$^{217}_{92}\mathrm{U}_{125}$  From ENSDF  $^{217}_{92}\mathrm{U}_{125}$ 

## **Adopted Levels**

Type Author Citation Literature Cutoff Date

Full Evaluation Balraj Singh NDS 147, 382 (2018)

Literature Cutoff Date
1-Dec-2017

 $S(n)=8160 \ SY; \ S(p)=2120 \ SY; \ Q(\alpha)=8430 \ SY$  2017Wa10 Estimated uncertainties (2017Wa10): 80 for S(n), 90 for S(p), 70 for  $Q(\alpha)$ .  $S(2n)=18090 \ 110, \ S(2p)=2530 \ 70, \ Q(\varepsilon p)=5390 \ 70 \ (syst, \ 2017Wa10).$ 

<sup>217</sup>U evaluated by B. Singh.

2000Ma65: assignment:  $^{182}$ W(193-MeV  $^{40}$ Ar,5n), recoil separator; parent of 215-ms  $^{213}$ Th (7701-keV  $\alpha$ ).

2005Le42:  $^{217}$ U produced in  $^{182}$ W( $^{40}$ Ar,5n),E=186 MeV reaction, double-sided silicon detector. Measured E $\alpha$ ,  $T_{1/2}$ . Only one questionable event assigned to  $^{217}$ U.

Additional information 1.

## <sup>217</sup>U Levels

E(level) $T_{1/2}$ Comments016 ms + 21-6 $%\alpha \approx 100; \%\varepsilon + \%\beta^+ = ?$ Only the  $\alpha$  decay mode has been observed. Theoretical calculations give  $T_{1/2}(\alpha) = 0.407 \text{ s}$ ,  $T_{1/2}(\beta) = 6.62 \text{ s} (1997\text{Mo}25)$ , suggesting  $\%\varepsilon + \beta^+ \approx 6\%$ .E(level): the observed 16-ms activity is assumed to correspond to the ground state of  $^{217}\text{U}$ . $J^\pi$ :  $1/2^-$  proposed from systematics (2017Au03), ( $1/2^-$ ) also listed in 2005Le42. $T_{1/2}$ :  $15.6 \text{ ms} + 213 - 57 \text{ from decay curve for 8005}\alpha$  (2000Ma65). Other: 0.19 ms +113-10 (2005Le42) for decay curve for 8024 $\alpha$  with only one questionable event assigned to  $^{217}\text{U}$ . Evaluator prefers to adopt  $T_{1/2}$  measurement in 2000Ma65 as it is based on an unambiguous peak from  $\alpha\alpha$ -coincidence events showing four generations of  $\alpha$ -decays.