

**Adopted Levels, Gammas**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. -c. Wu	NDS 108,1057 (2007)	1-Mar-2007

 $Q(\beta^-) = -4853$  14;  $S(n) = 7314$  12;  $S(p) = 4316$  12;  $Q(\alpha) = 9526$  9    [2012Wa38](#)Note: Current evaluation has used the following Q record  $-4832$     28 7314 11 4316 11 9526 8    [2003Au03](#).

Calculations, compilations, systematics:

Cluster model for  $\alpha$  decay, Geiger-Nuttall plot: [1991Bu05](#).Equilibrium deformation energy: [1988So08](#).Octupole deformation: [1989Eg02](#).Proton-neutron interaction energy: [1990Mo11](#).Quasi-bands in even-even nuclei: [1984Sa37](#).Super- and hyperdeformed configurations: [1995We02](#). **$^{216}\text{Ra}$  Levels**For proposed configurations for  $^{216}\text{Ra}$  levels, see [1983It01](#), [1985Ad09](#), [1990Sc29](#) and [1991Dr08](#).See (HI,xn $\gamma$ ) for a tabulation of  $\alpha$  branches from excited states of  $^{216}\text{Ra}$ .**Cross Reference (XREF) Flags**

A	$^{220}\text{Th}$ $\alpha$ decay
B	(HI,xn $\gamma$ )

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>#</sup>	XREF	Comments
0	0 <sup>+</sup>	182 ns 10	AB	% $\alpha=100$ ; % $\varepsilon<1\times10^{-8}$ T <sub>1/2</sub> : from <a href="#">1973No09</a> . Other: <1 ms ( <a href="#">1961Gr43</a> ). % $\varepsilon$ : from log $ft>3.6$ , % $\varepsilon<1.2\times10^{-8}$ for the g.s. branch, and is smaller for possible branches to the excited states.
688.20 20	2 <sup>+</sup>		B	
1164.1 3	4 <sup>+</sup>		B	% $\alpha=0.23$
1507.6 3	6 <sup>+</sup>	<0.2 ns	B	% $\alpha=0.58$
1711.1 4	8 <sup>+</sup>	1.42 ns 20	B	% $\alpha=1.86$ $\mu=+3.2$ 32 ( <a href="#">2005St24</a> )
2026.0 4	10 <sup>+</sup>	0.6 ns 1	B	% $\alpha=0.12$ $\mu=+1.0$ 20 $\mu$ : from g-factor=+0.1 2 ( <a href="#">1990Sc29</a> ).
2335.2 4	11 <sup>-</sup>		B	
2679.4 4	13 <sup>-</sup>	0.96 ns 20	B	$\mu=-1.3$ 26 $\mu$ : from g-factor=-0.1 2 ( <a href="#">1990Sc29</a> ).
3292.7 5	14 <sup>+</sup>		B	
3412.7? 5			B	
3491.6 5	16 <sup>+</sup>		B	
3580.7?			B	
3582.1 5	16 <sup>+</sup>		B	
3712.1 5	18 <sup>+</sup>		B	
3763.5 5	19 <sup>-</sup>	5.34 ns 15	B	$\mu=9.7$ ( <a href="#">2005St24,1985Ad09</a> ) J <sup>π</sup> : stretched E1 $\gamma$ to 18 <sup>+</sup> . No $\gamma$ to 16 <sup>+</sup> . $\mu$ : from g-factor=0.51 3 ( <a href="#">1985Ad09</a> ); other: g-factor=0.49 5 ( <a href="#">1990Sc29</a> ).
4320.4 6	(20) <sup>-</sup>		B	J <sup>π</sup> : M1+E2 $\gamma$ to 19 <sup>-</sup> . No $\gamma$ to $\leq 18^+$ .
4719.0 6	(21) <sup>-</sup>		B	J <sup>π</sup> : M1+E2 $\gamma$ to (20) <sup>-</sup> . No $\gamma$ to $\leq 19^-$ .
4977.0 7	(23) <sup>-</sup>		B	J <sup>π</sup> : stretched E2 $\gamma$ to (21) <sup>-</sup> .

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)** **$^{216}\text{Ra}$  Levels (continued)**

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>#</sup>	XREF	Comments
5170.5 7	6.6 ns 3	B	g-factor=0.63 6 ( <a href="#">2005St24</a> , <a href="#">1985Ad09</a> ); other: 0.7 2 ( <a href="#">1990Sc29</a> ). J <sup>π</sup> : <a href="#">1983It01</a> suggest 25 <sup>-</sup> on the basis of theoretical estimates of the expected energy of the configuration= $(^{214}\text{Ra} \ 17^-)(\nu \ 2g_{9/2})_{8+}^{+2}$ . Measured g-factor agrees with this assignment ( <a href="#">1985Ad09</a> ). g-factor also agrees with J <sup>π</sup> =24 <sup>+</sup> , but not with 24 <sup>-</sup> (see <a href="#">1985Ad09</a> ). T <sub>1/2</sub> : from 557γ(t) ( <a href="#">1983It01</a> ). The 399, 258 and 194γ's are also delayed with this half-life.
5471.3 8		B	
5832.5 8		B	
6266.1 9		B	

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to the E<sub>γ</sub> in (HI,xny).<sup>#</sup> From γ(θ) and γ multipolarities, the transitions up to the 3712 level are stretched E2's (except E1 for the 309γ and 613γ from the 2335 and 3292 levels, respectively).

# From (HI,xny), except as noted.

 **$\gamma(^{216}\text{Ra})$** 

All γ data are from (HI,xny).

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>i</sub>	E <sub>γ</sub>	I <sub>γ</sub>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sup>π</sup> <sub>f</sub>	Mult.	α <sup>†</sup>	Comments
688.20	2 <sup>+</sup>	688.2 2	100	0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.0190	
1164.1	4 <sup>+</sup>	475.9 2	100	688.20	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.0435	
1507.6	6 <sup>+</sup>	343.5 1	100	1164.1	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.1023	B(E2)(W.u.)>7.0
1711.1	8 <sup>+</sup>	203.5 1	100	1507.6	6 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.549	B(E2)(W.u.)=9.6 14
2026.0	10 <sup>+</sup>	314.9 1	100	1711.1	8 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.1316	B(E2)(W.u.)=3.5 6
2335.2	11 <sup>-</sup>	309.2 1	100	2026.0	10 <sup>+</sup>	E1	0.0329	
2679.4	13 <sup>-</sup>	344.2 1	100	2335.2	11 <sup>-</sup>	E2	0.1017	B(E2)(W.u.)=1.4 3
3292.7	14 <sup>+</sup>	613.3 2	100	2679.4	13 <sup>-</sup>	E1	0.00787	
3412.7?		120.1 <sup>‡</sup> 2	100	3292.7	14 <sup>+</sup>	D		
3491.6	16 <sup>+</sup>	198.9 1	100	3292.7	14 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.597	
3580.7?		168 <sup>‡</sup>	100	3412.7?				
3582.1	16 <sup>+</sup>	289.5 2	100	3292.7	14 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.1696	
3712.1	18 <sup>+</sup>	130.4 5	23 6	3582.1	16 <sup>+</sup>			
			220.4 2	100 13	3491.6	16 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.415
3763.5	19 <sup>-</sup>	51.4 1	100	3712.1	18 <sup>+</sup>	E1	0.650	B(E1)(W.u.)=0.000156 5
4320.4	(20) <sup>-</sup>	556.9 3	100	3763.5	19 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	0.08 6	
4719.0	(21) <sup>-</sup>	398.6 2	100	4320.4	(20) <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	0.20 13	
4977.0	(23) <sup>-</sup>	258.0 2	100	4719.0	(21) <sup>-</sup>	E2	0.244	
5170.5		193.5 2	100	4977.0	(23) <sup>-</sup>	[E2]	0.660	B(E2)(W.u.)=2.47 12
5471.3		300.8 3	100	5170.5		(D+Q)		
5832.5		361.2 2	100	5471.3		(D+Q)		
6266.1		433.6 5	100	5832.5		(D+Q)		

<sup>†</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.<sup>‡</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

Adopted Levels, Gammas

Legend

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

- - - - - ►  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)