

$^{214}\text{Pb} \beta^-$ decay 1991Be06

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Shaofei Zhu and E. A. Mccutchan		NDS 175, 1 (2021)	1-May-2021

Parent: ^{214}Pb : E=0.0; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=27.06$ min 7; $Q(\beta^-)=1018$ 11; % β^- decay=100.0

^{214}Pb - $T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels of ^{214}Pb .

^{214}Pb - $Q(\beta^-)$: From 2021Wa16.

2004Mo07: $^{226}\text{Ra}(\alpha)$ radioactivity in equilibrium; measured absolute ^{226}Ra α strength; measured absolute $I\gamma$; Ge(Li) and HPGe detectors for γ measurements; ZnS scintillator and α -spectrometer for α measurements.

2002De03: $^{226}\text{Ra}(\alpha)$ radioactivity in equilibrium; measured relative $I\gamma$; one planar p-type HPGe and one coaxial n-type HPGe.

1991Be06: ^{226}Ra radioactivity in equilibrium; Ice measurement using an 1000 μm thick surface-barrier Si detector; $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ measurement using four 28% efficiency HPGe detectors; $\beta\gamma\gamma(t)$ measurement using a BaF₂, a plastic and a HPGe detector; $E\gamma$ measurement using a LEPS detector; $\gamma\gamma$ measurement using two HPGe and one LEPS detectors. Determined levels; J^π ; $T_{1/2}$; γ -mult. and δ .

Other $E\gamma$ and absolute $I\gamma$: 1998Mo14, 1991Li11, 1983Sc13, 1983Ol01.

Other $E\gamma$ and relative $I\gamma$: 2002MoZP, 2000Sa32, 1983Bu14, 1983Ol01, 1983Sc13, 1982Fa10, 1981We18, 1979Hn02, 1977Zo01, 1975Ha31, 1972CIZS, 1970Mo28, 1969Li10, 1969Gr33, 1969Wa27, 1967Ma51, 1964Ew04, 1964Hy02, 1954Mi80, 1952Mu45.

$\gamma\gamma$ measurements: 1990Mo08, 1982Ak03.

Ice measurements: 1957Ni11, 1954Mi77, 1954Mi80, 1953Ka40, 1952Be78, 1951Ka32.

Level $T_{1/2}$ measurements: 2011ReZZ, 1984Pe13.

For near-zero energy electrons (e_0) measurements: 2006Va02.

X rays(Bi):

$I(K\alpha 1 \times \text{ray})=10.13\%$ 8; $I(K\alpha 2 \times \text{ray})=6.02\%$ 5 (2004Mo07). Others: $I(K\alpha \times \text{ray})=16.3\%$ 4 (1983Sc13); 17.3% 20 (1969Li10).

$I(K\beta \times \text{ray})=4.23\%$ 10 (2004Mo07). Others: $I(K\beta \times \text{ray})=4.94\%$ 12 (1983Sc13); 4.3% 8 (1969Li10).

α : Additional information 1.

 ^{214}Bi Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0 53.2260 15	1 ⁻ 2 ⁻	19.71 min 2 ≤ 15 ps	$T_{1/2}$: from the Adopted Levels. $T_{1/2}$: $\gamma\gamma(t)$ fast timing with LaBr ₃ (Ce) (2011ReZZ); Other: <0.1 ns from $\beta\gamma\gamma(t)$ (1991Be06); 0.52 ns 15 from $\beta\gamma\gamma(t)$ (1984Pe13).
62.68 5 258.869 24	(2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻) (2) ⁻		
295.2236 19 351.9323 21	1 ⁻ 0 ⁻ ,1 ⁻	≤ 0.05 ns ≤ 0.10 ns	$T_{1/2}$: from $\beta\gamma\gamma(t)$ (1991Be06). Other: ≤ 0.10 ns from $\beta\gamma(t)$ (1984Pe13). $T_{1/2}$: from $\beta\gamma(t)$ (1984Pe13).
377.03 4 533.672 14	(2 ⁺) (1 ⁻)		
797.30? 8 838.994 22			
888.03? 10	1 ⁺		

[†] From a least square fit to $E\gamma$'s.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ ^{†‡}	Log ft	Comments
(130 11)	888.03?	0.015 4	6.26 17	av $E\beta=34.2$ 31
(179 11)	838.994	2.75 8	4.43 9	av $E\beta=48.0$ 32
(484 11)	533.672	1.063 18	6.23 4	av $E\beta=142.8$ 37
(666 11)	351.9323	44.5 7	5.07 3	av $E\beta=205.1$ 39

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{214}\text{Pb} \beta^-$ decay 1991Be06 (continued) β^- radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-}$ ^{†‡}	Log f_t	Comments
(723 <i>II</i>)	295.2236	39.0 5	5.250 24	E=670 20, % $I\beta$ =25 was measured by 1952Be78 and E=590 10, % $I\beta$ =56 by 1953Ka40. av E β =225.3 40
(759 <i>II</i>)	258.869	0.075 20	8.04 12	E=730, % $I\beta$ =75 was measured by 1952Be78 and E=650 10, % $I\beta$ =44 by 1953Ka40.
(1018 <i>II</i>)	0.0	12.7 9	6.26 4	av E β =238.3 40 av E β =334.5 42 E(decay): 1030 60 measured by 1956Da28. $I\beta^-$: from intensity balance. % $I\beta^-$ =6.3 20 from 1956Da28. % $I\beta^-$ <10 was measured by 1957Ni11.

[†] From intensity balance, experimental values are given in comments.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$^{214}\text{Pb} \beta^-$ decay 1991Be06 (continued) $\gamma(^{214}\text{Bi})$

I $_{\gamma}$ normalization: Deduced from I $_{\gamma}(609)=45.45$ 19 (weighted average of 45.0 7 (1983Ol01), 44.6 5 (1983Sc13), 46.1 5 (1991Li11), 44.8 6 (1998Mo14), 45.57 18 (2004Mo07)) per 100 $^{214}\text{Bi} \beta^-$ decays with ^{226}Ra or ^{222}Rn in equilibrium.

E $_{\gamma}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\dagger\dagger}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult.	δ	α	Comments
(9.5)		62.68	(2 $^-$,3 $^-$)	53.2260	2 $^-$				E $_{\gamma}$: deduced from level energy difference; transition not observed, but expected to be the dominant decay path (1991Be06).
53.2256 16	2.362 22	53.2260	2 $^-$	0.0	1 $^-$	M1+E2	0.038 +26-18	12.20 35	Intensity balance at the 62.68-keV level yields I($\gamma+\text{ce}$)(62.7 γ)+I($\gamma+\text{ce}$)(9.5 γ)=0.314 25. The transition E $_{\gamma}=62.7$ was not observed; 1991Be06 set a limit for its intensity: I $_{\gamma}(62.7\gamma)/I_{\gamma}(53.23\gamma)<0.003$. $\alpha(L)=9.32$ 26; $\alpha(M)=2.20$ 7; $\alpha(N)=0.562$ 17; $\alpha(O)=0.1146$ 32; $\alpha(P)=0.01356$ 27
³									E $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 53.2260 14 (1952Mu45), 53.2230 21 (1991Be06) and 53.232 4 (2002MoZP). I $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 2.49 12 (1983Sc13), 2.329 23 (2002De03) and 2.383 20 (2002MoZP).
x107.22 9	0.015 3								Mult., δ : from the Adopted Gammas with L1:L2:L3=650:124:10.1 (1954Mi77); L1/L2=10 3, L1/L3=85 15 (1957Ni11).
x137.5 3	0.12 3								E $_{\gamma},I_{\gamma}$: from 1990Mo08. E $_{\gamma}$: from 1969Li10.
x141.3 6	0.06 3								I $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 0.10 4 (2000Sa32) and 0.14 5 (1969Li10). E $_{\gamma}$: from 1969Li10.
x170.07 6	0.032 6								I $_{\gamma},I_{\gamma}$: from 1990Mo08.
196.20 5	0.15 2	258.869	(2) $^-$	62.68	(2 $^-$,3 $^-$)				E $_{\gamma},I_{\gamma}$: from 1990Mo08.
205.68 9	0.025 3	258.869	(2) $^-$	53.2260	2 $^-$				E $_{\gamma},I_{\gamma}$: from 1990Mo08.
x216.47 7	0.022 5								E $_{\gamma},I_{\gamma}$: from 1990Mo08.
241.995 4	15.98 5	295.2236	1 $^-$	53.2260	2 $^-$	M1+E2	0.50 +8-7	0.718 33	$\alpha(K)=0.568$ 31; $\alpha(L)=0.1138$ 20; $\alpha(M)=0.0272$ 4; $\alpha(N)=0.00696$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.001402$ 24 $\alpha(P)=0.000159$ 4
									E $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 241.924 30 (1952Mu45), 241.981 8 (1977Zo01), 241.9983 30 (1991Be06) and 241.995 4 (2002MoZP).
									I $_{\gamma}$: weighted average of 16.0 3 (1983Sc13), 16.23 10 (1990Mo08), 16.1 3 (1991Li11), 15.7 3 (1998Mo14), 16.1 1 (2000Sa32), 15.90 5 (2002De03) and 15.98 6 (2002MoZP).
									Mult., δ : K:L1:L2=425:78:17.1 (1954Mi77); K/L=5.30 15 (1957Ni11); $\alpha(K)\exp=0.73$ 16, $\alpha(L)\exp=0.104$ 26

$^{214}\text{Pb} \beta^-$ decay 1991Be06 (continued)

$\gamma(^{214}\text{Bi})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\dagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	α	Comments
258.86 4	1.169 9	258.869	(2) ⁻	0.0	1 ⁻	M1	0.696 10		(1991Be06); (242 γ)(53 γ) (θ) : $A_2=+0.194$ 24, $A_4=-0.03$ 3 (1991Be06).
									$\alpha(K)=0.567$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.0983$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.02310$ 32; $\alpha(N)=0.00591$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.001207$ 17 $\alpha(P)=0.0001437$ 20 E_γ : weighted average of 258.82 10 (1969Li10) and 258.87 4 (1990Mo08). I_γ : weighted average of 1.23 6 (1990Mo08), 1.14 3 (1991Li11), 1.17 3 (1998Mo14), 1.15 4 (2000Sa32) and 1.171 9 (2002De03). Mult.: K/L1=5.7 (1954MI77), assuming 10% uncertainty by evaluator; $\alpha(K)\exp\approx0.58$; deduced $\delta=0.0$ 25 (1954MI77,1991Be06).
274.80 5	0.739 18	533.672	(1) ⁻	258.869	(2) ⁻	M1	0.590 8		$\alpha(K)=0.481$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.0833$ 12; $\alpha(M)=0.01957$ 27; $\alpha(N)=0.00501$ 7; $\alpha(O)=0.001023$ 14 $\alpha(P)=0.0001218$ 17 E_γ : weighted average of 274.8 1 (1969Li10), 274.78 24 (1982Ak03) and 274.80 5 (1990Mo08). I_γ : weighted average of 0.84 5 (1990Mo08), 0.732 11 (1998Mo14) and 0.83 8 (2000Sa32). Mult., δ : K/L1=6.4 (1954MI77) assuming 10% uncertainty by evaluator; $\alpha(K)\exp\approx0.52$ deduced $\delta=0.00$ 2 (1954MI77,1991Be06), (275 γ)(259 γ) (θ) : $A_2=+0.13$ 6, $A_4=-0.04$ 9 (1991Be06).
295.224 2	40.64 18	295.2236	1 ⁻	0.0	1 ⁻	M1+E2	0.39 7	0.438 16	$\alpha(K)=0.352$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.0650$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.01541$ 30; $\alpha(N)=0.00394$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.000800$ 17 $\alpha(P)=9.30\times10^{-5}$ 26 E_γ : weighted average of 295.217 39 (1952Mu45), 295.213 8 (1977Zo01), 295.226 2 (1991Be06) and 295.219 5 (2002MoZP). I_γ : weighted average of 41.3 9 (1983Ol01), 40.8 8 (1983Sc13), 41.85 26 (1990Mo08), 41.9 6 (1991Li11), 40.0 7 (1998Mo14), 40.8 12 (2000Sa32), 40.36 12 (2002De03) and 40.61 13 (2002MoZP). Mult., δ : K/L1=6.5, K/L2=39, K/M1=28 (1954MI77), 5.55 15 (1957Ni11); $\alpha(K)\exp=0.418$ 38, $\alpha(L)\exp=0.082$ 10 (1991Be06).
305.26 3	0.070 5	838.994	1 ⁺	533.672	(1) ⁻				E_γ : from 1990Mo08. I_γ : weighted average of 0.075 16 (1982Ak03), 0.068 5 (1990Mo08) and 0.080 15 (2000Sa32). E_γ : From 1990Mo08.
314.32 7	0.164 20	377.03	(2) ⁻	62.68	(2 ⁻ ,3 ⁻)				I_γ : weighted average of 0.17 2 (1990Mo08) and 0.158 20 (2000Sa32). E_γ : From 1990Mo08.
323.83 4	0.063 8	377.03	(2) ⁻	53.2260	2 ⁻				I_γ : weighted average of 0.060 7 (1990Mo08) and 0.084 20 (2000Sa32).

$^{214}\text{Pb} \beta^-$ decay 1991Be06 (continued) $\gamma(^{214}\text{Bi})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\dagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	α	Comments
351.9320 21	78.6 4	351.9323	$0^-, 1^-$	0.0	1^-	M1+E2	0.49 10	0.257 15	$\alpha(K)=0.207 \ 13; \alpha(L)=0.0384 \ 14; \alpha(M)=0.00911 \ 30;$ $\alpha(N)=0.00233 \ 8; \alpha(O)=0.000472 \ 17$ $\alpha(P)=5.47 \times 10^{-5} \ 25$ E_γ : weighted average of 351.992 62 (1952Mu45), 351.921 8 (1977Zo01), 351.9319 19 (1991Be06) and 351.939 6 (2002MoZP).
462.01 7	0.467 14	838.994	1^+	377.03	(2^-)				I_γ : weighted average of 79.6 17 (1983Ol01), 78.7 13 (1983Sc13), 81.48 48 (1990Mo08), 81.6 12 (1991Li11), 77.5 14 (1998Mo14), 78.5 24 (2000Sa32), 78.16 23 (2002De03) and 78.34 23 (2002MoZP). Mult., δ : $K/L_1=5.9, K/L_2=33, K/M1=26$ (1954Mi77), $K/L=5.65$ 15 (1957Ni11); $\alpha(K)\exp=0.280 \ 23, \alpha(L)\exp=0.049 \ 5$ (1991Be06). 56.84- and 298.76-keV γ decays not observed, tentatively placed by 1969Li10. A ce line observed by 1954Mi77 at 40.30 keV was interpreted by 1969Li10 as the L1 of 56.84 γ . E_γ : weighted average of 462.1 2 (1969Li10) and 462.00 7 (1990Mo08).
⁵ $x470.6$ 8	0.024 6								I_γ : weighted average of 0.40 4 (1990Mo08), 0.479 20 (1991Li11) and 0.470 14 (2000Sa32). E_γ : from 1969Li10.
480.43 2	0.741 10	533.672	(1^-)	53.2260 2 ⁻	M1		0.1303 18		$\alpha(K)=0.1066 \ 15; \alpha(L)=0.01818 \ 25; \alpha(M)=0.00427 \ 6;$ $\alpha(N)=0.001091 \ 15$ $\alpha(O)=0.0002230 \ 31; \alpha(P)=2.66 \times 10^{-5} \ 4$ E_γ : weighted average of 480.5 2 (1969Li10), 480.54 16 (1982Ak03) and 480.43 2 (1990Mo08). I_γ : weighted average of 0.71 5 (1990Mo08), 0.694 25 (1991Li11), 0.75 4 (1998Mo14), 0.74 3 (2000Sa32) and 0.749 10 (2002De03). Mult., δ : from $(480\gamma)(53\gamma)(\theta)$: $A_2=+0.16 \ 6, A_4=+0.03 \ 9$ deduced $\delta=0.00 +19-17$ (1991Be06).
487.11 7	0.952 12	838.994	1^+	351.9323 0 ⁻ , 1 ⁻	(E1)		0.01046 15		$\alpha(K)=0.00863 \ 12; \alpha(L)=0.001406 \ 20; \alpha(M)=0.000328 \ 5;$ $\alpha(N)=8.33 \times 10^{-5} \ 12$ $\alpha(O)=1.676 \times 10^{-5} \ 23; \alpha(P)=1.909 \times 10^{-6} \ 27$ E_γ : weighted average of 487.25 20 (1969Li10) and 487.90 7 (1990Mo08). I_γ : weighted average of 0.83 7 (1990Mo08), 0.92 4 (1991Li11), 0.96 4 (1998Mo14), 0.90 5 (2000Sa32) and 0.961 12 (2002De03). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp<0.024$ (1954Mi77, 1991Be06); $(487\gamma)(352\gamma)(\theta)$: $A_2=-0.01 \ 3, A_4=-0.01 \ 4$ (1991Be06).

²¹⁴Pb β^- decay 1991Be06 (continued) $\gamma(^{214}\text{Bi})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\frac{+}{-}\frac{+}{-}}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α	Comments
511.00# 9	0.033 9	888.03?		377.03	(2 ⁻)			The 511.0y was observed to be in direct coincidence with the 324- and 314-keV γ transitions, and placed by 1990Mo08 between a level at 888 keV and the 377-keV level. The authors of 1990Mo08 consider this placement, and therefore existence of the proposed level at 888 keV, to be questionable.
533.66 2	0.397 20	533.672	(1 ⁻)	0.0	1 ⁻			E_γ : weighted average of 533.8 2 (1969Li10), 533.71 16 (1982Ak03) and 533.66 2 (1990Mo08). I_γ : weighted average of 0.39 3 (1990Mo08), 0.403 20 (1991Li11) and 0.39 3 (2000Sa32). 181.5- and 238.4-keV γ decays tentatively assigned by 1969Li10. Not observed by others. A ce line observed by 1954MI77 at 90.96 was interpreted by 1969Li10 as being the K of a 181.5 γ .
538.43# 8	0.042 6	797.30?		258.869	(2) ⁻			E_γ : weighted average of 538.7 4 (1969Li10), 538.47 24 (1982Ak03) and 538.41 8 (1990Mo08). I_γ : weighted average of 0.038 9 (1982Ak03), 0.044 6 (1990Mo08) and 0.037 20 (2000Sa32).
543.82 7	0.11 2	838.994	1 ⁺	295.2236	1 ⁻			E_γ : weighted average of 544.0 3 (1969Li10) and 543.81 7 (1990Mo08). I_γ : weighted average of 0.15 2 (1990Mo08) and 0.10 1 (2000Sa32).
580.13 3	0.811 12	838.994	1 ⁺	258.869	(2) ⁻	(E1)	0.00732 10	$\alpha(K)=0.00605\ 8$; $\alpha(L)=0.000970\ 14$; $\alpha(M)=0.0002257\ 32$; $\alpha(N)=5.74\times10^{-5}\ 8$ $\alpha(O)=1.158\times10^{-5}\ 16$; $\alpha(P)=1.331\times10^{-6}\ 19$ E_γ : weighted average of 580.3 2 (1969Li10), 580.11 16 (1982Ak03) and 580.13 3 (1990Mo08). I_γ : weighted average of 0.79 11 (1982Ak03), 0.76 6 (1990Mo08), 0.76 3 (1991Li11), 0.84 4 (1998Mo14), 0.74 4 (2000Sa32) and 0.823 11 (2002De03). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp<0.024$ (1954MI77, 1991Be06); (580γ)(259γ)(θ): $A_2=+0.16\ 4$, $A_4=-0.06\ 6$ (1991Be06).
x766.0 2	0.12 2							E_γ : weighted average of 766.0 4 (1969Li10), 766.1 4 (1982Ak03) and 765.96 21 (1990Mo08). I_γ : weighted average of 0.27 9 (1982Ak03), 0.17 3 (1990Mo08) and 0.11 1 (2000Sa32).
785.96 9	2.33 17	838.994	1 ⁺	53.2260	2 ⁻	E1	0.00406 6	$\alpha(K)=0.00337\ 5$; $\alpha(L)=0.000527\ 7$; $\alpha(M)=0.0001222\ 17$; $\alpha(N)=3.11\times10^{-5}\ 4$; $\alpha(O)=6.30\times10^{-6}\ 9$ $\alpha(P)=7.35\times10^{-7}\ 10$ E_γ, I_γ : from 1990Mo08. Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp<0.009$ (1954MI77, 1991Be06), (786γ)(53γ)(θ): $A_2=+0.21\ 8$ (1991Be06).
839.06 9	1.278 23	838.994	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁻	(E1)	0.00359 5	$\alpha(K)=0.00299\ 4$; $\alpha(L)=0.000465\ 7$; $\alpha(M)=0.0001077\ 15$; $\alpha(N)=2.74\times10^{-5}\ 4$; $\alpha(O)=5.56\times10^{-6}\ 8$ $\alpha(P)=6.49\times10^{-7}\ 9$ E_γ : weighted average of 839.2 2 (1969Li10), 839.03 16 (1982Ak03) and 839.04 9 (1990Mo08). I_γ : weighted average of 1.29 10 (1990Mo08), 1.27 3 (1991Li11) and 1.29 4 (2000Sa32). Mult.: from its ce lines not observed.

$^{214}\text{Pb} \beta^-$ decay **1991Be06 (continued)** $\gamma(^{214}\text{Bi})$ (continued)

[†] Relative intensities are normalized to $I\gamma(609)=100$ with ^{226}Ra or ^{222}Rn in equilibrium.

[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.4545 19.

[#] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{214}\text{Pb} \beta^-$ decay 1991Be06