

$^{220}\text{At}$   $\beta^-$  decay [1989Li04,1989Bu09](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	E. Browne, J. K. Tuli		NDS 112, 1115 (2011)	31-Oct-2010

Parent:  $^{220}\text{At}$ :  $E=0.0$ ;  $J^\pi=3$ ;  $T_{1/2}=3.71$  min 4;  $Q(\beta^-)=3739$  51;  $\% \beta^-$  decay=92.0 20

[Additional information 1.](#)

 $^{220}\text{Rn}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>
0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>
240.97 1	2 <sup>+</sup>
533.68 4	4 <sup>+</sup>
645.74 9	1 <sup>-</sup>
663.23 4	(3 <sup>-</sup> )

<sup>†</sup> Deduced by evaluators from least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

$Q(\beta^-)=3739$  keV 51 ([2009AuZZ](#)).

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ <sup>†‡</sup>	Log $ft$	Comments
( $3.08 \times 10^3$ ) 5)	663.23	19 2	7.1	av $E\beta=1178$ 22
( $3.09 \times 10^3$ ) 5)	645.74	5.5 5	9.0 <sup>1u</sup>	av $E\beta=1145$ 22
( $3.21 \times 10^3$ ) 5)	533.68	34 2	6.9	av $E\beta=1233$ 22
( $3.50 \times 10^3$ ) 5)	240.97	33 8	7.1	av $E\beta=1358$ 22

<sup>†</sup> Deduced by evaluators from  $\gamma$ -ray transition intensity balances.

<sup>‡</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.920 20.

 $\gamma(^{220}\text{Rn})$ 

$I_\gamma$  normalization: From  $\Sigma I(\gamma+ce)$  to g.s.=92 2 and assuming no direct  $\beta^-$  decay to  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  g.s.

$I(K\alpha \text{ x ray})/I(241.0\gamma)=0.083$  8 ([1989Bu09](#)); 0.11 1 calculated by evaluators from  $\gamma$ -ray intensities and K-shell conversion coefficients (Program RADLST).

$E_\gamma$ <sup>‡</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>‡@</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.#	$\alpha^\dagger$	Comments
240.97 1	100 8	240.97	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.276	$\alpha(K)=0.1109$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.1220$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.0324$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.01036$ 15 $\alpha(N)=0.00843$ 12; $\alpha(O)=0.001725$ 25; $\alpha(P)=0.000202$ 3 $\%I_\gamma=69.1$ 16.
292.71 3	43 2	533.68	4 <sup>+</sup>	240.97	2 <sup>+</sup>	(E2)	0.1487	$\alpha(K)=0.0727$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.0564$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.01483$ 21; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00475$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.00386$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.000795$ 12; $\alpha(P)=9.50 \times 10^{-5}$ 14 $\%I_\gamma=30$ 3.
*318.3 1	3.6 4							

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{220}\text{At}$   $\beta^-$  decay [1989Li04](#), [1989Bu09](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{220}\text{Rn})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$ <sup>‡</sup>	$I_\gamma$ <sup>‡@</sup>	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. #	$\alpha^\dagger$	Comments
404.8 1	2.4 4	645.74	1 <sup>-</sup>	240.97	2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	0.01713	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01398$ 20; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00240$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000567$ 8; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.000183$ 3 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001466$ 21; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.16\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.42\times 10^{-6}$ 7 %I $\gamma=1.7$ 3.
422.26 3	27 3	663.23	(3 <sup>-</sup> )	240.97	2 <sup>+</sup>	[E1]	0.01566	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.01279$ 18; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00219$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000516$ 8; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.0001662$ 24 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001334$ 19; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.88\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(\text{P})=4.03\times 10^{-6}$ 6 %I $\gamma=19$ 3.
<sup>x</sup> 533.8 2	2.9 4							
<sup>x</sup> 592.3 2	2.3 4							
645.6 2	5.6 5	645.74	1 <sup>-</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>			%I $\gamma=3.9$ 5.
<sup>x</sup> 668.0 2	4.4 5							
<sup>x</sup> 697.6 3	6.0 5							

<sup>†</sup> Additional information 2.

<sup>‡</sup> From [1989Li04](#). Other: [1989Bu09](#).

# From Adopted Gammas.

@ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.69 6.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{220}\text{At}$   $\beta^-$  decay 1989Li04,1989Bu09

## Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays

Legend

