

(HI,xn γ) **1983It01**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. -c. Wu	NDS 108, 1057 (2007)	1-Mar-2007

- 1975No09: $^{207}\text{Pb}(^{12}\text{C},3\text{n}\gamma)$, $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{12}\text{C},4\text{n}\gamma)$, E=60-90 MeV; measured I γ , I α , excit, $\gamma(\theta,t)$, ce(θ,t).
 1982Ch25: $^{206}\text{Pb}(^{13}\text{C},3\text{n}\gamma)$, E(^{12}C)=67-83 MeV; $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{12}\text{C},4\text{n}\gamma)$, E(^{13}C)=75-85 MeV; measured I γ , excit, $\gamma(t)$, $\gamma\gamma$.
 1983It01: $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{12}\text{C},4\text{n}\gamma)$, ($^{13}\text{C},5\text{n}\gamma$), E=65-95 MeV; measured I γ , Ice, $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma(t)$, $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$.
 1983Lo01: $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{13}\text{C},5\text{n}\gamma)$, E=75-95 MeV; measured I γ , $\gamma(\theta)$, $\alpha\gamma$, $\gamma(t)$.
 1991Dr08,1992ByZY: $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{12}\text{C},4\text{n}\gamma)$, $^{208}\text{Pb}(^{13}\text{C},5\text{n}\gamma)$; E=78,80 MeV; measured γ , $\gamma(t)$.

 ^{216}Ra Levels

The level scheme is that of 1983It01 based on I γ , excitation functions, $\gamma\gamma$, and $\gamma(t)$. This scheme differs from that of 1983Lo01 for levels above 3293 and in the order of the 344.2 and 613.3 γ 's connecting the 2335 and 3293 levels. $\gamma(t)$ data of 1982Ch25 establish that the 613 γ precedes the 344 γ . See 1983It01 for a discussion of the level scheme differences.

 α decay from excited levels (1975No09)

E(level)	E α	$\Gamma\alpha/\Gamma$	HF	
1164	10491	0.0023		--
1508	10823	0.0058		
1711	11028	0.0088	2250	
	9551	0.0098	2.8	
2026	11345	0.0012		

E(level) [†]	J $^\pi$ [‡]	T $_{1/2}$ [#]	Comments
0	0 ⁺		
688.20 20	2 ⁺		
1164.1 3	4 ⁺		
1507.6 @ 3	6 ⁺	<0.2 ns	
1711.1 @ 4	8 ⁺	1.42 ns 20	T $_{1/2}$: weighted average of 1.7 ns 7 (1982Ch25), 1.4 ns 2 (1991Dr08).
2026.0 4	10 ⁺	0.6 ns 1	T $_{1/2}$: from 1992ByZY (value replaces that quoted in 1991Dr08). g-factor=+0.1 2 (1990Sc29).
2335.2 4	11 ⁻		
2679.4 4	13 ⁻	0.96 ns 20	g-factor=-0.1 2 (1990Sc29). T $_{1/2}$: weighted average of 0.90 ns 21 (1991Dr08), 1.6 ns 7 (1982Ch25).
3292.7 5	14 ⁺		
3412.7? 5			
3491.6 5	16 ⁺		
3580.7?			
3582.1 5	16 ⁺		
3712.1 5	18 ⁺		
3763.5 5	19 ⁻	5.34 ns 15	g-factor=0.51 3 (1985Ad09), 0.49 5 (1990Sc29). T $_{1/2}$: weighted average of 5.27 ns 14 (1991Dr08), 5.3 ns 3 (1985Ad09), 6.0 ns 4 (1983It01); others: 8.1 ns 2 (1983Lo16), 6.9 ns 2 (1982Ch25), 7.0 ns 7 (1975No09). Note that 1975No09 and 1983Lo16 assign this half-life to the 8 ⁺ 1711 level, while 1982Ch25 assign it to an unspecified isomer feeding the 14 ⁺ 3290-keV level.
4320.4 6	20 ⁻		
4719.0 6	21 ⁻		
4977.0 7	23 ⁻		
5170.5 7	(25 ⁻)	6.6 ns 3	g-factor=0.63 6 (1985Ad09), 0.7 2 (1990Sc29). T $_{1/2}$: from 557 $\gamma(t)$: 6.6 ns 3 (1985Ad09), 6.7 ns 6 (1983It01). The 399, 258 and 194 γ 's are

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

(HI,xn γ) **1983It01 (continued)** ^{216}Ra Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	J $^{\pi\ddagger}$	Comments
5471.3 8	(26 $^-$)	also delayed with this half-life. Other: 1983Lo16 , 1983Lo01 report 10 ns 3 which they assign to a level at 5868 keV.
5832.5 8	(27 $^-$)	
6266.1 9	(28 $^-$)	

[†] From a least-squares fit to the E γ .[‡] From [1983It01](#) based on excit, $\gamma(\theta)$ and γ multipolarity arguments.[#] From [1991Dr08](#), unless otherwise noted.@ Combined g-factor=+0.1 3 for 6 $^+$ and 8 $^+$ levels, deduced from 475.0 and 688.2 γ 's ([1990Sc29](#)). $\gamma(^{216}\text{Ra})$

[1982Ch25](#) give I γ for the γ 's with $7 \text{ ns} \leq T_{1/2} \leq 35 \text{ ns}$. They show that the I($\gamma+ce$) for the cascade transitions from the 3293 level are equal within the uncertainties.

E $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	E _i (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E _f	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult.	a f	I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$	Comments
51.4 1	17.8 11	3763.5	19 $^-$	3712.1	18 $^+$	E1	0.650		$\alpha(L)=0.492$ 8; $\alpha(M)=0.1202$ 18; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0386$ 6 $\alpha(N)=0.0310$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.00657$ 10; $\alpha(P)=0.000956$ 15; $\alpha(Q)=3.81\times 10^{-5}$ 6
x110.8 2								6.9 7	Mult.: from intensity balance. For any multipolarity other than E1, I($\gamma+ce$)(51 γ) would be greater than I($\gamma+ce$)(688 γ). Note that $\delta(M2/E1)<0.07$ from the requirement I($\gamma+ce$)(51 γ)<I($\gamma+ce$)(688 γ). From RUL, $\delta<0.002$.
120.1 g 2	2.4 5	3412.7?		3292.7	14 $^+$	D e			I $_{\gamma}$: from I($\gamma+ce$) with $\alpha(E1)=0.313$. $\alpha(K)=0.302$ 5; $\alpha(L)=2.06$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.559$ 13; $\alpha(N+..)=0.184$ 5
130.4 5	2.0 5	3712.1	18 $^+$	3582.1	16 $^+$	[E2]	3.10 7		$\alpha(N)=0.148$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.0315$ 7; $\alpha(P)=0.00459$ 11; $\alpha(Q)=2.38\times 10^{-5}$ 5
132 $^{#g}$		3712.1	18 $^+$	3580.7?					
x145.0 $^{@}$ 10									
x145.8 $^{@}$ 10									
x156.6 $^{@}$ 10									
168 $^{#g}$		3580.7?		3412.7?					
193.5 2	$\approx 3.0^{\&}$	5170.5	(25 $^-$)	4977.0	23 $^-$	[E2]	0.660		$\alpha(K)=0.1722$ 25; $\alpha(L)=0.359$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.0969$ 15; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0319$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.0256$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.00548$ 8; $\alpha(P)=0.000811$ 12; $\alpha(Q)=8.10\times 10^{-6}$ 12
198.9 1	9.2 11	3491.6	16 $^+$	3292.7	14 $^+$	E2 c	0.597		$\alpha(K)=0.1634$ 23; $\alpha(L)=0.319$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.0861$ 13; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0283$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.0227$ 4; $\alpha(O)=0.00487$ 7; $\alpha(P)=0.000722$ 11; $\alpha(Q)=7.56\times 10^{-6}$ 11
203.5 1	51 3	1711.1	8 $^+$	1507.6	6 $^+$	E2 a	0.549		$\alpha(K)=0.1564$ 22; $\alpha(L)=0.289$ 4;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

(HI,xn γ) 1983It01 (continued) $\gamma(^{216}\text{Ra})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	I_γ^{\ddagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^f	Comments
$^{x}217.3 @ 10$ 220.4 2	8.6 11	3712.1	18 ⁺	3491.6	16 ⁺	E2 ^c	0.415	$\alpha(M)=0.0780 11; \alpha(N+..)=0.0257 4$ $\alpha(N)=0.0206 3; \alpha(O)=0.00442 7;$ $\alpha(P)=0.000656 10; \alpha(Q)=7.13\times 10^{-6} 10$
258.0 2	5.0 9	4977.0	23 ⁻	4719.0	21 ⁻	E2 ^c	0.244	$\alpha(K)=0.1336 19; \alpha(L)=0.207 3;$ $\alpha(M)=0.0557 8; \alpha(N+..)=0.0183 3$ $\alpha(N)=0.01471 22; \alpha(O)=0.00316 5;$ $\alpha(P)=0.000470 7; \alpha(Q)=5.85\times 10^{-6} 9$
289.5 2	7.4 8	3582.1	16 ⁺	3292.7	14 ⁺	E2 ^c	0.1696	$\alpha(K)=0.0969 14; \alpha(L)=0.1086 16;$ $\alpha(M)=0.0290 5; \alpha(N+..)=0.00958 14$ $\alpha(N)=0.00767 11; \alpha(O)=0.001652 24;$ $\alpha(P)=0.000249 4; \alpha(Q)=4.00\times 10^{-6} 6$
300.8 3	6.0 18	5471.3	(26 ⁻)	5170.5	(25 ⁻)	(D+Q) ^d		I $_{\gamma}$: from I(γ +ce) with $\alpha(M1+E2)=0.45 30$.
$^{x}304.0 @ 10$ 309.2 1	65 3	2335.2	11 ⁻	2026.0	10 ⁺	E1 ^a	0.0329	$\alpha(K)=0.0265 4; \alpha(L)=0.00482 7;$ $\alpha(M)=0.001149 17; \alpha(N+..)=0.000380 6$ $\alpha(N)=0.000301 5; \alpha(O)=6.73\times 10^{-5} 10;$ $\alpha(P)=1.123\times 10^{-5} 16; \alpha(Q)=7.32\times 10^{-7} 11$
314.9 1	66 3	2026.0	10 ⁺	1711.1	8 ⁺	E2 ^a	0.1316	$\alpha(K)=0.0641 9; \alpha(L)=0.0499 7;$ $\alpha(M)=0.01322 19; \alpha(N+..)=0.00437 7$ $\alpha(N)=0.00349 5; \alpha(O)=0.000756 11;$ $\alpha(P)=0.0001154 17; \alpha(Q)=2.52\times 10^{-6} 4$
$^{x}328.5 @ 10$ 343.5 1	81 4	1507.6	6 ⁺	1164.1	4 ⁺	E2 ^a	0.1023	$\alpha(K)=0.0536 8; \alpha(L)=0.0361 5;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00951 14; \alpha(N+..)=0.00314 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.00251 4; \alpha(O)=0.000545 8;$ $\alpha(P)=8.39\times 10^{-5} 12; \alpha(Q)=2.07\times 10^{-6} 3$
344.2 1	46 3	2679.4	13 ⁻	2335.2	11 ⁻	E2 ^a	0.1017	$\alpha(K)=0.0533 8; \alpha(L)=0.0358 5;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00944 14; \alpha(N+..)=0.00312 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.00249 4; \alpha(O)=0.000541 8;$ $\alpha(P)=8.33\times 10^{-5} 12; \alpha(Q)=2.07\times 10^{-6} 3$
361.2 2	5.4 13	5832.5	(27 ⁻)	5471.3	(26 ⁻)	(D+Q) ^d		I $_{\gamma}$: from I(γ +ce) with $\alpha(M1+E2)=0.27 18$.
398.6 2	8.7 14	4719.0	21 ⁻	4320.4	20 ⁻	M1+E2 ^b	0.20 13	$\alpha(K)=0.15 12; \alpha(L)=0.035 14; \alpha(M)=0.008 3; \alpha(N+..)=0.0028 10$ $\alpha(N)=0.0022 8; \alpha(O)=0.00050 19;$ $\alpha(P)=8.E-5 4; \alpha(Q)=5.E-6 4$
433.6 5	3.8 12	6266.1	(28 ⁻)	5832.5	(27 ⁻)	(D+Q) ^d		I $_{\gamma}$: from I(γ +ce) with $\alpha(M1+E2)=0.17 11$.
475.9 2	92 5	1164.1	4 ⁺	688.20	2 ⁺	E2 ^a	0.0435	$\alpha(K)=0.0278 4; \alpha(L)=0.01173 17;$ $\alpha(M)=0.00302 5; \alpha(N+..)=0.001002 14$ $\alpha(N)=0.000798 12; \alpha(O)=0.0001751 25;$ $\alpha(P)=2.77\times 10^{-5} 4; \alpha(Q)=1.025\times 10^{-6} 15$
$^{x}545.1 @ 10$ 556.9 3	10.4 11	4320.4	20 ⁻	3763.5	19 ⁻	M1+E2 ^b	0.08 6	$\alpha(K)=0.06 5; \alpha(L)=0.013 7; \alpha(M)=0.0032 14; \alpha(N+..)=0.0011 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.0009 4; \alpha(O)=0.00019 9;$ $\alpha(P)=3.3\times 10^{-5} 16; \alpha(Q)=2.3\times 10^{-6} 16$
$^{x}583.4 @ 10$ 613.3 2	47.4 20	3292.7	14 ⁺	2679.4	13 ⁻	E1 ^a	0.00787	$\alpha(K)=0.00645 9; \alpha(L)=0.001085 16;$ $\alpha(M)=0.000256 4; \alpha(N+..)=8.51\times 10^{-5} 12$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

(HI,xn γ) **1983It01 (continued)** $\gamma(^{216}\text{Ra})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	I_γ^{\ddagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^f	Comments
688.2 2	98 5	688.20	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	E2 ^a	0.0190	$\alpha(N)=6.71\times 10^{-5}$ 10; $\alpha(O)=1.517\times 10^{-5}$ 22; $\alpha(P)=2.59\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(Q)=1.88\times 10^{-7}$ 3 $\alpha(K)=0.01374$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.00394$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000986$ 14; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000328$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.000260$ 4; $\alpha(O)=5.78\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(P)=9.46\times 10^{-6}$ 14; $\alpha(Q)=4.84\times 10^{-7}$ 7

[†] From 1983It01.[‡] From 1983It01, $E(^{12}\text{C})=80$ MeV. The evaluator has calculated $I\gamma$ from the $I(\gamma+\text{ce})$ given by 1983It01 using α for the multipolarity assumed by the authors. 1983It01 do not give the α they used to calculate $I(\gamma+\text{ce})$ given in their table 1.[#] Not in γ table of 1983It01, but shown in their level scheme. No $I\gamma$ available.[@] From 1982Ch25. The γ is above the $J=14$ 3292.7-keV level in level scheme; for coin data see 1982Ch25.& $I\gamma$ taken at $\theta=55^\circ$.^a From $\gamma(\theta)$ and $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$ measurements of 1983It01.^b From $\alpha(K)\exp$ of 1983It01. Values are from relative $I\gamma$ and $I\text{ce}$ normalized so that $\alpha(K)\exp$ yields E2 theory values for the $\Delta J=2$ cascade gammas below the 14⁺ level.^c Transition is stretched quadrupole from $\gamma(\theta)$. From placement in level scheme, $T_{1/2}<7$ ns so mult=M2 is ruled out.^d 1983It01 suggest mult=M1+E2 on the basis of $\gamma(\theta)$.^e 1983It01 suggest mult=E1 on the basis of $\gamma(\theta)$.^f Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.^g Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

(HI,xn γ) 1983It01

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_{γ}

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- - - → γ Decay (Uncertain)

