

**Adopted Levels**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh, M. S. Basunia, Jun Chen et al. ,		NDS 192,315 (2023)	25-Sep-2023

Q( $\beta^-$ )=-581 11; S(n)=5980 60; S(p)=3631 6; Q( $\alpha$ )=7137.4 20 [2021Wa16](#)

Q( $\epsilon$ )=2302 6, S(2n)=13265 7, S(2p)=9438 6 ([2021Wa16](#)).

[1952Me13](#), [1964Mc21](#), [1968Ha14](#): identified ground state of <sup>222</sup>Ac as daughter of <sup>226</sup>Pa  $\alpha$  decay, and parent of <sup>218</sup>Fr (7130 $\alpha$ ) ([1972Es03](#)).

[1972Es03](#): isomer in <sup>222</sup>Ac identified in Pb(<sup>18</sup>O,pxn), as parent of <sup>218</sup>Fr through 7870 $\alpha$ , and grand-parent of <sup>214</sup>At from 8810 $\alpha$  at the Berkeley heavy-ion linear accelerator. Measured half-lives of the two activities in <sup>222</sup>Ac and isomeric ratio.

Theoretical calculations:

[1992Ch20](#): calculated well depths, quadrupole and hexadecapole deformations, level energies, static moments of inertia, oblate and prolate superdeformed features using fermion dynamic symmetry model.

[2022He18](#): calculated T<sub>1/2</sub> for  $\alpha$  decay,  $\alpha$ -preformation factor using density-dependent cluster model with RMF NN and M3Y NN interactions and universal decay law (UDL).

[2022Ka09](#): calculated T<sub>1/2</sub> for  $\alpha$  decay for bare nuclei and He-like ions using adiabatic approach.

[2021Sa52](#): calculated Q(2 $\alpha$ ), T<sub>1/2</sub> for 2 $\alpha$ -decay with and without the deformation effects using the modified generalized liquid drop model, and Coulomb and proximity potential model with different preformation factors for double  $\alpha$  decay.

[2020Zh36](#): calculated T<sub>1/2</sub> for  $\alpha$  decay, shell correction energies of the spherical parent and daughter nuclei using generalized liquid drop model, with the effects of the Strutinsky shell correction.

[2013Sa54](#): calculated T<sub>1/2</sub> for  $\alpha$  and <sup>14</sup>C decays from hypernucleus <sup>222</sup>Ac and non-strange normal nucleus using fission model, the Coulomb and proximity potential model (CPPM).

[Additional information 1](#).

<sup>222</sup>Ac Levels

Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

**A** <sup>226</sup>Pa  $\alpha$  decay (1.8 min)

E(level)	J $\pi$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
0	1 <sup>-</sup>	4.9 s 5	<b>A</b>	<p><math>\% \alpha = 99</math> 1; <math>\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 1</math> 1</p> <p><math>\% \alpha = 99</math> 1. Possible <math>\epsilon</math> branching was estimated by <a href="#">1966Wa23</a> as 1-2% from I<math>\alpha</math>(7.13-MeV <math>\alpha</math>) of <sup>218</sup>Rn shown in <sup>222</sup>Ac <math>\alpha</math> spectrum by <a href="#">1964Mc21</a>. Theoretical partial T<sub>1/2</sub>&gt;100 s for <sup>222</sup>Ac <math>\epsilon + \beta^+</math> decay (<a href="#">2019Mo01</a>) gives <math>(\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+) &lt; 5</math>.</p> <p>J<math>\pi</math>: favored <math>\alpha</math> decay (HF=2.6) to 1<sup>-</sup> g.s. of <sup>218</sup>Fr.</p> <p>T<sub>1/2</sub>: weighted average of 5 s 1 (<a href="#">1972Es03</a>), 4.2 s 5 (<a href="#">1958To25</a>) and 5.5 s 5 (<a href="#">1952Me13</a>).</p> <p><math>\% \alpha = 94</math> 5; <math>\% IT = 5</math> 5 (<a href="#">1972Es03</a>); <math>\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 1.35</math> 65 (<a href="#">1972Es03</a>)</p> <p><math>\% IT \leq 10</math> was deduced by <a href="#">1972Es03</a> from ratio of I<math>\alpha</math> values of 4.9-s <sup>222</sup>Ac and 64-s <sup>222</sup>Ac.</p> <p><math>\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 0.7</math> to 2 was deduced by <a href="#">1972Es03</a> from the intensities of <math>\alpha</math> lines from <sup>218</sup>Rn, <sup>214</sup>Po and 64-s <sup>222</sup>Ac.</p> <p>From <math>\alpha</math>-energy considerations, either of the 4.9-s and 64-s activities could be the g.s., and the other as an isomer. From the number of counts in the <math>\alpha</math>-spectra recorded in the movable detectors (in the off-wheel position) combined with the spectra recorded by the stationary detectors, <a href="#">1972Es03</a> interpreted that the 64-sec state also decays by <math>\gamma</math>-ray emission, feeding the 4.0-s state, estimating the isomeric decay between 3% and 10%, with the implication that the 64-s state lies higher than the 4.9-s state.</p> <p>E(level): x=9 keV 20, deduced from E<math>\alpha</math>=7008.6 20 and 7000 20 from the <math>\alpha</math> decays of the 4.9-s and 64-s activities of <sup>222</sup>Ac, respectively, both <math>\alpha</math> transitions populating the g.s. of <sup>218</sup>Fr.</p> <p>J<math>\pi</math>: on the basis of measured production cross-section ratio, <a href="#">1972Es03</a> suggested that the 64-s isomeric state has higher spin than that of the 4.9-s g.s. Authors suggested Nilsson assignment of <math>\nu 13/2[606] \otimes \pi 3/2[651]</math>, with the 5<sup>+</sup> state as the lowest in the multiplet according to the Gallagher-Moszkowski coupling rule.</p>
0+x		64 s 3		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

**Adopted Levels (continued)**

---

 $^{222}\text{Ac}$  Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)</u>	<u>XREF</u>	<u>Comments</u>
40 14	<a href="#">A</a>	$T_{1/2}$ : weighted average of 66 s 3 ( <a href="#">1972Es03</a> ), 62 s 3 ( <a href="#">1973Mo07</a> ), 60 s 10 ( <a href="#">1982Bo04</a> ). Other: <a href="#">1970GhZY</a> .
137 14	<a href="#">A</a>	