### **Adopted Levels, Gammas**

	History		
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Shaofei Zhu and E. A. Mccutchan	NDS 175, 1 (2021)	1-May-2021

 $Q(\beta^{-})=1018 \ II; \ S(n)=5051 \ 7; \ S(p)=9.26\times 10^{3} \ 3; \ Q(\alpha)=2692 \ SY$ 2021Wa16

 $\Delta Q(\alpha) = 200$  (2021Wa16).

S(2n)=8776.7 20; S(2p)=17780 (syst) 300 (2021Wa16).

<sup>214</sup>Pb (RaB) was first identified as a descendent of <sup>226</sup>Ra decay chain, by Rutherford and Barnes (1904Ru04) in a study of radiations from radium (<sup>226</sup>Ra), as reviewed in article 2013Fr04.

 $\alpha$ : Additional information 1.

## <sup>214</sup>Pb Levels

### Cross Reference (XREF) Flags

<sup>218</sup>Po  $\alpha$  decay (3.097 min) A В

 ${}^{9}\text{Be}({}^{238}\text{U},\text{X}\gamma)$ 

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	Jπ#	T <sub>1/2</sub>	XREF	Comments
0.0‡	0+	27.06 min 7	AB	$%\beta^-=100$ T <sub>1/2</sub> : from 2011Vo01; Others: 26.8 min (1931Cu01) and 26.89 min <i>3</i> (1991Ma68). The discrepancy between result of 2011Vo01 and 1991Ma68 was attributed to the loss of <sup>214</sup> Bi from pumping in the measurement of 1991Ma68, as demonstrated in 2011Vo01. $\delta < r^2 >= +0.610 \text{ fm}^2 5$ was deduced from the measured isotopic shift 11503 MHz 20 relative to <sup>208</sup> Pb (1986An06). The rms charge radius $< r^2 > ^{1/2} = 5.5577$ fm 23 was deduced from $\delta < r^2 >= +0.610$ fm <sup>2</sup> 5 (2013An02).
835 <sup>‡</sup> 1	$(2^{+})$		AB	J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : HF for $\alpha$ transition from <sup>218</sup> Po is consistent with (2 <sup>+</sup> ) assignment.
1179 <sup>‡</sup> 2	$(4^+)$		В	
1365 <sup>‡</sup> 2	$(6^{+})$		В	
1365+x? <sup>‡</sup>	(8+)	6.2 μs 3	В	%IT=100 E(level): x < 88 keV (2012Go19). $T_{1/2}$ : from $\gamma$ (t) decay curve (2012Go19).

<sup>†</sup> From E $\gamma$  values, assuming 1 keV uncertainty for each  $\gamma$  ray.

<sup>‡</sup> Seq.(A): Yrast cascade.

<sup>#</sup> The transitions in the yrast cascade are attributed to E2 transitions with the assumption that the isomer is predominantly a fully aligned pair of neutrons with a  $(g_{9/2})^2$  configuration (2012Go19).

 $\gamma(^{214}\text{Pb})$ 

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	α	Comments
835	(2+)	835	100	0.0	0+	[E2]	0.00953	$\alpha(K)=0.00745 \ 11; \ \alpha(L)=0.001582 \ 23; \ \alpha(M)=0.000380 \ 6; \\ \alpha(N)=9.63\times10^{-5} \ 14; \ \alpha(O)=1.87\times10^{-5} \ 3 \\ \alpha(P)=1 \ 732\times10^{-6} \ 25$
1179	(4+)	344	100	835	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	[E2]	0.0777 13	$\begin{array}{l} \alpha(1) = 1.752 \times 10^{-5} & 25 \\ \alpha(K) = 0.0474 & 8; & \alpha(L) = 0.0228 & 4; & \alpha(M) = 0.00581 & 11; \\ \alpha(N) = 0.00147 & 3; & \alpha(O) = 0.000272 & 5 \\ \alpha(D) = 1.78 \times 10^{-5} & 2 \end{array}$
1365	(6+)	186	100	1179	(4+)	[E2]	0.552 14	$\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = 1.78 \times 10^{-5}$ $\alpha(\mathbf{K}) = 0.199$ 4; $\alpha(\mathbf{L}) = 0.264$ 8; $\alpha(\mathbf{M}) = 0.0691$ 19; $\alpha(\mathbf{N}) = 0.0174$

## Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)

# $\gamma$ <sup>(214</sup>Pb) (continued)

E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	Comments
1365+x?	(8+)	x	100	1365	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	[E2]	5; $\alpha(O)=0.00315$ 9 $\alpha(P)=0.000153$ 4 $E_{\gamma}$ : transition to (6 <sup>+</sup> ) level was not seen in $\gamma$ -ray spectra, x is assumed to be smaller than 88 keV based on the observation that the intensity of $K_{\alpha}$ x rays was only compatible with that from the internal conversion of the 6 <sup>+</sup> to 4 <sup>+</sup> transition (2012Go19).

<sup>†</sup> From <sup>9</sup>Be(<sup>238</sup>U,X $\gamma$ ).

### Adopted Levels, Gammas

## Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level



 $^{214}_{\ 82} \mathrm{Pb}_{132}$ 

# Adopted Levels, Gammas



 $^{214}_{\ 82} Pb_{132}$