

Adopted Levels

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	M. Shamsuzzoha Basunia		NDS 127, 69(2015)	1-Apr-2015

$Q(\beta^-) = -15137$ SY; $S(n) = 16860$ SY; $S(p) = 1.0$ SY; $Q(\alpha) = -9260$ SY [2012Wa38](#)
 $\Delta Q(\beta^-) = 643$ (syst), $\Delta S(n) = 566$ (syst), $\Delta S(p) = 401$ (syst), $\Delta Q(\alpha) = 416$ (syst) [2012Wa38](#).

 ^{22}Al LevelsCross Reference (XREF) Flags

[A](#) ^{22}Si ε decay

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	XREF	Comments
0.0	$(4)^+$	91.1 ms 5	A	$\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+ = 100$; $\% \beta^+ p = 55$ 3; $\% \beta^+ 2p = 1.1$ 1; $\% \beta^+ \alpha = 0.038$ 17 (2006Ac04) $T_{1/2}$: From 2006Ac04 based on three different analyses procedures. The most reliable value is from decay time spectra in coin with delayed protons. Other values: 59 ms 3 (1997B103 – probably suffered from shorter beam off cycle of only 100 ms – noted in 2006Ac04); 70 ms +50–35 (1982Ca16). J^π : Spin assignment based on T=2 isospin multiplet (1982Ca16) and from comparison of measured γ -ray intensity, summed Gamow-Teller strength and delayed proton branching ratio with calculations (2006Ac04). $\% \beta^+ p$ and $\% \beta^+ 2p$ values are deduced by evaluator from data in Table 1 (2006Ac04). In Fig. 9 an uncertainty of ≈ 7 for summed $\% \beta^+ p$ is shown. Other values: $\% \beta^+ p \approx 60$; $\% \beta^+ 2p = 0.9$ 5; $\% \beta^+ \alpha = 0.31$ 9 (1997B103).
1850	1^+		A	$\% p = ?$ J^π : $\log ft < 4.3$ from 0^+ .
2210	1^+		A	$\% p = ?$ J^π : $\log ft < 4.8$ from 0^+ .