90; $Q(\alpha) = 8790$ 60 [2021Wa16](#)

$Q(\epsilon) = 4860$ 90, $S(2n) = 14360$ 90, $S(2p) = 6260$ 90 ([2021Wa16](#)).

Production and identification of ²²²Pa:

[1970Bo13](#): ²⁰⁹Bi(¹⁶O,3n), ²⁰⁶Pb(¹⁹F,3n), excitation function; parent of ²¹⁸Ac from 9210 α .

[1979Sc09](#): ¹⁸⁴W(⁴⁰Ar,pn), E=165-202 MeV, excitation function; parent of ²¹⁸Ac from 9210 α ; and parent of ²¹⁴Fr from 8430 α .

[Additional information 1](#).

[2019Mi08](#): ²²²Pa produced in ¹⁸¹Ta(⁴⁸Ca,X), E=212,217,226 MeV fusion-evaporation reactions at the UNILAC accelerator of GSI, followed by separation of evaporation residues (ERs) using the SHIP velocity filter and implanted into the COMPACT Spectroscopy Set-up (COMPASS). Measured $E\alpha$ and half-life of ²²²Pa decay from time correlations between ERs and α particles.

[2021Hu18](#): ²²²Pa produced in ¹⁸⁶W(⁴⁰Ar,p3n), E=198.7 MeV. The evaporation residues (ERs) were separated in-flight by the gas-filled recoil separator SHANS and implanted in three 16-strip position-sensitive silicon detectors (PSSDs) at the IMP-Lanzhou facility. ²²²Pa identified by ERs- α - α time and position correlations. Measured $E\alpha$, $I\alpha$, and $T_{1/2}$ of g.s. of ²²²Pa.

Theoretical calculations for α decay:

[2023Za01](#): calculated $T_{1/2}$ for α decay using the generalized liquid-drop model with a 1977 nuclear proximity potential.

[2022He18](#): calculated α -decay $T_{1/2}$, α -preformation factor using density-dependent cluster model with RMF NN interactions, M3Y NN interactions and universal decay law (UDL) formula.

[2021Sa52](#): calculated $Q(2\alpha)$, $T_{1/2}$ for 2α -decay with and without the deformation effects using the modified generalized liquid drop model, and Coulomb and proximity potential model with different preformation factors for double α decay.

[2018De17](#): calculated α -decay preformation factors using cluster-formation model (CFM) and $T_{1/2}(\alpha)$ using a 1977 proximity potential (Prox. 1977).

[2017Se19](#): calculated difference between proton and neutron skin thicknesses, $Q(\alpha)$ using Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) method, based on the Skyrme-like effective interactions.

²²²Pa Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

A ²²⁶Np α decay (44 ms)

E(level)	$T_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments
0	3.8 ms 6	A	<p>$\% \alpha = 100$</p> <p>Only the α decay has been observed for the decay of ²²²Pa. Theoretical partial $T_{1/2} = 21.3$ s for ²²²Pa ϵ decay (2019Mo01) gives $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 0.02$. Other: $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ \approx 4 \times 10^{-4}$ from gross β^- decay calculations (1973Ta30).</p> <p>Additional information 2.</p> <p>E(level): observed activity is assumed to correspond to the g.s. of ²²²Pa.</p> <p>$T_{1/2}$: unweighted average of 2.76 ms +43-33 (2021Hu18, ²²²Pa fragments and α decay correlated decay curve); 4.5 ms 3 (2019Mi08, time correlations between ²²²Pa fragments and subsequent α decays); 3.3 ms 3 (1995AnZY); 2.9 ms +6-4 (1979Sc09); 5.7 ms 5 (1970Bo13). Weighted average is 3.85 ms 47, but with large reduced χ^2 of 7.7 versus 2.4 at 95% confidence level.</p>
107 30		A	
188 30		A	